CITY COUNCIL AGENDA
Monday, November 4, 2019

5:30 p.m.  Closed session as provided by Sections 2.2-3711 and 2.2-3712 of the Virginia Code
           Second Floor Conference Room (Personnel)

6:30 p.m.  Regular Meeting - CALL TO ORDER
           Council Chamber

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
ROLL CALL
ANNOUNCEMENTS
PROCLAMATIONS

1. CONSENT AGENDA*  (Items removed from consent agenda will be considered at the end of the regular agenda)
   a. MINUTES:  September 16, 2019 Special and Regular meetings
   b. APPROPRIATION:  Virginia Behavioral Health Docket Grant - $45,000 (1st of 2 readings)
   c. APPROPRIATION:  Parks & Recreation Gift Guide Memorials Account - $3,260 (2nd reading)
   d. APPROPRIATION:  State Criminal Alien Assistance Program - $14,230.00 (2nd reading)
   e. RESOLUTION:  Waiver of CAT Fees for Election Day (1 reading)
   f. ORDINANCE:  Releasing a gasline easement - Oakleigh development on Rio Road (2nd reading)
   g. ORDINANCE:  Vacating a public utility easement on a property at Emmet Street and Barracks Road (2nd reading)
   h. ORDINANCE:  Repealing Chapter 31 Section 31-103 (Buck Mountain) surcharge for water connections (2nd reading)

CITY MANAGER RESPONSE TO COMMUNITY MATTERS (FROM PREVIOUS MEETINGS)

COMMUNITY MATTERS
   Public comment is provided for up to 16 speakers at the beginning of the meeting (limit 3 minutes per speaker.) Pre-registration is available for up to 8 spaces, and pre-registered speakers are announced by noon the day of the meeting. The number of speakers is unlimited at the end of the meeting.

2. PUBLIC HEARING/ORDINANCE:
   City Charter amendments (1st of 2 readings)

3. ORDINANCE*/RESOLUTIONS*:
   a) Ordinance adding Article XVI (Police Civilian Review Board) Ordinance and By-Laws to Chapter 2 (Administration) of the Code of the City of Charlottesville, 1990, as amended (2nd reading)
   b) Resolution authorizing Police Civilian Review Board Executive Director to prepare a June 2020 report to City Council (1 reading)
   c) Resolution listing Charlottesville Police Department policies pursuant to Charlottesville City Code Section 2-458 (1 reading)
   d) Resolution approving Police Civilian Review Board Bylaws (1 reading)

4. RESOLUTION*:
   Planning and Coordination Council (PACC) Dissolution (1 reading)

5. REPORT:
   Tree Commission’s annual “State of the Forest” report

6. REPORT:
   Presentation from New Hill Development Corporation

OTHER BUSINESS
   November 15 City Council Worksession – Native American panel discussion of Sacajawea, Lewis & Clark statue

MATTERS BY THE PUBLIC
   *ACTION NEEDED
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September 16, 2019
Charlottesville City Council Special Meeting

A special meeting of the Charlottesville City Council was held on Monday, September 16, 2019, at 6:00 p.m. in the Second Floor Conference Room, City Hall, 605 E. Main Street, Charlottesville, Virginia, with the following members in attendance: Mayor Nikuyah Walker, Vice Mayor Heather Hill, Ms. Kathy Galvin, and Mr. Mike Signer. Dr. Wes Bellamy arrived at 6:11 p.m.

Ms. Walker called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m.

On motion by Ms. Hill, seconded by Mr. Signer, Council voted (Ayes: Walker, Hill, Galvin and Signer. Noes: None. Absent: Bellamy) to meet in closed session as authorized by Va. Code Sections 2.2-3711 and 2.2-3712, specifically:

- as authorized by Virginia Code Section 2.2-3711(A)(1) to discuss a specific employee of the Office of the Charlottesville Clerk of Council; and

- as authorized by Virginia Code Section 2.2-3711(A)(7) for consultation with legal counsel about actual litigation, specifically Hawkey, et al. v. Charlottesville City Council.

Dr. Bellamy arrived at 6:11 p.m.

On motion by Ms. Hill, seconded by Dr. Bellamy, Council certified by the following vote (Ayes: Walker, Hill, Bellamy, Galvin, and Signer. Noes: None.), that to the best of each Council member’s knowledge only public business matters lawfully exempted from the open meeting requirements of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act and identified in the Motion convening the closed session were heard, discussed or considered in the closed session.

The meeting adjourned at 6:26 p.m.
ROLL CALL

The Charlottesville City Council met in regular session on Monday, September 16, 2019, at 6:30 p.m. in the City Hall Council Chamber, 605 E. Main Street, Charlottesville, Virginia, with the following members in attendance: Mayor Nikuyah Walker, Vice Mayor Heather Hill, Dr. Wes Bellamy, Ms. Kathy Galvin, and Mr. Mike Signer.

Ms. Walker called the meeting to order at 6:37 p.m.

ANNOUNCEMENTS / PROCLAMATIONS

Ms. Walker announced the annual Close Your Mouth (CYM) Day, originated by Mr. Alex-Zan, and asked everyone to participate in a minute of silence.

City Manager Tarron Richardson introduced the new Charlottesville Area Transit (CAT) Director, Garland Williams and new Executive Director for the Charlottesville Albemarle Convention and Visitors Bureau (CACVB), Ms. Courtney Cacatian.

Dr. Bellamy read an announcement regarding the 60th Anniversary Commemoration of the Charlottesville Twelve being held on September 28 at Buford Middle School.

Ms. Galvin announced the birth of Dr. Bellamy's daughter.

CONSENT AGENDA*

Ms. Walker opened the floor for comments from the public regarding the consent agenda.

Mr. John Hall spoke about Item "1c", advising that the criminal justice system is in need of repair. He asked Council to match the $300,548 grant amount.

Clerk of Council, Kyna Thomas, read the following consent agenda items into the record:

a. MINUTES: August 15 Form Based Code joint worksession; August 19 Special meeting

b. APPROPRIATION: Funding for Virginia Temporary Assistance to Needy Families Employment and Training (VTET) pilot program - $50,000 (carried)

c. APPROPRIATION: Charlottesville/Albemarle Adult Drug Treatment Court Substance
Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration Grant Award - $300,548 (carried)

d. APPROPRIATION: Refund of Tax Payment to East Market Street LLC - $16,173.30 (carried)

e. APPROPRIATION: Virginia Department of Historic Resources (VDHR) 2019-2020 Certified Local Government grant funding for 10th and Page Neighborhood Historic Resources Survey - $31,590 (carried)

f. APPROPRIATION: Albemarle County funding for Albemarle County Resident Workforce Development Training - $13,395 (carried)

g. APPROPRIATION: FY 2020 Fire Programs Aid to Locality Funding (Firefund) - $158,343 (2nd reading)

APPROPRIATION
Fiscal Year 2020 Fire Programs Aid to Locality Funding (Firefund)
$158,343

WHEREAS, the Virginia Department of Fire Programs has awarded a grant to the Fire Department, through the City of Charlottesville, specifically for fire service applications;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Charlottesville, Virginia, that a total of $158,343.00 be appropriated in the following manner:

Revenues - $158,343

$158,343 Fund: 209 I/O: 1900010 G/L Account: 430110

Expenditures - $158,343

$158,343 Fund: 209 I/O: 1900010 G/L Account: 599999

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this appropriation is conditioned upon the receipt of $158,343 from the Virginia Department of Fire Programs.
h. APPROPRIATION: Safe Routes to School Non-Infrastructure Grant Award - $93,125 (2nd reading)

APPROPRIATION
Safe Routes to School Program (SRTS) Non-Infrastructure Grants
$89,900

WHEREAS, the Safe Routes to School Program (SRTS) non-infrastructure grant, providing Federal payments for education, encouragement, evaluation and enforcement programs to promote safe walking and bicycling to school has been awarded the City of Charlottesville, in the amount of $74,500;

WHEREAS, the SRTS program is a 80% reimbursement program requiring a 20% match from the City, of which $15,400 will come from Neighborhood Development and the remainder will be in-kind contributions;

REVENUE
$74,500    Fund: 209    Cost Center: 3901008000    G/L Account: 430120
$15,400    Fund: 209    Cost Center: 3901008000    G/L Account: 498010

EXPENDITURES
$67,400    Fund: 209    Cost Center: 3901008000    G/L Account: 519999
$22,500    Fund: 209    Cost Center: 3901008000    G/L Account: 599999

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Charlottesville, Virginia that the following is hereby appropriated in the following manner:

TRANSFER FROM
$15,400        Fund: 105    Cost Center: 3901001000    G/L Account: 561209

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this appropriation is conditioned upon the receipt of $74,500 from the Virginia Department of Transportation.

i. APPROPRIATION: Housing Opportunities for People with AIDS/H.I.V. (H.O.P.W.A.) - $240,642 (carried)
j. APPROPRIATION: Virginia Housing Solutions Program Grant Award - $484,785 (carried)

k. RESOLUTION: Amendment to Alley Policy

RESOLUTION
APPROVING UPDATED PROCEDURES FOR THE ALTERATION OF STREETS, ALLEYS, PUBLIC RIGHTS-OF-WAY AND PUBLIC EASEMENTS

WHEREAS, on July 16, 2018, the Charlottesville City Council (hereinafter “Council”) directed City staff to evaluate the City’s existing policies and procedures that address requests to alter or vacate certain streets, alleys, public rights-of-way and public easements; and

WHEREAS, City staff completed its evaluation and presented a report to City Council on April 15, 2019 and on May 6, 2019 Council voted by motion to approve staff’s recommendations as set forth within the April 15, 2019 report; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Neighborhood Development Services (NDS) has identified procedural clarifications that are necessary in order to assure the most expeditious resolution of applications seeking the alteration or vacation of certain streets, alleys, public rights-of-way and public easements, and recommends that Council consider and approve revisions to the procedures previously approved on May 6, 2019;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Charlottesville, Virginia that the attached updated “City Council Procedures for Consideration of Applications Seeking Alteration or Vacation of Public Easements, or of Certain Public or Private Streets/ Alleys or Public Rights of Way” are hereby approved, and shall be implemented by staff effective as of the date of approval of this Resolution; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that adoption of this Resolution shall serve as the vote required by City Code §2-97, authorizing the approval of certain ordinances upon one reading of City Council, as set forth within the Procedures (2019).

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that any application proposing to alter or vacate a street, alley, or public right-of-way subject to these approved procedures, where the proposed alteration or vacation receives 1.75 or more points on the Scoring Rubric attached to the approved Procedures will be deemed denied by City Council.
l. RESOLUTION: Authorize Transit Director to Sign Federal Transit Administration Documents on Behalf of the City

RESOLUTION

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council for the City of Charlottesville, Virginia, that the City Manager, or his designee, is hereby authorized to sign the following document, in form approved by the City Attorney or his designee.

Designation of Signature Authority for the Transit Award Management System (TRAMS), authorizing the City Transit Director to execute applications and grant awards, and other transit-related documents on behalf of the City of Charlottesville.

m. ORDINANCE: Amend Charlottesville-Albemarle Convention and Visitors Bureau Operating Agreement (2nd reading)

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE AGREEMENT TO OPERATE A JOINT CONVENTION AND VISITORS BUREAU

n. ORDINANCE: Release of Portion of a Gas Line Easement - Shops at Stonefield (2nd reading)

AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE RELEASE OF A PORTION OF A NATURAL GAS EASEMENT GRANTED TO THE CITY BY OCT STONEFIELD PROPERTY OWNER, LLC

o. ORDINANCE: Valley Road, Monroe Avenue and Jefferson Park Avenue Easements (2nd reading)

AN ORDINANCE TO VACATE CERTAIN EASEMENTS NEAR VALLEY ROAD AND TO GRANT EASEMENTS FOR FIBER OPTIC AND WATER LINES IN JEFFERSON PARK AVENUE AND MONROE LANE TO THE UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA

p. ORDINANCE: Amendment to the text of the City’s Zoning Ordinance, City Code Section 34-896, to modify access requirements for various uses. (2nd reading)

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING AND RE-ENACTING THE CODE OF THE CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE, CHAPTER 34 (ZONING), ARTICLE VIII, DIVISION 4 (ACCESS AND PEDESTRIAN WAYS), SECTION 34-896 (ACCESS), AS AMENDED, TO REMOVE PROVISIONS THAT CONFLICT WITH THE STATE FIRE CODE, THE
CITY’S STANDARDS AND DESIGN MANUAL AND THE PROVISIONS OF CITY CODE 34-975 ([PARKING] ACCESS AND CIRCULATION)

On motion by Ms. Hill, seconded by Mr. Signer, Council by the following vote APPROVED the Consent Agenda minus Item “o”, which was pulled by Ms. Walker for a separate vote: 5-0 (Ayes: Walker, Hill, Bellamy, Galvin, and Signer. Noes: None).

On motion by Ms. Hill, seconded by Ms. Galvin, Council by the following vote APPROVED Consent Agenda Item “o”, which was pulled by Ms. Walker for a separate vote: 4-1 (Ayes: Hill, Bellamy, Galvin, and Signer. Noes: Walker).

CITY MANAGER RESPONSE TO COMMUNITY MATTERS (FROM PREVIOUS MEETINGS)

There were no items to report.

COMMUNITY MATTERS

Ms. Mary Carey asked if the trolley pilot would go through. Dr. Richardson advised yes. Ms. Carey asked for an apology from Ms. Galvin for misspeaking about Vinegar Hill and Starr Hill being one and the same. Ms. Galvin gave no response.

Ms. Nancy Carpenter spoke in support of a strong Police Civilian Review Board (PCRB) and asked City Council to approve the bylaws and to fully fund the staffing request.

Mr. Thomas Olivier spoke for Advocates for a Sustainable Population (ASAP), regarding climate action and environmental crises. He encouraged living sustainably. He announced a City and County sustainability challenge developed by ASAP.

Mr. Harold Folley, organizer for Legal Aid ceded his time to Ms. Edith Good. Ms. Good asked Council to implement a permanent PCRB, passing its bylaws and fully funding the staffing request.

Nelson spoke about the Charlottesville Police Department. He made a complaint about a recent meeting with the Police Chief at which the City Manager was present.

Mr. John Hall proposed better OAR pre-trial services for those accused of crime.

Mr. Mason Pickett, Albemarle County resident, spoke about the recognition of 1619 as related to slave history, and issues with parenting.

Ms. Brooke Ray, with the International Rescue Committee (IRC) and member of the Food Justice Network, spoke about the Food Equity initiative. She and Ms. Rosa Key gave details of
the SNAP challenge issued to better engage the community on what food equity looks like by trying to provide three meals a day for $3.18.

Ms. Keita Mills from the Food Justice Network and Mr. Bimal Chhetri, representing the IRC, gave a presentation about food equity resources. They shared a list of programs and resources to support the SNAP Challenge, and more information can be found at www.foodjusticecville.org.

Ms. Robin Hoffman spoke about the Open House at the Charlottesville Community Media Center at York Place. She shared a signup sheet for people interested in using Charlottesville public access television.

Ms. Tanesha Hudson spoke about the court verdict to keep confederate statues and asked whether Council is considering contextualizing the statues. She advised of a citizen complaint regarding parking in front of his house. She advised that Council should fully implement the PCRB. She spoke about accountability for the police department City Council.

Mr. Scott Byer, Urban Affairs Analyst for Forbes and city resident, spoke of interest in urban issues and opening a consulting firm. He spoke about the affordable housing crisis, and of elastic and inelastic metro areas, sharing that Charlottesville is increasingly becoming inelastic.

Ms. Rosia Parker spoke about the PCRB and consideration of what the individuals coming from Fairfax on September 19 would bring to the table. She asked about the leftover funding from the initial CRB funds and whether they would be disbursed to the members of the board. She asked about funds for refurbishing Westhaven and she advised of adverse effects on herself because of the lack of oversight by the Housing Authority.

- Ms. Walker added comments regarding the need for funding of public housing modernization and redevelopment.
- Dr. Bellamy added information about the magnitude of work being done by the Charlottesville Redevelopment and Housing Authority.
- Ms. Hill spoke about the need for across the board maintenance for housing developments.
- Ms. Walker asked that Dr. Bellamy follow up with the Housing Authority to answer questions related to repairs and updates needed, which units are not occupied and why.

Ms. Emily Dreyfus, community organizer with the Legal Aid Justice Center and advisory council member of Public Housing Association of Residents (PHAR), spoke about the urgency of public housing redevelopment. She advised that Form Based Code (FBC) would cause more displacement of public housing residents as housing prices would likely rise, and asked that Council place FBC on the backburner. She encouraged having comprehensive plans in place to prevent displacement. She encouraged people to sign up for the Community Bridges Race fundraiser and support the PHAR team.
- Ms. Walker shared her opinion that FBC is not the best option for providing future affordable housing.
- Ms. Galvin spoke of FBC zoning mixed-use coding in the Downtown Extended Zoning corridor.

Ms. Hill advised of a comment received in email about the real estate tax relief program for the elderly.

Ms. Walker closed the Community Matters portion of the meeting and the meeting went into recess at 8:03 p.m.

The meeting reconvened at 8:22 p.m.

PUBLIC HEARING/RESOLUTION*: Review of Program Performance and Setting Priorities for Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) and HOME Investment Partnerships (HOME) funds for Program Year 19-20 (1st of 1 reading)

Ms. Erin Atak, new Grants Coordinator for the City, introduced the Public Hearing and gave the purposes of the recommendation. She reviewed the Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) performance highlights and CDBG-HOME priorities.

Ms. Hill asked about the timeline.

Ms. Walker asked that in the future, percentages are broken out regarding those being served. She asked why only two people received down payment assistance instead of the goal of eight. Ms. Missy Creasy of Neighborhood Development Services, advised that there were only two applicants. Ms. Walker asked about the $50,000 decrease toward the priority neighborhood in relation to the $200K. Ms. Atak advised that the total grant funding amount has decreased over the years, yet the amount allocated to the priority neighborhood remained the same, so an adjustment was made in order to provide funding to other Citywide program requests. Ms. Creasy also advised of spending timeliness deadlines. Ms. Walker asked about appointments for the task force. Ms. Walker asked about advertisement for public comment period and asked staff to make it more robust.

Ms. Galvin asked for clarification about numbers for persons assisted. Ms. Atak advised that the Priority Neighborhood Project is still ongoing and numbers will be updated upon completion. Ms. Galvin referenced correspondence received (9/16) from PHAR, requesting that two particular areas become priority. Ms. Creasy and Mr. Alex Ikefuna, Director for Neighborhood Development Services, advised that the application is broad and does not preclude applicants from applying and that there has to be a fair application process.
Ms. Walker opened the public hearing.

- Mr. Don Gathers spoke on behalf of PHAR and read correspondence sent to City Council earlier in the day via email.
- Ms. Emily Dreyfus added the services budget for CDBG funding. She encouraged the grants to prioritize public housing.
- Ms. Olivia Gabbay spoke about the need for prioritizing the redevelopment of public housing and those areas of the most urgent need.

Ms. Walker closed the public hearing.

On motion by Ms. Galvin, seconded by Ms. Hill, Council by the following vote APPROVED the resolution, as amended: 5-0 (Ayes: Walker, Hill, Bellamy, Galvin, and Signer. Noes: None).

RESOLUTION
COUNCIL PRIORITIES
FOR CDBG and HOME FUNDS FY 20-21

WHEREAS, the City of Charlottesville is a U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Entitlement Community for the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) and HOME Investment Partnership (HOME) programs and as such expects to receive an award of funding July 1, 2020; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the City of Charlottesville’s Citizen Participation Plan for HUD funding, the CDBG Task Force composed of citizen and community representatives will need to review potential projects and make recommendations for funding in Spring 2020;

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Charlottesville, Virginia that the priorities and spending allowances for FY 2020-2021 shall be as follows:

- Council’s priorities for the CDBG and HOME program for FY 20-21 shall be access to affordable housing (including but not limited to low income housing redevelopment), workforce development (including but not limited to efforts to bolster Section 3 training opportunities and partnerships with the City’s GO programs), microenterprise assistance, access to quality childcare, homeowner rehabilitation, and down payment assistance.

- For FY 20-21, $45,000 CDBG entitlement shall be set aside for Economic Development
- For FY 20-21, the Priority Neighborhood shall be Ridge Street and the allocation shall be $150,000 of the total CDBG entitlement. If the CDBG entitlement received is less than the estimate amount of $150,000 this amount will be decreased accordingly. The next Priority Neighborhood shall be Ridge Street.

- The CDBG Admin and Planning budget shall be set at 20% of the total CDBG entitlement.

- The Public Services budget shall be set at 15% of the total CDBG entitlement.

**ORDINANCE: Rental Relief for Elderly and Disabled (carried)**

Mr. Todd Divers, Commissioner of the Revenue, made the presentation.

Regarding Item #4 on the meeting agenda, changes to the Real Estate Tax Program, Mr. Divers advised that changes are being made so that the elderly are not adversely affected by moving to the Charlottesville Housing Affordability Program (CHAP) and losing the benefit of a free trash sticker.

Ms. Hill asked for review of income thresholds to peg the increases in taxes and to have more data regarding annual adjustments during the budget process. Mr. Divers will provide additional data during his annual budget proposal presentation.

Mr. Divers advised that his office is working on a tweak to the language in the real estate tax application so that a notarized affidavit would no longer be necessary and applicants could just submit a statement.

Council unanimously agreed to move this item to the Consent Agenda for the October 7th meeting.

**ORDINANCE: Changes to Real Estate Tax Relief Program for Elderly and/or Disabled (carried)**

This item was discussed with the previous Ordinance item. Council unanimously agreed to move this item to the Consent Agenda for the October 7th meeting.

**ORDINANCE*: Amending and Re-enacting City Code Chapter 18, Article III – Special events (3rd reading)**

City Attorney John Blair made the presentation. He reminded Council that the first reading was July 1, 2019, and the second reading was on August 5, 2019. He advised that with
the amount of feedback received, he has met with members of the public as well as reviewed
emails that have come in to Council. Mr. Blair reviewed highlights of the changes.

Mr. Signer spoke of the memorandum for regulations that is separate from the Ordinance,
and asked that the two work together to be more understandable to the public. He asked about
changing wording from "a warning shall be given" to "a warning may be issued." Dr. Bellamy
agreed.

On motion by Mr. Signer, seconded by Dr. Bellamy, followed by Council discussion,
Council by the following vote DENIED changing the suggested wording from "shall" to "may":

On motion by Ms. Galvin, seconded by Dr. Bellamy, Council by the following vote
APPROVED the Ordinance, as amended: 4-1 (Ayes: Hill, Bellamy, Galvin, and Signer. Noes:
Walker). Ms. Walker explained her position for her vote. Ms. Galvin thanked Mr. Blair for his
work on this item.

ORDINANCE AMENDING AND RE-ENACTING CHAPTER 18 (PARKS AND
RECREATION), ARTICLE III (PERMITS FOR ONSTRATIONS) OF THE CODE OF THE
CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE (1990) AS AMENDED

REPORT: Public Comfort Station for downtown – Oral report only

Deputy City Manager Paul Oberdorfer gave the oral report, recommending a trial period
for portable restrooms overnight. He advised of issues with utilities and locations on the
Downtown Mall. The City owns little property that would suit this cause.

Ms. Galvin mentioned the possibility of The Haven being a host location for a more
permanent restroom. She also referenced the Portland Lieu project and asked if the City could
engage in conversations with The Haven. Dr. Richardson advised that he will have the
discussion.

Ms. Hill advised of the need of signage for the facility.

Ms. Walker suggested that the chosen location would not alleviate the issues being raised
and the needs of the public. She asked about having conversation with the tech building at the
end of the mall.

OTHER BUSINESS

Ms. Walker raised the opportunity to sponsor travel to the Sister City of Winneba,
Ghana. She advised that the 2018 delegation of 56 travelers had a rewarding experience. Mr.
Nanna Ghartey, President of the Winneba Foundation, gave additional information about the
origin of trips to Winneba, commemorating 400 years of the first enslaved people landing in
Jamestown, Virginia.
The Winneba Foundation submitted a request for $15,000 from the Council Equity Fund to support low income residents to travel to Winneba. He advised that these trips are educational on the origins and legacy of slavery and that the level of interest in the trip is high. A portion of the trip cost would be used to leverage other funds.

Ms. Walker gave an update about happenings since the 2018 trip.

Dr. Bellamy echoed that the $15,000 would be well-used.

Ms. Galvin asked for clarification on the Sister Cities program mission and policies, and she asked that this information come back for future discussion. She asked whether this request is for a special event to commemorate the 400th anniversary of the 1619 landing of enslaved people in Jamestown, Virginia, or whether there would be an ongoing need for support.

Mr. Ghartey asked Ms. Galvin to review the initial Memorandum of Understanding for the Sister City relationship with Winneba.

Ms. Walker advised that the Sister City relationships have always been about cultural exchange and resources.

Ms. Galvin asked for a more robust budget conversation and how the Sister Cities Commission budget is intended to be used.

Mr. Ghartey advised that the deadline for funding would be the end of October since the first delegation is scheduled to go in December.

Mr. Signer advised of concerns for off-budget cycle requests from the Equity Fund, and the setting of a precedent with funding items beyond the budget cycle.

Dr. Bellamy mentioned that this is the type of initiative for which the Equity Fund was created.

More Council discussion ensued.

Mr. Signer asked for a breakdown of allocations that have been made from the Equity Fund.

Mr. Blair noted the need for two readings to appropriate funds, October 7 and 21, in order to meet the suggested deadline.

Dr. Bellamy recommended that the request be added to the next Council agenda. Other Councilors agreed.

MATTERS BY THE PUBLIC

Mr. Don Gathers advised that where there has been a lack of equality, there is an opportunity to provide equity in regards to the Winneba Foundation request for $15,000.
Ms. Nancy Carpenter spoke about public restroom facilities on the downtown mall. She advised that businesses should allow downtown patrons to use the restrooms. She suggested that a location away from City Hall would be best since restrooms at the Visitors Center are cordoned off during special events.

The meeting adjourned 9:56 p.m.
Background:

The City of Charlottesville, on behalf of the Charlottesville-Albemarle Therapeutic Docket program, has received a Supreme Court of Virginia Behavioral Health Docket Grant in the amount of $45,000 for operations of the therapeutic docket program, which is operated by Offender Aid and Restoration (O.A.R.). The City of Charlottesville serves as fiscal agent for the Supreme Court of Virginia Behavioral Health Docket Grant.

Discussion:

In its second year of operation, the Charlottesville-Albemarle Therapeutic Docket program is a supervised 6 to 12 month treatment program that serves as an alternative to incarceration for offenders. The Therapeutic Docket is a specialized docket within the existing structure of the court system given the responsibility to handle cases involving non-violent adult misdemeanor offenders who suffer from serious mental illness. The program uses the power of the court to assist non-violent offenders to achieve wellness and recovery through a combined system of intensive supervision, medication management, mental health treatment, and regular court appearances.

The total program budget is $155,000 and includes three funding sources:

Supreme Court of VA: $45,000
City of Charlottesville: $55,000, (previously appropriated)
Albemarle County: $55,000, (previously appropriated)
Alignment with City Council Vision and Strategic Plan:

This relates to Goal #2 in the City’s Strategic Plan - A Healthy and Safe City. More specifically Objective 2.3 Improve community health and safety and outcomes by connecting residents with effective resources; and Objective 2.4 Reduce the occurrences of crime, traffic violations, and accidents in the community. The Therapeutic Docket is a valuable, less expensive alternative to incarceration for certain criminal offenders with serious mental illness which utilizes a blend of court-ordered supervision, mental health treatment services, court appearances, and behavioral sanctions and incentives to reduce recidivism and enhance personal accountability and mental health and wellness among participants.

Community Engagement:

The Therapeutic Docket is a direct service provider and is engaged daily with non-violent criminal offenders with serious mental illness who are at a high level of risk for reoffending and have a high level of need due to mental illness. By collaborating with the Court system, Region Ten Community Services Board, Partners for Mental Health, and the Sheriff’s department, the Therapeutic Docket provides these offenders with a highly structured, rigorously supervised system of treatment and criminal case processing that results in a significant reduction in recidivism rates for program participants and graduates. Participants gain access to the Therapeutic Docket through referrals from police, probation, magistrates, defense attorneys and other local stakeholders. Participants have active criminal cases pending in the General District Court. If they successfully complete the program which takes a minimum of 6 months, participants may have their pending charges dismissed. If participants are unsuccessful and have to be terminated from the program, they return to court to face their original charges. Successful Therapeutic Docket participants return the community’s investment in them by improving their mental health status, maintaining compliance with treatment regimens, including medications, and reducing their criminal behaviors in the community.

Budgetary Impact:

No additional City funding is required as the City’s match for this grant, $55,000, was appropriated within the FY 2020 Council Adopted Budget as part of the City’s contribution to Offender Aid and Restoration.

Recommendation:

Staff recommends approval and appropriation.

Attachments:

Appropriation
APPROPRIATION
Charlottesville - Albemarle Therapeutic Docket Grant Award
$45,000

WHEREAS, the Supreme Court of Virginia awarded the Supreme Court of Virginia Behavioral Health Docket Grant in the amount of $45,000 for the Charlottesville - Albemarle Therapeutic Docket in order to fund salaries, benefits, and operating expenses; and

WHEREAS, the City of Charlottesville serves as the fiscal agent for this grant program; and

WHEREAS, the City of Charlottesville and Albemarle County both have dedicated local matches to this grant, totaling $110,000; and

WHEREAS, the grant award covers the period July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2020.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Charlottesville, Virginia, that the sum of $45,000, received as a grant from the Supreme Court of Virginia, is hereby appropriated in the following manner:

Revenues
$45,000  Fund: Internal Order: #1900341  G/L Account: 430110 (State Grant)

Expenditures
$45,000  Fund: Internal Order: #1900341  G/L Account: 530670

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this appropriation is conditioned upon the receipt of $45,000 from the Supreme Court of Virginia.
Agenda Date: October 21, 2019
Action Required: Approval of Appropriation
Presenter: John Mann, Landscape Manager
Staff Contacts: John Mann, Landscape Manager
Title: Parks & Recreation Gift Guide Memorials Account - $3,260

Background:

The City of Charlottesville, through the Parks and Recreation Department, has received two donations in the amount of $1,630 each for memorial benches and plaques for Katherine E. Magraw and Sallie Dietrich Brown. Theses amenities will be placed along the trail leading to the Murray/Van Yahres Memorial Grove approved by Council during the April 17, 2017 meeting.

Discussion:

Parks and Recreation has received numerous requests from family and friends expressing an interest in paying tribute, to individuals who were active in civic and community involvement, by funding memorial benches for placement in Charlottesville Parks. Memorial options must be consistent with the park design, function, aesthetics and maintenance considerations. Benches or other memorials must be compatible with other existing elements in the Parks and approve by Parks management. Currently a need exists for placement of two benches in East McIntire Park. The selected bench style is consistent with other existing park benches and will be an addition to the approved Murray/Van Yahres Memorial Grove included in the McIntire Park East Plan. Placement is based on current Park plans and future landscape development.

Alignment with City Council’s Vision and Strategic Plan:

This supports the Council vision of “A Green City”, within a community of vibrant urban forest, trees and lush green park spaces that encourage citizens to enjoy our walking trails. Community connections to green spaces and use of trails contributes to health and mental well-being of our City residents.

Strategic Plan Goal 2 “A Healthy and Safe City” promotes physical activity and age-friendly outdoor spaces for community use. Our trails encourage citizens to participate actively and offer bench sites for rest and contemplation.

Strategic Plan Goal 5 “A Well Managed and Responsive Organization” citizen engagement in
recognition of civic and community participation and contributions from citizens in support of City Park initiatives.

Community Engagement:

Memorial donations are unsolicited and usually contributed by family or friends desiring to memorialize individuals who appreciated the park areas in Charlottesville. Specific parks are requested and consideration is based on need and site appropriateness. Currently two memorial benches are requested for placement in East McIntire Park to compliment the approved “Grove” Memorial Walk and Garden. The bench style and location has been coordinated with representatives of the Grove Steering Committee. Plans are to install the benches in Fall 2019.

Budgetary Impact:

This has no impact on the General Fund. These donations are for specific purchases per the wishes of the donor and the donations and expenses will be recorded in a separate internal order account for those purposes.

Recommendation:

Staff recommends approval and appropriation of donated funds.

Alternatives:

If memorial donations are not appropriated, Parks & Recreation will be unable to purchase the memorial benches and abide by the wishes of those individuals making donations.

Attachments:

Appropriation
APPROPRIATION
Parks & Recreation Gift Guide Memorials Account
$3,260

WHEREAS, the Parks and Recreation Department has received two donations in the amount of $3,260 each for memorial benches and plaques for Katherine E. Magraw and Sallie Dietrich Brown;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Charlottesville, Virginia, that a total of $3,260 be appropriated in the following manner:

Revenues - $3,260

Fund: 105  Internal Order: 2000153  G/L Account: 451020

Expenditures - $3,260

Fund: 105  Internal Order: 2000153  G/L Account: 599999

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this appropriation is conditioned upon the receipt of the donations.
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Title: State Criminal Alien Assistance Program (S.C.A.A.P.)
Grant for 2019 – $14,230

Background:
The City of Charlottesville has received the State Criminal Alien Assistance Program Grant (S.C.A.A.P.), on behalf of the Albemarle-Charlottesville Regional Jail, in the amount of $14,230. These are federal funds to reimburse the Albemarle-Charlottesville Regional Jail for Fiscal Year 2018 expenses of housing foreign born inmates. Albemarle County is appropriating funds received under the same program that will also be passed through to the Regional Jail.

Discussion:
The State Criminal Alien Assistance Program (S.C.A.A.P.) provides federal payments to states and localities that incurred correctional officer salary costs for incarcerating certain undocumented criminal aliens. The award amount is based on the number of undocumented persons incarcerated at the Albemarle-Charlottesville Regional Jail. As this is not a one-time grant, the Jail will receive future payments from the City as they are granted. The majority of these funds (88% or $12,522.40) will be passed through directly to the Regional Jail. The remaining 12% ($1,707.60) will be sent to Justice Benefits, Inc., for their management services for the administrative functions of the S.C.A.A.P. reimbursements for the regional jail.

Alignment with City Council’s Vision and Strategic Plan:
These funds align with Council’s Vision for a Smart, Citizen-Focused Government -- Acceptance of these funds will support quality services at our Regional Jail and will help ensure that services are provided in the most efficient and cost effective way to citizens.

These funds also support Goal 2: Be a safe, equitable, thriving and beautiful community, and Objective 2.1. Provide an effective and equitable public safety system
Community Engagement:
N/A

Budgetary Impact:
There is no budgetary impact to the City as these funds will be passed through directly to the Regional Jail and Justice Benefits, Inc., which provides administrative support for the regional jail.

Recommendation:
Staff recommends approval and appropriation of funds to the Regional Jail.

Alternatives:
N/A

Attachments:
Appropriation
APPROPRIATION
State Criminal Alien Assistance Program (SCAAP) Grant for 2018 reimbursement
$14,230

WHEREAS, the State Criminal Alien Assistance Program (SCAAP) grant, providing federal payments for correctional officer salary costs incurred for incarcerating certain undocumented criminals has been awarded the City of Charlottesville, on behalf of the Albemarle-Charlottesville Regional Jail, in the amount of $14,230.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Charlottesville, Virginia that a total of $12,522.40 be appropriated and passed through to the Albemarle-Charlottesville Regional Jail and $1,707.60 be appropriated and passed through to Justice Benefits, Inc.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revenues</th>
<th>Fund: 211</th>
<th>Internal Order: 1900315</th>
<th>G/L Account: 431110</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$14,230</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expenses</th>
<th>Fund: 211</th>
<th>Internal Order: 1900315</th>
<th>G/L Account:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$12,522.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>530550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 1,707.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>530670</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this appropriation is conditioned upon the receipt of $14,230 from the U. S. Bureau of Justice Assistance.
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Background:
Charlottesville Area Transit (CAT) traditionally provides free service for riders on Election Day. In the past, the Council announced this service during Council meetings without a formal resolution. Instead, this service has been announced by individual Councilors during City Council meetings.

Discussion:
It is a best practice for the Council to enact a formal resolution to create a record of this action so that future Councils will have a reference point if they wish to continue the practice.

Budgetary Impact:
$1,257.00. This is CAT’s average weekday revenue figure.

Attachments:
Resolution
RESOLUTION
TO WAIVE CHARLOTTESVILLE AREA TRANSIT
FARE FEES ON ELECTION DAY

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Charlottesville, Virginia, that the Charlottesville Area Transit (CAT) bus system shall not impose a fare on riders on November 5, 2019, in observance of Election Day.
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CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE, VIRGINIA
CITY COUNCIL AGENDA

Agenda Date: October 21, 2019
Action Required: Vacation of Natural Gas Line Easement (1st reading of Ordinance)
Presenter: Lauren Hildebrand, Director of Utilities
Staff Contacts: Lauren Hildebrand, Director of Utilities
John Blair, City Attorney
Title: Release of a Gas Line Easement - Oakleigh Development

Background:
In April of 2017, the City of Charlottesville Department of Utilities acquired a natural gas line easement across property designated as Albemarle County Tax Map Parcel 45-26A in the Oakleigh Development along Rio Road. After construction of the development began, minor changes were made to the fire access road to accommodate an existing tree and the site conditions. The property owner has already granted a new easement for the gas line easement by Deed of Easement dated August 22, 2019 (Albemarle County Deed Book 5211, Page 719).

Discussion:
The City of Charlottesville Department of Utilities has received a request to vacate the 2017 gas easement located in the Oakleigh Development on Rio Rd West. The Department of Utilities has no objection to the release of the 2017 gas line easement.

Alignment with City Council’s Vision and Strategic Plan: Not Applicable

Community Engagement:
A public hearing is required by law to give the public an opportunity to comment on the proposed conveyance of a property interest. Notice of such public hearing was advertised in the local newspaper in accordance with Va. Code Sec. 15.2-1800(B).

Budgetary Impact: None
**Recommendation:**

Staff recommends the approval of the ordinance releasing the 2017 gas line easement in the Oakleigh Development.

**Attachments:**

Ordinance  
Deed of Vacation of Easement (with 2017 plat attached)
AN ORDINANCE
AUTHORIZING THE RELEASE OF
A NATURAL GAS EASEMENT GRANTED TO THE CITY BY
OAKLEIGH ALBEMARLE, LLC

WHEREAS, Oakleigh Albemarle, LLC ("Owner") is the current owner of property located on West Rio Road in the County of Albemarle (Albemarle Tax Map Parcel No. 45-26A); and

WHEREAS, the Owner requested adjustments to the easement location, and the City has been granted a new gas line easement by deed dated August 22, 2019, of record in the Albemarle County Circuit Court Clerk’s Office in Deed Book 5211, Page 719; and

WHEREAS, Oakleigh Albemarle, LLC has requested release of the permanent natural gas easement granted to the City by deed dated April 26, 2017, of record in the Albemarle County Circuit Court Clerk’s Office in Deed Book 4927, page 170; and

WHEREAS, the Director of Utilities has reviewed the request and determined that the City has no objection to releasing the above described 2017 easement; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with Virginia Code Sec. 15.2-1800(B), a public hearing was held to give the public an opportunity to comment on the partial release of this easement; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the Council of the City of Charlottesville, Virginia that the Mayor is hereby authorized to execute a Deed of Vacation of Easement, in form approved by the City Attorney, to release the above described natural gas easement recorded in Albemarle County Deed Book 4927, Page 170.
THIS DEED OF VACATION OF EASEMENT ("Deed") is made as of this _____ day of ______________________, 2019, by and between the CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE, VIRGINIA, a municipal corporation and political subdivision of the Commonwealth of Virginia ("City"), Grantor, and OAKLEIGH ALBEMARLE, LLC, a Virginia limited liability company, Grantee, whose address is 690 Berkmar Circle, Charlottesville, Virginia 22901.

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, Grantee owns certain real property in the City of Charlottesville, Virginia, designated as Parcel 26A on Albemarle County Real Estate Tax Map 45; and

WHEREAS, by Deed of Easement, dated April 26, 2017, of record in the Albemarle County Circuit Court Clerk’s Office in Deed Book 4927, Page 170, a 15’ wide natural gas line easement was granted to the City; and

WHEREAS, Grantee requested vacation and release of the above-referenced gas line easement (hereinafter, “Subject Easement”) by the City, described as follows:

A permanent 15’ wide natural gas line easement dedicated to the City by the above-referenced recorded Deed of Easement (Albemarle County Deed Book 4927, Page 170), and shown as a shaded area on the plat attached to said deed dated April 26, 2017.

WHEREAS, Oakleigh Albemarle, LLC requested the City to release and vacate the Subject Easement, by way of adoption of an ordinance;

NOW, THEREFORE, for and in consideration of ONE DOLLAR ($1.00), cash in hand paid, and for other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, the City does hereby VACATE, RELEASE and EXTINGUISH the above-described natural gas line easement.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Mayor of the City of Charlottesville, Virginia, has signed this Deed pursuant to an ordinance adopted ______________________, 2019.
WITNESS the following signature and seal.

CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE, VIRGINIA

By: __________________________________________
    Nikuyah Walker, Mayor

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
City of Charlottesville, Virginia

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me, a Notary Public in and for the aforesaid City and Commonwealth, by Nikuyah Walker, Mayor of the City of Charlottesville, Virginia, on this ______ day of __________________, 2019.

___________________________________________  Registration #: _____________________
Notary Public

Approved as to form:

______________________________
John C. Blair, II, City Attorney
CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE PUBLIC UTILITIES: GAS
PLAT SHOWING
A FIFTEEN-FOOT WIDE EASEMENT FOR NATURAL GAS FACILITIES
FROM
OAKLEIGH ALBEMARLE, LLC
ALBE. CO. TAX MAP 45 PARCEL 26A
DEED BOOK 3346 PAGE 314
DATE: APRIL 20, 2017  SCALE: 1" = 200'
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Background:

In May of 2018 the City was granted a 5’ wide public utility easement (“Proposed Vacated Easement”) across property at the intersection of Emmet Street and Barracks Road (“CVS Project”), designated as City Tax Map Parcel 1-4.1. The development is owned by Meadowbrook Corner, LLC (“Owner”), and a plat showing this utility line easement was recorded on May 21, 2018 as Instrument #2018001798 in the Charlottesville Circuit Court Clerk’s Office. A plat dated August 22, 2019 showing the Proposed Vacated Easement, highlighted in yellow, is attached. The City has already accepted the other public utility easements shown on the plat by recordation of a Deed of Easement recorded September 6, 2019 (Instrument No. 20190003150).

Discussion:

The Utilities Department and Neighborhood Development Services have confirmed that the Proposed Vacated Easement is not needed for public use. The original purpose of the easement was to install a water meter, but the City decided to place the water meter in another location so this easement is no longer required.

The Owner has requested release of the subject easement area. If City Council approves vacation of this easement, the City Attorney’s Office will draft a Deed of Vacation of Easement (substantially the same as the attached deed) to release the City’s rights in the Proposed Vacated Easement area.

Community Engagement:

A public hearing is required by law to give the public an opportunity to comment on the proposed conveyance of a property interest. Notice of such public hearing was advertised in the local newspaper in accordance with Va. Code Sec. 15.2-1800(B).

Alignment with City Council’s Vision and Priority Areas: Not applicable.
**Budgetary Impact:** None.

**Recommendation:**

Approve the ordinance vacating the above-described 5’ wide public utility easement.

**Attachments:**

Proposed Ordinance
Deed and Plat
ALL PROPOSED PUBLIC UTILITY EASEMENTS WILL BE DEDICATED TO THE CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE.
AN ORDINANCE
AUTHORIZING THE RELEASE OF
A PUBLIC UTILITY EASEMENT
GRANTED TO THE CITY ACROSS PROPERTY
AT EMMET STREET AND BARRACKS ROAD (CVS PROJECT)

WHEREAS, in 2018 the City acquired a permanent 5’ wide public utility easement (“Public Utility Easement”) across property currently owned by Meadowbrook Corner, LLC, designated as City Tax Map Parcel 010004100 (“Subject Property”); and

WHEREAS, the plat creating the Public Utility Easement is attached to a Deed of Easement dated May 10, 2018, of record as Instrument #201800001798 in the Charlottesville Circuit Court Clerk’s Office; and

WHEREAS, the Directors of Utilities and Neighborhood Development Services have reviewed the request to release, vacate and extinguish the Public Utility Easement, shown as a shaded area on the attached plat dated August 22, 2019, and labeled “Ex. 5’ Public Utility Esm’t Inst. #2018-00001798 (To Be Vacated)”, after determining that the City no longer has a need for the subject easement; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with Virginia Code Sec. 15.2-1800(B), a public hearing was held to give the public an opportunity to comment on the release of the above-referenced Public Utility Easement; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the Council of the City of Charlottesville, Virginia that the Mayor is hereby authorized to execute a Deed of Vacation of Easement, in form approved by the City Attorney, to release, vacate and extinguish the above-described Public Utility Easement granted to the City.
This deed is exempt from recordation tax imposed by Va. Code Sec. 58.1-802
Pursuant to Va. Code Sec. 58.1-811(C)(4)

THIS DEED OF VACATION OF EASEMENT ("Deed") is made as of this ______ day of __________, 2019, by and between the CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE, VIRGINIA, a municipal corporation and political subdivision of the Commonwealth of Virginia ("City"), Grantor, and MEADOWBROOK CORNER, LLC, a Virginia limited liability company, Grantee, whose address is 1754, Stony Point Road, Charlottesville, Virginia 22911.

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, Grantee owns certain real property in the City of Charlottesville, Virginia, designated as Parcel 4.1 on City Real Estate Tax Map 1; and

WHEREAS, by Deed of Easement, dated May 10, 2018, of record in the Charlottesville Circuit Court Clerk’s Office as Instrument No. 201800001798, a 5’ wide public utility easement was established and dedicated to the City; and

WHEREAS, Grantee requested vacation and release of a 5’ public utility easement (hereinafter, "Subject Easement") by the City, described as follows:

A permanent 5’ wide public utility easement dedicated to the City by the above-referenced recorded Deed of Easement (Instrument No. 201800001798), and shown as a shaded area on a plat entitled “Ex. 5’ Public Utility Esm’t Inst. #2018-00001798 (To Be Vacated)”, dated August 22, 2019, of record in the aforesaid Clerk’s Office as Instrument No. 201900003150 attached to a Deed of Easement dated August 29, 2019; and

WHEREAS, Meadowbrook Corner, LLC requested the City to release and vacate the Subject Easement, by way of adoption of an ordinance; and

WHEREAS, by ordinance adopted ______________, 2019, City Council authorized the City Manager to execute this Deed of Vacation of Easement;

NOW, THEREFORE, for and in consideration of ONE DOLLAR ($1.00), cash in hand paid, and for other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, the City does hereby VACATE, RELEASE and EXTINGUISH the above-described public utility easement.
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Mayor of the City of Charlottesville, Virginia, has signed this Deed pursuant to an ordinance adopted ________________, 2019.

WITNESS the following signatures and seals.

CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE, VIRGINIA

By: __________________________
    Nikuyah Walker, Mayor

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
City of Charlottesville, Virginia

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me, a Notary Public in and for the aforesaid City and Commonwealth, by Nikuyah Walker, Mayor of the City of Charlottesville, Virginia, on this _____ day of ________________, 2019.

______________________________
Notary Public

Registration #: __________________

Approved as to form:

______________________________
John C. Blair, II, City Attorney
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## Background:

The Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority (RWSA) Buck Mountain surcharge was originally intended to fund some of the cost to purchase the Buck Mountain property, located near Free Union in Albemarle County. The intended purpose of the property was to construct a community water supply reservoir. In a Joint Resolution of 1983, the City and the Albemarle County Service Authority (ACSA) requested the RWSA to purchase the property for the proposed Buck Mountain Reservoir. The City and the ACSA also agreed to collect a surcharge for each new water service connection in the City and in the urban water area of the county. Those funds were allocated to the RWSA to help pay for the bonds used to finance the purchase of the property. From 1984 – 1987, RWSA purchased 1313 acres costing $6.95 million for the reservoir site. While the reservoir was never constructed due to the presence of the James Spinymussel, a state and federally-listed endangered species, 600 acres of the property were preserved in 2013 to mitigate the environmental impacts of the Ragged Mountain Dam project.

## Discussion:

City Code Chapter 31 Section 31-103 establishes a surcharge for water connections. The surcharge is collected by the City and then remitted to RWSA to offset the cost of the Buck Mountain property. RWSA has requested the City seek approval to terminate the Buck Mountain Surcharge that was implemented in 1983.

The Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority Board approved the attached Joint Resolution to terminate the surcharge at the regular meeting on Tuesday, September 24, 2019. To terminate the surcharge, this Resolution must be approved by the Bond Trustee, the RWSA Board, City Council, the Albemarle County Board of Supervisors and the Board of Directors of the Albemarle County Service Authority (ACSA). This Resolution states that the parties have agreed that it is no longer necessary to collect this surcharge to pay the long-term debt financing for purchase of the property. The Bond Trustee has approved termination of the surcharge. The surcharge will terminate for both the City and the ACSA.
Alignment with City Council’s Vision and Strategic Plan:

It contributes to Goal 5: Responsive Organization, and Objective 5.1: Integrate effective business practices and strong fiscal policies.

Community Engagement:

The termination of the Buck Mountain Surcharge was discussed and approved at the RWSA Board meeting on September 24, 2019. It will also be discussed at future Albemarle County Board of Supervisors and ACSA Board meetings.

Budgetary Impact:

There is no budget impact to the City.

Recommendation:

Staff recommends approval of the ordinance Chapter 31 Section 31-103 that repeals the surcharge for water connections and the Joint Resolution that terminates the Buck Mountain Surcharge.

Alternatives:

Council could choose to deny the request and the City would continue to collect the fee for RWSA unnecessarily.

Attachments:

Proposed Ordinance
Joint Resolution to Terminate Surcharge
AN ORDINANCE
REPEALING SECTION 31-103
OF CHAPTER 31 (UTILITIES)

BE IT ORDAINED by the Council for the City of Charlottesville, Virginia, that:

Section 31-103 of Chapter 31 of the Code of the City of Charlottesville (1990) is repealed as follows:

Sec. 31-103.—Surcharge for water connections.

(a)—In addition to every other charge imposed by this chapter, every person making application for a new water service connection shall pay a surcharge based upon the size of the meter required according to the following schedule:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meter Size</th>
<th>Capacity Gal./Min.</th>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Surcharge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5/8&quot;</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1&quot;</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 1/2&quot;</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2&quot;</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3&quot;</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>2,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4&quot;</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6&quot;</td>
<td>1,250</td>
<td>62.6</td>
<td>12,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8&quot;</td>
<td>1,800</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>18,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10&quot;</td>
<td>2,900</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>29,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12&quot;</td>
<td>4,300</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>43,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

New connections to master-metered systems, not requiring the setting of a new meter, shall nevertheless be subject to the surcharge, based on the capacity of the connection, expressed in gallons per minute on the foregoing schedule.

(b)—The director of finance shall remit all amounts collected under this section to the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority for debt service on the Buck Mountain Reservoir Project.
JOINT RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority (“Rivanna”) was formed in 1972 by a joint resolution of Albemarle County and the City of Charlottesville for the purpose of maintaining facilities to supply drinking water to both communities under terms set out in the "Four Party Agreement" dated June 12, 1973 among the City, the County, the Albemarle County Service Authority, and Rivanna; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 4.3 of the Four Party Agreement, Rivanna, at the request of the City and the County, purchased certain land in the County of Albemarle for the purpose of building a reservoir on Buck Mountain Creek; and

WHEREAS, the purchase of such land was financed with the issuance of bonds by Rivanna; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to a Joint Resolution adopted by the Albemarle County Board of Supervisors on January 5, 1983, the Charlottesville City Council on January 18, 1983, the Albemarle County Service Authority (the “Service Authority”) on March 17, 1983 and the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority on January 10, 1983, the City and the Service Authority were directed, beginning July 1, 1983, to collect a surcharge for each new water service connection in the City and in the urban water area of the County, respectively and remit such surcharges to Rivanna for the purpose of paying the debt incurred under the bonds; and

WHEREAS, the Joint Resolution provided that that obligation of the City and the Service Authority to collect the surcharge would terminate upon the retirement of the long-term debt financing incurred by Rivanna for the land acquisition; and

WHEREAS, the bonds issued by Rivanna were subsequently refinanced with bonds issued by Rivanna for other projects; and

WHEREAS, as a result of such refinancings it cannot be determined exactly when such long-term debt financing for the land acquisition has been retired; and

WHEREAS, the parties have agreed that it is no longer necessary to collect such surcharge in order to pay the long-term debt financing under such refinanced bonds;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT JOINTLY RESOLVED BY ALBEMARLE COUNTY, THE CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE, THE ALBEMARLE COUNTY SERVICE AUTHORITY, AND THE RIVANNA WATER AND SEWER AUTHORITY, as follows:

1. The obligation of the City and the Albemarle County Service Authority under the Joint Resolution to collect the surcharge for each new water service connection in the City and in the urban water area of the County and remit such surcharges to the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority shall be terminated upon the last to occur of (i) approval of this Joint Resolution by Albemarle County, the City of Charlottesville, the Albemarle County Service Authority and the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority, and (ii) approval of such termination by the bond trustee.
CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE, VIRGINIA
CITY COUNCIL AGENDA

Agenda Date: November 4, 2019
Action Required: Resolution Adoption
Staff Contacts: John Blair, City Attorney
Presenter: John Blair, City Attorney
Title: City Charter Amendments

Background:

The Virginia General Assembly granted a Charter to the City of Charlottesville in 1946. The last significant set of Charter amendments to the document occurred in 1972. Since that time, the Charter has only been amended nine times, the last occurring in 2010.

Discussion:

The proposed Charter amendments before the Council are intended to be non-substantive except for two which will be discussed later in this Memorandum. The proposed amendments reflect changes in terminology, law, and practice that reflect current governmental operations in Charlottesville. A number of the proposed amendments are designed to conform the Charter with state law. Some of the changes are described in the following paragraphs.

GENERAL AMENDMENTS

First, all gendered terminology is replaced with non-gendered terminology. I have worked to remove all references to “councilman” with “councilor” and replaced gender specific pronouns such as “he”, “his”, “him” with “their.”

Second, all references to specific Virginia code sections have been replaced with the term “general laws of the Commonwealth.” The Code of Virginia is often amended, and specific code sections become irrelevant and outdated. For example, in the current Charter, Section 5 references Virginia Code Sections 15.1-926 and 15.1-927. Title 15.1 of the Code of Virginia has not existed since 1997. It was replaced by Title 15.2 in 1997. Using the phrase “general laws of the Commonwealth” avoids having to amend the Charter every time the General Assembly amends a code section that is contained within the Charter.

Third, some sections of the Charter have been separated and consolidated to make it more user-friendly. For instance, the current Charter has the City Manager and Finance Director...
provisions as subsections of the Elective Officers Section. Each position is now its own separate section. Another example is that the current Charter has a section (10) which addresses Council rules and a separate section (35) about Council meetings. It is not user friendly to have a separate provision for Council meetings separated by 25 sections for the provision addressing Council rules. Both sections are consolidated into one section of the Charter. Hopefully, these changes can allow citizens examining the Charter to have an easier time trying to locate provisions applicable to a particular topic or office.

Fourth, many portions of the Charter are updated to conform with history, the Constitution of Virginia, and the Code of Virginia. For example, the amendments propose eliminating Section 14-b of the current Charter which permitted a referendum to allow the fluoridation of City water. The voters of Charlottesville conducted a referendum which permitted the fluoridation of City water. Therefore Section 14-b is no longer necessary. Another example is the removal of Section 33. The office of “Municipal Judge” no longer exists in Virginia, and Corporation Court Judges no longer appoint Municipal Judges. Municipal courts have been replaced by General District Courts (Virginia Code Section 16.1-69.8) and General District Court judges are appointed by the Virginia General Assembly (Virginia Code Section 16.1-69.9). Another example is indicating that the City’s fiscal year begins on July 1 and concludes on June 30. This is mandated by state law (Virginia Code Section 15.2-2500), and will be included in the section describing the budget process. As described below in detail, Council vacancy and bonding provisions amendments are proposed to conform the Charter with state law.

**HIGHLIGHTED SPECIFIC CHANGES**

**Council Elections:** The current Charter still states that Council elections are held in May. This has not been the case for over a decade. Therefore, the Charter revisions reflect that City Council elections take place in November.

**Bonding:** The current Charter contains a number of provisions related to bonding. The 1971 Constitution of Virginia established a uniform system of bonding and bonding limits for cities in Virginia. The amendments remove all of the specific bonding provisions and state that the City is permitted to issue bonds in conformance with the Constitution of Virginia and state law.

**School Board:** The current Charter still states that the City Council appoints the School Board. The proposed Charter revision conforms with the practice of electing the School Board which has occurred since 2006.

**Council Vacancies:** The Charter currently provides that if a Councilor resigned, the remainder of the Council is required to fill the vacancy at the Council’s next regularly scheduled meeting. This could create a number of logistical difficulties for the Council in terms of human resources functions such as establishing an applicant pool and conducting an interview process. The proposed change to conform with Virginia law (Virginia Code Section 24.2-228) would give the Council a forty-five day period to fill any Councilor vacancy.
SUBSTANTIVE CHANGES

Two substantive changes are proposed to the Charter. The first substantive change regards the office of the Clerk of Council. A sentence is proposed which states that the Clerk is the keeper of the City Seal. This is the practice in Charlottesville and all other cities in Virginia. However, it is not listed in the current Charter. It is a duty that is listed in other city charters such as those for the cities of Roanoke and Lynchburg.

The second substantive change to the Charter reflects the Council’s recent ordinance change authorizing the City Manager to exercise the day-to-day supervision of the Director of Finance.

Budgetary Impact:

There will be no budgetary impact associated with these proposed Charter amendments.

Attachments:
Proposed Charter Amendments in strikethrough format with comments
Resolution
Section 1. — Body politic and corporate name

A new Charter is hereby provided for the City of Charlottesville in the form and manner following:

The inhabitants of the territory comprised within the present limits of the City of Charlottesville as hereinafter described, or as the same may be hereafter altered and established as provided by law, shall continue to be one body politic and corporate in fact and its name shall be the City of Charlottesville. The City of Charlottesville shall have and may exercise all the powers which are now or hereafter may be conferred upon or delegated to cities under the Constitution and the general law of the Commonwealth of Virginia, as fully and completely as though said powers were specifically enumerated herein, and no enumeration of particular powers by this Charter shall be held to be exclusive. Additionally, the City of Charlottesville shall have, exercise, and enjoy all the rights, immunities, powers, and privileges and be subject to all the duties and obligations pertaining to and incumbent upon the City of Charlottesville as a municipal corporation, and the said City of Charlottesville, as such, shall have perpetual succession, may sue and be sued, contract and be contracted with and may have a corporate seal which it may alter, renew, or amend at its pleasure.

The present boundaries of the City of Charlottesville shall be as described in Chapter 384 of the Acts of the Assembly, as enlarged by subsequent orders of the Circuit Courts of Albemarle County and the City of Charlottesville or as otherwise provided by law.

Sec. 2. — Boundaries; corporate status.

So much of the land that lies and is contained in the following boundaries: Beginning at a cross on rock on hill ninety-two feet southeast of center line of traveled way Ridge Street a corner to the present corporation line, thence with it north thirty-two degrees thirty minutes west at five hundred and twenty-one feet Old Lynchburg Road at one thousand three hundred and six feet Seven and One half Street S.W. extended in all three thousand one hundred fifty-two and four-tenths feet to a stake east bank of a small branch and north forty degrees east seventy-six feet from center of top of manhole, thence new lines south thirty-five degrees thirty-eight minutes west two hundred thirteen and seven-tenths feet to a stake, south thirty-eight degrees eight minutes west one thousand one hundred nineteen and two-tenths feet to a stake, south eighty-nine degrees forty-seven minutes west two hundred and five-tenths feet to a stake sixteen feet northeast of branch, thence crossing branch south eighty-two degrees thirty-nine minutes west one hundred eighty-five and six-tenths feet to a stake north eighty-seven degrees forty-four minutes west three hundred thirty-four feet to a stake south eighty-nine degrees forty-seven minutes west two hundred and five-tenths feet to a stake south sixty-five feet, south fifty-six degrees forty-seven minutes west one hundred ninety-seven and two-tenths feet south fifty-four degrees twenty-three minutes west three hundred forty-three and two-tenths feet to a stake, south
forty-four degrees fifty-eight minutes west eight hundred thirty-seven feet to a stake fourteen feet beyond a branch and twenty-five feet beyond a large poplar, side line, thence south twenty degrees twenty minutes west at four hundred ninety-six feet a small fern and alpine pine in all one thousand and nine and five-tenths feet to a stake, south seventeen degrees thirty-eight minutes east one thousand three hundred four feet to a stake in Martin's lot one hundred eighty-four and four-tenths feet beyond an iron in Harris Road, thence through Martin's, Misses Harris, and Monte Vista south sixty-one degrees fifty-two minutes west one thousand six hundred eighty-one and two-tenths feet to a stake, thence through Monte Vista and Jefferson Park north forty-three degrees eight minutes west at one hundred thirty-two and one-tenth feet a small pine in all one thousand and nine and five-tenths feet to a stake, thence north twenty degrees two minutes west at four hundred ninety-six feet a small fore and aft pine in all one thousand and nine and five-tenths feet to a stake in lot No. 39 (J. M. Clark & Sons) six and four-tenths feet beyond line of lot No. 40 (Johnson), thence north two degrees forty minutes west at one hundred forty-one and two-tenths feet from iron on Hill Top Road a corner of Clark, and Monte Vista, at two thousand and ninety-seven feet a stake in north edge Strible Avenue fifty-one and seven-tenths feet west of iron corner to Lovell, at two thousand and five hundred seventy-one and six-tenths feet center Southern R. R. one thousand ninety-seven feet northeast from mile post 114, at three thousand three hundred eleven and five-tenths feet north margin state road No. 29, one hundred twenty-eight and nine-tenths feet west of iron at corner Piedmont Street, at four thousand one hundred seventy-eight feet Old Lynchburg Road one hundred twenty-six feet west of iron, thence crossing said road to its northern margin in the same line to a point, thence in an easterly direction along the northern margin of said road to the western boundary of the University of Virginia lands; thence with the dividing line between the lands of the University of Virginia and Homer Richey in a northerly and westerly direction to a point on the line which crosses U. S. 29 and previously described as having a course of north two degrees forty minutes west, thence with said line north two degrees forty minutes west to an iron one and eight-tenths feet beyond center of Observatory Road, thence a line north thirty-seven degrees fifty-eight minutes east to the line of the property of W. C. Chamberlain, thence in an easterly direction with said Chamberlain line to the eastern margin of the cemetery road, thence with the eastern margin of said cemetery road in a northwesterly and northeasterly direction to the southern margin of state road No. 250, thence crossing said road to an iron thirty feet from the center of same, said crossing of the center line of Ivy Road being approximately seven hundred seventy-two feet east of the center of the underpass on the Owensville Road, thence through Massie and Duke north sixty degrees thirty-four minutes east three hundred seventy-seven and six-tenths feet to an iron in the center C. & O. R. R. nine hundred forty-five feet east of center bridge over Owensville Road and one thousand eight hundred and one feet west of center bridge over state road No. 29, thence north seventy-four degrees forty-five minutes east one thousand five hundred and seven and four-tenths feet to a stake north thirty-nine degrees forty-nine minutes east two hundred fifty-five and eight-tenths feet to a stake, north one degree fifty minutes east two hundred forty-one and six-tenths feet to a stake, north twenty-four degrees fifty-one minutes east one thousand two hundred eighty-four feet to a stake and small sassafras pt. on hill, north forty-one degrees six minutes east four hundred seventy-four and three-tenths feet to a stake and small sassafras pt. on hill, north forty-one degrees fifty-one minutes east five hundred and one and six-tenths feet to a stake twenty-six feet left of Meadow Creek north twenty
degrees fifty-three minutes east three hundred thirty-two feet to a stake, thence crossing Meadow Creek north fifty-seven degrees sixteen minutes east one hundred and eight and nine-tenths feet to a stake, north forty-seven degrees fifty-four minutes east two hundred and five feet to stake, thence recrossing Meadow Creek north fifty-three degrees fourteen minutes east three hundred fifty and five-tenths feet to nail in center Barracks Road two hundred ninety-two feet north and west from center state road No. 29, thence through Siegfried north thirty-one degrees fifty-four minutes east ninety-two and nine-tenths feet to stake, north forty-seven degrees fifty-four minutes east two hundred and five feet to stake, thence recrossing Meadow Creek north fifty-three degrees fourteen minutes east three hundred fifty and five-tenths feet to nail in center Barracks Road two hundred ninety-two feet north and west from center state road No. 29, thence through Virginia Farhart, City of Charlottesville, and Meadow Brook Hills, in all two thousand one hundred thirty-six feet to a stake in Meadow Brook Hills, thence north seventy-two degrees twenty-minutes east four hundred ninety-nine and one-tenth feet to an iron in south edge macadam Hydraulic Road (Rugby Road extended), thence with the southern margin of Hydraulic Road in an easterly direction to its intersection with the northern margin of Dairy Road projected, thence in an easterly direction with the northern margin of Dairy Road to its intersection with the eastern margin of Hill Top Road, thence in a southerly direction with the eastern margin of Hill Top Road, to a concrete monument on said road at a point which is south fifteen degrees thirty-three minutes from a stake located ten feet southeast of a large poplar south of Dairy Road to its intersection with the eastern margin of Hill Top Road, of Gentry Woods, thence through Rugby Hills and E. K. O'Brien tracts south thirty-five degrees twenty-eight minutes east to the western line of the McIntire municipal park, thence in a northeasterly, southeasterly and southerly direction with the northerly, northerly and easterly lines of the McIntire municipal park to a concrete monument of Schenk's Branch, thence south eighty-one degrees thirty-one minutes east crossing an iron in Park Street, extended, sixty-seven and eight-tenths feet southwest from the southwest corner of a capstone on the north rock column of the Wilder most northerly entrance, and continuing thence by the same course through the lands of Wilder to a stake in Smith's land two hundred thirty and six-tenths feet west of the iron corner at the present corporation line at the end of Locust Avenue, thence south eighty-one minutes south two-hundred thirty and six-tenths feet to iron at end of Locust Avenue corner present corporation line in all three thousand one hundred twenty-six and five-tenths feet to a point on outside edge of five-foot cement walk and one and six-tenths feet from its northwest corner at southwest corner bridge over the Rivanna River, thence through Albemarle golf course south thirteen degrees one minute west three hundred ten and eight-tenths feet to stake near west bank Rivanna River, thence south thirty-eight degrees twenty-four minutes west two hundred eighty-nine and three-tenths feet to stake, south twenty-one degrees twenty-nine minutes west three hundred eighty-six and one-tenth feet to a stake, south one degree thirty-four minutes west three hundred fourteen feet to stake, south four degrees thirty-six minutes west three hundred ninety-four and seven-tenths feet to stake, south nineteen degrees twenty-eight minutes west three hundred thirty-two and one-tenth feet to stake, south two degrees two-minutes west two hundred fifty-five and four-tenths feet to a stake, and south seven degrees thirty minutes east one hundred thirty-six and five-tenths feet to a stake, thence leaving river south twenty-five degrees forty-one minutes west at one thousand four hundred ninety-six feet center concrete Woolen Mills Road, thence through N. F. Leake & c. at two thousand five hundred eighty-seven and six-tenths feet iron center C.&O.R.R. one thousand one hundred nineteen and five-tenths feet east of Richmond and Clifton Forge division sign, thence through Horace Ferron & c. at two thousand seven hundred fifty-eight and seven-tenths feet an iron in all three thousand and fifty-nine and three-tenths feet to iron in north bank Carlton Road, thence in a
southeasterly direction to a point on Franklin Street, which point is one hundred fifty feet east of the eastern margin of Nassau Street, thence a line in a southwesterly direction one hundred fifty feet east of and parallel to the eastern margin of Nassau Street, thence continuing said line in a westerly direction one hundred fifty feet south of and parallel to the southern margin of Nassau Street to its intersection with the eastern margin of Monticello Road, thence in a southerly direction with the eastern margin of Monticello Road to a monument opposite the southern margin of the old Quarry Road, thence in a westerly direction with the southern margin of said old Quarry Road to a concrete monument between Rockland and Palatine Avenues, thence a line north fifty-nine degrees five minutes west to an iron in the east bank of the old Scottsville Road or Sixth Street, S.E., thence with present corporate line north seventy-eight degrees fifty minutes west two thousand three hundred forty-two feet to the beginning, shall be and is hereby, made the City of Charlottesville; and the inhabitants of the City of Charlottesville for all purposes for which towns and cities are incorporated in this commonwealth, shall continue to be one body, politic in fact and in name, under the style and denomination of the City of Charlottesville, and as such shall have all the rights, immunities, powers, and privileges, and be subject to all the duties and obligations now incumbent and pertaining to said city as a municipal corporation; and by that name may sue and be sued, and be subject to all the provisions of the Code of Virginia, except so far as may be herein otherwise provided.

Section 2 - University of Virginia excluded from city.

The grounds, walks, driveways and all the land which on January 1, 1939, belonged to "Rector and Visitors of the University of Virginia," a corporation, although embraced within the boundaries of the City of Charlottesville as described in section 2, shall nevertheless be deemed to be excluded therefrom and shall be, remain and continue in all respects and for all purposes a part of the County of Albemarle; provided, that this exception shall not apply to any of the lands now used and embraced within the streets and roads known as West Main Street, Fry's Spring Road, the Old Lynchburg Road, Rugby Road, State Highway No. 250, State Highway No. 29, nor any sidewalks on such roads, nor to any portion of the right of way of the Chesapeake and Ohio Railroad, and all of said streets, roads and right of way within the boundary lines set out in section 2 hereof shall be in and a part of the City of Charlottesville.

Section 3 - Wards.

The said city shall be divided into wards as now constituted, but the number of wards may be hereafter increased or diminished and the boundaries thereof changed by the city council as authorized by law.

Section 4 - Elective officers; qualifications and terms of certain officers; form of government; corporate powers vested in city council; salaries of councilmen and mayor; city manager; director of finance.

(a) The municipal authorities of the said city shall consist of a council of five members, one of whom shall be mayor, as hereinafter set forth, unless and until this form be changed in manner prescribed by law, a clerk of the corporation court, a commonwealth's attorney, a treasurer, a sheriff and a commissioner of revenue, who shall be elected by the qualified voters of the City of Charlottesville at elections held at the intervals and on the day prescribed for such elections by the laws of the state. All persons who are qualified voters of
the City of Charlottesville shall be eligible to any of the said offices. The terms of offices of all of said officers shall begin and continue for such length of time as is prescribed by law; provided, that any of said officers shall be eligible to one or more offices to which he may be elected or appointed by the council. All the corporate powers of said city shall be exercised by said council, or under its authority, except as otherwise provided herein.

(b) The form of government for said city shall be the city manager plan as follows: All corporate powers, legislative and executive authority vested in the City of Charlottesville by law shall be and are hereby vested in a council of five members to be elected at large from the qualified voters of the city, except as hereinafter provided.

(c) Each councilor of said councilmen shall receive an annual salary from the city for their services to be set by the council in accordance with the general laws of the Commonwealth, not to exceed thirty-six hundred dollars each (except the president of said council, who shall be mayor, and shall receive a salary not to exceed forty-eight hundred dollars) from the city for their services.

(d) In accordance with the general laws of the Commonwealth, the election of councilor shall be held in November of 2021 and biennially thereafter. At the election in November of 2021, there shall be elected two members of council, and at the election in November of 2023, there shall be elected three members of council to fill vacancies occurring on the first of January in the respective years following the year in which they are elected. Councilors shall serve terms of four years. The members of the council on the effective date of this Charter amendment are hereby confirmed in office until the thirty-first day of December in the final year of the term of office for which they were elected.

(e) The council shall It shall be the duty of the said council of five members to elect a city manager, at the salary to be fixed by the council, who shall serve at the pleasure of the council.

(f) Subject to general control by the council as provided in subsection (b) hereof, the city manager shall have full executive and administrative authority, and shall have the right to employ and discharge all employees under his control. All departments of city government, including the fire department and police department, shall be under the general supervision of the city manager. The city manager shall give a bond for the faithful performance of his duties in such sum as the council may require. Subject to the general power of the council as provided in subsection (b) hereof, and except as the council may by ordinance otherwise provide, the city manager shall have the powers vested in city managers by Code of Virginia, sections 15.1-926 and 15.1-927 and general laws amendatory thereof.

(g) Said council shall elect a director of finance who shall serve at the pleasure of the council and who shall superintend the fiscal affairs of the city, and shall manage the same in the manner required by the council.

In all other respects the said council shall have and be vested with the same authority heretofore exercised by the council, and in all other respects their duties and liabilities shall be regulated by the general laws of the Commonwealth, existing laws, not in conflict therewith.
Section 5.- City manager.

Subject to general control by the council as provided in Section 4 (b) of this Charter, the city manager shall have full executive and administrative authority and shall have the right to employ and discharge all employees under their control except the clerk of council, city attorney, and director of finance. All departments of city government, including the fire department and police department, shall be under the general supervision of the city manager. The city manager shall give a bond for the faithful performance of their duties in such sum as the council may require. Subject to the general power of the council as provided in Section 5 (b) of this Charter and except as the council may by ordinance otherwise provide, the city manager shall have the powers vested in city managers in accordance with the general laws of the Commonwealth.

Section 6- Director of finance; audit.

The council shall appoint a director of finance who shall serve at the pleasure of the council and who shall superintend the fiscal affairs of the city. The director of finance shall have general management and control of the fiscal affairs of the city including the city’s accounting, purchasing, collection, risk management, debt management, financial reporting, and real estate assessment functions. The city manager shall provide supervision of the director of finance.

The director of finance shall contract with a certified public accountant to conduct an audit of the city’s and each constitutional officer’s accounts and records by June 30 of each year in accordance with standards established by the Commonwealth’s Auditor of Public Accounts. The certified public accountant shall provide a detailed written report of the city’s audit to the council by December 1 of each year. A copy of the audit shall be available for inspection by the public.

Section 75-4. - Advisory referendum on ordinances or Charter amendments.

The council shall have authority to order, by resolution directed to the corporation [circuit] court of the city or the judge thereof in vacation, the submission to the qualified voters of the city for an advisory referendum thereon any proposed ordinance or amendment to the City Charter. Upon the receipt of such resolution, the corporation [circuit] court of the city or the judge thereof in vacation shall order an election to be held thereon not less than thirty nor more than sixty days after the receipt of such resolution. The election shall be conducted and the result thereof ascertained and determined in the manner provided by law for the conduct of general elections and by the regular election officials of the city. If a petition requesting the submission of an amendment to this Charter, set forth in such petition, signed by qualified voters equal in number to ten per centum of the largest number of votes cast in any general or primary election held in the city during the five years immediately preceding submission of the petition, each signature to which has been witnessed by a person whose affidavit to that effect is attached to the petition, is filed with the clerk of the corporation [circuit] court of the city, they shall forthwith certify that
fact to the court or judge thereof in vacation. Upon the certification of such petition the corporation [circuit] court of the city or the judge thereof in vacation, shall order an election to be held not less than thirty nor more than sixty days after such certification, in which such proposed amendment shall be submitted to the qualified voters of the city for their approval or disapproval. Such election shall be conducted and the result thereof ascertained and determined in the manner provided by law for the conduct of general elections and by the regular election officials of the city. If a majority of those voting thereon at such election approved the proposed amendment such result shall be communicated by the clerk of the corporation [circuit] court of the city to the two houses of the General Assembly and to the representatives of the city therein with the same effect as if the council had adopted a resolution requesting the General Assembly to adopt the amendment.

Nothing contained in this section shall be construed as affecting the provisions of section 14-4 of this Charter.

Section 86. - Clerk of council; minutes; ordinance book; Officers and clerks elected by council.

The council shall elect a clerk of council to serve at the pleasure of the council. The clerk of the council shall attend the meetings of the council, shall keep a record of its proceedings, and shall have the custody of the seal of the said city.

At each regular meeting of the council the minutes of the last regular meeting and all intervening called meetings, shall be presented by the clerk of council, and thereupon be corrected, if erroneous, and signed by the mayor. The clerk shall record the minutes in the council’s journal of proceedings.

The council shall also require to be kept by its clerk a separate book, termed "The General Ordinance Book," in which shall be recorded all ordinances and resolutions of a general and permanent character, properly indexed and opened to the public inspection. Other documents or papers in the possession of the clerk of the council which may affect the interest of the city, shall not be exhibited nor copies thereof furnished, except as may be required by the general laws of the Commonwealth.

There may be elected by the council additional such officers and clerks as the said council deems proper and necessary, who shall serve at the pleasure of council, and any one or more of said offices may be held and exercised by the same person. It may be competent for the council, in order to secure the services of a suitable person, to elect nonresidents, but such officer, other than the clerk of the council, shall reside in the city during their tenure of office.

Section 92. - Oaths of office and qualification of officers; failure to qualify.

The councilors, and other officers elected by the people shall each, before entering upon the duties of their offices, take the oaths prescribed for all other officers by the general laws of the Commonwealth, and qualify before the corporation [circuit] court of said city, or the judge thereof in vacation, and in the cases of the mayor and councilors, a certificate of such oaths having been taken, shall be filed by them, respectively, with the clerk of the council, who shall enter the same upon the journal thereof; but if any or either of said officers...
shall fail to qualify, as aforesaid, for ten days after the commencement of the term for which he, or they, were elected, or shall neglect for a like space of time to give such bond as may be required of them, their office or their offices shall be deemed vacant.

Section 108. - Vacancy in office of mayor or councilor; vacation of offices.

Whenever, from any cause, a vacancy shall occur in the office of mayor, the council shall elect one of its members as mayor for the remainder of the term it shall be filled by the council. And a vacancy in the office of councilor shall be filled by that body in accordance with the general laws of the Commonwealth at its next regular meeting from the qualified electors of said city, and the officer thus elected shall hold his office for the term for which his predecessor was elected, unless sooner vacated by death, resignation, removal, or from other causes. An entry of said election shall be made in the journal of proceedings and the General Ordinance Book record book.

If the mayor of said city or a councilor shall remove from the city limits, such removal shall operate to vacate his office. Section 119. - Council—President and vice-mayor.

At its first meeting in January, 2022, and biennially thereafter, the council shall elect one of its members to act as mayor, who shall preside at its meetings and continue in office two years. Or if a vacancy occurs in the office of mayor before the end of his term, such vacancy shall be filled as provided in section 8.

At the same time the council shall elect one of its members to be vice-mayor, who shall preside at such meetings in the absence of the mayor, and who, when the mayor shall be absent or unable to perform the duties of his office, by reason of sickness, or other cause, shall perform any and all duties required of, or entrusted to, the mayor. The mayor, or the vice-mayor, when authorized, as above stated, to act, shall have power at any time to call a meeting.

The mayor, or vice-mayor, when performing the duties of the mayor, shall be entitled to a vote on all questions as any other councilor, but in no case shall they be entitled to a second vote on any question.

Sec. 10. - Same—Quorum.

Three councilors shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at any meeting of that body. Sec. 11. - Same—President and vice president entitled to vote.

The president, or vice-president, as the case may be, shall be entitled to a vote on all questions as any other member, but in no case shall he be entitled to a second vote on any question, though it be necessary to break a tie—that is, to say, his office shall not entitle him to a vote.

Section 122. - Same—Authority generally; meetings; journal of proceedings; general ordinance book; inspection of documents and papers Council meetings and rules.
The council shall fix by ordinance the time for holding their stated meetings and no business shall be transacted at a special meeting, unless by unanimous consent, except that for which it shall have been called, and every call for a special meeting shall specify the object thereof. Three councilors shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at any meeting of the council.

The council shall have authority to adopt such rules and to appoint such officers and clerks as it may deem proper for the regulation of its proceedings, and for the convenient transaction of business, to compel the attendance of absent members, to punish its members for disorderly behavior, and by vote of two-thirds of all the members elected to it, expel a member for malfeasance or misfeasance in office. The council shall keep a journal of its proceedings, and its meetings shall be open, except when it votes to hold an executive or closed session pursuant to the general laws of the Commonwealth. The council shall also require to be kept by its clerk a separate book, termed "The General Ordinance Book," in which shall be recorded all ordinances and resolutions of a general and permanent character, properly indexed and opened to the public inspection. Other documents or papers in the possession of the clerk of the council which may affect the interest of the city, shall not be exhibited nor copies thereof furnished, except as may be required by general law.

Sec. 13. - Same—Minutes.

At each regular meeting of the council the proceedings of the last regular meeting and all intervening called meetings, shall be presented, and thereupon corrected, if erroneous, and signed by the person presiding for the time being.

Upon the call of any member the ayes and noes shall be recorded in the journal.

Section, 134. - Same. - Council powers enumerated.

The council of the city, except as hereinbefore provided, shall have the power within said city to control and manage the fiscal and municipal affairs of the city and all property, real and personal, belonging to said city; they shall have power to provide a revenue for the city, and appropriate the same to its expenses, also to provide the annual assessments of taxable persons and property in the city, and it may make such ordinances, orders, and bylaws relating to the foregoing powers of this section as it shall deem proper and necessary. The council shall likewise have power to make such ordinances, bylaws, orders and regulations as it may deem desirable to carry out the following powers which are hereby vested in them:

First. Streets and sidewalks—Generally. To close, extend, widen, narrow, lay out, grade, improve and otherwise alter streets and public alleys in the said city, and have them properly lighted and kept in good order, and it may make or construct sewers or ducts through the streets or public grounds of the city, and through any place, or places whatsoever, when it may be deemed expedient by the said council. The ownership of any land included in any street that is closed shall be in accord with the general laws of the Commonwealth. The said Council may have over any street or alley in the city, which has been, or may be ceded to the city, like authority as over streets or alleys, and may prevent or remove any structure, obstruction or encroachment over, or under, or in a street or alley, or any sidewalk thereof.
Second. Same—Obstructions; cleaning sidewalks. To prevent the cumbering of the streets, avenues, walks, public squares, lanes, alleys, or bridges in any manner whatsoever; to compel the occupant or owner of buildings or grounds to remove snow, dirt or rubbish from the sidewalks in front thereof.

Third. Fires and fire prevention. To extinguish and prevent fires, prevent property from being stolen, and to compel citizens to render assistance to the fire department in case of need, and to establish, regulate and control a fire department for said city; to regulate the size of materials, and construction of buildings hereafter erected, in such manner as the public safety and convenience may require; to remove, or require to be removed, any building, structure, or addition thereto which, by reason of dilapidation, defect of structure, or other causes, may have, or shall, become dangerous to life or property, or which may be erected contrary to law; to establish and designate from time to time fire limits, within which limits wooden buildings shall not be constructed, removed, added to or enlarged, and to direct that all future buildings within such limits shall be constructed of stone, natural or artificial, concrete, brick or iron.

Fourth. Breadth of tires on vehicles. To regulate and prescribe the breadth of tires upon the wheels of wagons, carts, and vehicles of every kind and description used upon the streets of said city.

Fifth. Preservation of health; hospitals; births and deaths. To provide for the preservation of the general health of the inhabitants of said city, make regulations to secure the same, prevent the introduction or spreading of contagious or infectious diseases, and prevent and suppress diseases generally; to provide and regulate hospitals within or without the city limits, and to enforce the removal of persons afflicted with contagious or infectious diseases to hospitals provided for them; to provide for the appointment and organization of a board of health or other board to have the powers of a board of health for said city, with the authority necessary for the prompt and efficient performance of its duties, with power to invest any or all the officials or employees of such department of health with such powers as the officers of the city have; to regulate the burial, cremation, or disposition of the dead; to compel the return of births and deaths to be made to its health department, and the return of all burial permits to such department.

Sixth. Cemeteries. To acquire by purchase, condemnation, or otherwise, either within or without the city, lands to be appropriated, improved and kept in order as places for the interment of the dead, and may charge for the use of the grounds in said places of interment, and may regulate the same; to prevent the burial of the dead in the city, except in public burying grounds; to regulate burials in said grounds; to require the keeping and return of bills of mortality by the keepers (or owners) of all cemeteries, and shall have power within the city to acquire by purchase, condemnation, or otherwise, such lands, and in such quantity as it may deem proper or necessary for the purpose of burying the dead; provided, however, that no part of such cemeteries, when established or enlarged, shall be within one hundred feet of any residence without the consent of the owner of the legal and equitable title of such residence, and provided further, that the provisions of the general laws of the Commonwealth of Virginia, chapter 176, as now existing or hereafter amended, for condemnation of land thereunder so far as applicable shall apply to condemnation proceedings by the city hereunder.
The title to any land acquired by condemnation hereunder shall vest in the City of Charlottesville.

**Seventh. Quarantine.** To establish a quarantine ground within or without the city limits, and such quarantine regulations against infectious and contagious diseases as the said council may see fit, subject to the laws of the state, and of the United States.

**Eighth. Nuisances, etc.** To require and compel the abatement and removal of all nuisances within the said city, or upon any property owned by said city, without its limits, at the expense of the person or persons causing the same, or the occupant or owner of the ground wherein the same may be; to prevent and regulate slaughterhouses, and soap and candle factories within said city, or the exercise of any dangerous, offensive or unhealthy business, trade or employment therein; to regulate the transportation of all articles through the streets of the city; to compel the abatement of smoke and dust; to regulate the location of stables, and the manner in which they shall be constructed and kept.

**Ninth. Stagnant water or offensive substances on property.** If any ground in the said city shall be subject to be covered by stagnant water, or if the owner or occupant thereof shall permit any offensive or unwholesome substance to remain or accumulate thereon, the said council may cause such ground to be filled up, raised, or drained, or may cause such substance to be covered or removed therefrom, and may collect the expense of so doing from the said owner or occupant by distress or sale, in the same manner in which taxes levied upon real estate for the benefit of said city are authorized to be collected; provided, that reasonable notice shall be first given to the said owner or occupant or his agent. In case of nonresident owners, who have no agent in said city, such notice may be given by publication for not less than ten days, in any newspaper published in said city, such publication to be at the expense of said owner, and cost thereof to be collected as a part of the expense hereinbefore provided for.

**Tenth. Explosives and inflammables; carrying concealed weapons.** To direct the location of all buildings for storing gunpowder or other explosives or combustible substances; to regulate or prohibit the sale and use of dynamite, gunpowder, firecrackers, kerosene oil, gasoline, nitroglycerine, camphene, burning fluid, and all explosives or combustible materials, the exhibition of fireworks, the discharge of firearms, the use of candles and lights in barns, stables and other buildings, the making of bonfires and the carrying of concealed weapons.

**Eleventh. Animals and fowl generally.** To prevent the running at large in said city of all animals and fowl, and to regulate and prohibit the keeping or raising of the same within said city, and to subject the same to such confiscation, levies, regulations and taxes as it may deem proper.

**Twelfth. Use of streets; abuse of animals.** Insofar as not prohibited by the general laws of the Commonwealth, to prevent the riding or driving of animals at improper speed, to regulate the speed and manner of use upon the streets of said city of all animals or vehicles; to prevent the flying of kites, throwing of stones, or the engaging in any employment or sport in the streets or public alleys, dangerous or annoying to the public, and to prohibit and punish the abuse of animals.
Thirteenth. Drunkards, vagrants and beggars. To restrain and punish drunkards, vagrants, 
mendicants and street beggars.

Thirteenth. Offenses generally. To prevent vice and immorality; to preserve public 
peace and good order; to prevent and quell riots; disturbances and disorderly 
assemblages; to suppress houses of ill fame, and gaming houses; to prevent lewd, 
indecent or disorderly conduct or exhibitions in the city, and to expel from said city 
persons guilty of such conduct.

Fifteenth. [Repealed by Acts 1972, Chapter 181.]

FourSixteenth. Ordinances necessary for general welfare; effect on other powers. And the 
said council shall also have power to make such other and additional ordinances as it 
may deem necessary for the general welfare of said city; and nothing herein contained 
shall be construed to deprive said city of any of the powers conferred upon it, either by 
general or special laws of the State of Virginia, except insofar as the same may be 
inconsistent with the provisions of this Charter.

FifteenSeventh. Official bonds. Said council shall have power to require and take from such 
officers and employees, as they may see fit, bonds with security and in such penalty as 
they may prescribe, which bonds shall be made payable to the city by its corporate 
name, and conditioned for the faithful discharge of their duties; such bonds shall be 
filed with the clerk of the council.

SixEighteenth. Gas works, waterworks and electric light works. Said council shall have 
power to erect, or authorize or prohibit the erection of gas works, waterworks, or 
electric light works, in or near the city, and to regulate the same.

SevenNineteenth. Pollution of water. To prohibit the pollution of water which may be 
provided for the use of the city.

EighteenthTwentieth. Additional and incidental powers; jurisdiction beyond corporate 
limits. To pass all bylaws, rules and ordinances, not repugnant to the constitution and 
laws of the state, which they may deem necessary for the good order and government of 
the city, the management of its property, the conduct of its affairs, the peace, comfort, 
convenience, order, morals, health, and protection of its citizens or their property, 
including authority to keep a city police force; and to do such other things, and pass 
such other laws as may be necessary or proper to carry into full effect any power, 
authority, capacity, or jurisdiction, which is, or shall be granted to, or vested in said 
city, or officers thereof, or which may be necessarily incident to a municipal 
corporation; and to enable the authorities of said city more effectually to enforce the 
provisions of this section, and any other powers conferred upon them by this Charter, 
their jurisdiction, civil and criminal, is hereby declared to extend one mile beyond the 
corporate limits of said city.

Twenty-first. Floating debt for installing or extending utilities. To create a floating debt not 
exceeding two hundred thousand dollars when, by a vote of the total membership of the 
council, the council has passed a resolution declaring it expedient to do so, and when 
the creating of the floating debt thereby provided for is for the purpose of installing, or 
extending, one or more public utilities, which constitute an asset, or assets, at least equal 
in value to the amount expended thereon, which utility, or utilities, shall materially add
to the service rendered by the city to its taxpayers and other citizens; and it shall be the
duty of the council to provide in the next bond issue for the bonding of the floating debt
thus created, and failure to do this shall suspend this clause.

Section 14a. - Approval of public housing projects by council.

Before the Charlottesville Redevelopment and Housing Authority undertakes any public
housing project within the city it shall obtain the approval of the council on each construction
site, as hereinafter set forth. The application for approval shall have a plat, certified by a
registered surveyor or engineer, or a plat prepared from the current city land book showing city
parcel numbers of the land concerned and attested by the city assessor, attached to and made a
part of such application. The plat shall identify the proposed site and show the proposed
development of the site.

The council shall advertise for at least two weeks in a newspaper published in the city that
the authority has applied for the approval of the council under this section and shall give notice
therein of the time and place for a hearing on such request, which hearing shall be at least thirty
days from the date of the first advertisement. The council may approve such application
following such hearing.

Sec. 14b. - Referendum on introduction of fluorine, sodium fluoride, etc., into city water
system.

After the effective date hereof [March 30, 1962], it shall be unlawful to introduce fluorine, or
sodium fluoride, or any compound or combination thereof into the city's public water system un-
less the voters of the city approve the same in a referendum called and held under Code
ofVirginia, § 24-141, as amended, such referendum to be initiated by a petition
seeking introduction of such chemicals filed with the council as hereinafter set forth. The petition
shall be signed by qualified voters equal in number to ten per centum of the largest number of
votes cast in any general or primary election held in the city during the five years immediately
preceding, each signature to which has been witnessed by a person whose affidavit to that effect
is attached to the petition. If a majority of the voters voting in the election approve, fluorine,
sodium fluoride, or a compound thereof may be added to such water supply; but, if a majority so
voting, vote against them then neither fluorine, sodium fluoride, nor any compound thereof shall
be added to such water supply system. If a referendum is held hereunder another referendum
thereon shall not be held until the expiration of two years.

Sec. 15. - Borrowing in anticipation of taxes; evidences of debt; interest.

The council may also borrow money in anticipation of the collection of the taxes and
revenues in the city, in the amount or amounts not exceeding two hundred thousand dollars at
any one time. The council may issue negotiable notes or other evidences of debt for all money
borrowed under this section. Such notes or other evidences of debt may be renewed from time to
time, but all such notes or other evidences of debt shall mature within twelve months. No money
shall be borrowed under this section at a rate of interest exceeding six percent per annum, and it
shall be the duty of the council to provide in the next bond issue for the bonding of the floating
debt thus created.
Section 156. - Special assessments for local improvements.

Local assessments upon abutting landowners for making and improving the sidewalks upon the streets and improving and paving the alleys, and for either the construction or for the use of sewers, may be imposed not in excess of the peculiar benefits resulting therefrom to such abutting landowner. And the same shall be regulated as prescribed by the general laws.

Section 1647. - Enactment of ordinances, etc.; punishment for violation; enjoining violation; appeal to corporation [circuit] court.

To carry into effect the powers herein enumerated, and all other powers conferred upon said city and its council by the laws of Virginia, said council shall have power to make and pass all proper and needful orders, by-laws, and ordinances not contrary to the Constitution and laws of said state, and to prescribe reasonable fines and penalties, including imprisonment in the city jail, which fines, penalties or imprisonment shall be imposed, recovered and enforced by the courts of the Commonwealth and under the civil and police justice [judge of the municipal court]. The city may maintain a suit to restrain by injunction, the violation of any ordinance, notwithstanding such ordinance may provide punishment for its violation. In all cases where a fine or imprisonment is imposed by the civil and police justice [judge of the municipal court], or by the council, the party or parties so fined or imprisoned shall have the right of appeal to the corporation [circuit] court of said city. All fines imposed for the violation of the city Charter, by-laws, or ordinances, shall be paid into the city treasury.

Sec. 18. - Conservators of the peace.

Each councilman, and the civil and police justice of said city, for the time being, are declared to be, and are hereby, constituted conservators of the peace within said city, and within one mile from the corporate limits thereof, and shall have all the powers and authority, in civil, as well as in criminal cases, as justices of the peace. And the chief of police and the policemen of the city shall also be conservators of the peace within the limits aforesaid, and all proper arrests may be made and warrants of arrest executed by such chief of police and policemen.

Section 1719. -- Fiscal year; budget; levy of taxes.

The city’s fiscal year shall begin on July 1 of every year and conclude on June 30 of the following year.

The city manager shall prepare and submit to the council a budget. The budget shall serve [is] a financial plan for the city, and the city manager in the budget message shall describe the important features of the budget, indicate any major changes from the current financial and expenditure policies and include such other material as the city manager deems desirable or as the council may from time to time require. The budget shall show all estimated income, indicating the property tax levy, and all proposed expenditures, including debt service and capital program, and shall be in a form the manager deems desirable or the council may require. The total of proposed general fund expenditures shall not exceed the total of estimated general fund income.

A brief synopsis of the budget shall be published in a newspaper or newspapers having general circulation in the city, and notice given of a public hearing as provided for by the general
laws of the Commonwealth, After the conclusion of the public hearing, the council may insert new items of expenditures or may increase, decrease or strike out items of expenditure in the budget.

Prior to the end of each fiscal year, the council shall pass an appropriation ordinance, which shall be based on the budget submitted by the city manager, and shall levy such taxes for the ensuing fiscal year as may be necessary to meet the appropriations made and all sums required by law to be raised for account of the city debt. The total amount of appropriations shall not exceed the estimated revenues of the city.

At least thirty days prior to the time when the annual tax levy or any part thereof is made, the council shall cause to be prepared a budget containing a complete itemized and classified plan of all proposed expenditures and all estimated revenues and borrowing for the ensuing appropriation year. Opposite each item of the proposed expenditures the budget shall show in separate parallel columns, the amount appropriated for the preceding appropriation year; the amount expended during that year, the amount appropriated for the current appropriation year and the increases and decreases in the proposed expenditures for the ensuing year as compared to the appropriation for the current year. This budget shall be accompanied by an itemized and complete financial balance sheet at the close of the last preceding appropriation year.

A brief synopsis of the budget shall be published in a newspaper published in the City of Charlottesville and notice given of at least one public hearing at least fifteen days prior to the date set for the hearing, at which any citizen of the said City of Charlottesville shall have the right to attend and state his views thereon. After such hearing is had, the council shall by appropriate order adopt and enter on the minutes thereof a synopsis of a budget covering all expenditures for the next appropriation year hereinbefore required. The said council shall order a city levy of so much money as in its discretion shall be sufficient to meet all just demands against the city.

Section 18. - Tax levy; subjects of taxation; rate; lien on real property; sale of land for delinquent taxes.

In order to execute its powers and duties and to meet the wants and purposes of the city, the council is hereby vested with power and authority to levy taxes upon persons, property, real and personal, privileges, businesses, trades, professions and callings and upon such other subjects of taxation and in such amounts as the council shall deem necessary and proper to provide such sums of money as they shall deem expedient without limitation as to subject, except such as may be expressly provided by general laws or constitutional provision and without limitation as to rate except such as may be provided by the Constitution of this state.

Taxes assessed against real estate subject to taxes shall be a lien on the property and the name of the person listed as owner shall be for convenience in collection of taxes. The lien for taxes shall not be limited to the interest of the person assessed but shall be on the entire fee simple estate. There shall be no lien when for any year the same property is assessed to more than one person and all taxes assessed against the property in one of the names have been paid for that year.
When taxes are assessed against land in the name of a life tenant or other person owning less than the fee or owning no interest, the land may be sold pursuant to the general laws of the Commonwealth under the provisions of Code of Virginia, 1950, sections 58-1014 to 58-1020, 58-1101 to 58-1108, 58-762, as amended, or other laws for the sale of land for delinquent taxes provided the owner of record or his heirs be made parties to the proceeding for sale which may be instituted any time after December fifth of the year in which the taxes are assessed.

Section 1921. - Appropriations for advertising city.

The council may each year make appropriation out of the city revenues of an amount not exceeding three cents on each one hundred dollars of the assessed value of the property in the city assessed for taxation for use in purposes which will, in the judgment of the council, advertise the city.

Section 202. - License taxes generally; licenses for vehicles using streets; schedule of charges for vehicles for hire.

License taxes may be imposed by ordinance on businesses, trades, professions and callings and upon the persons, firms, associations and corporations engaged therein and the agent thereof without limitation as to subject or rate except such as may be provided by the Constitution of this state and the Constitution of the United States.

License taxes not inconsistent with general law may be imposed upon vehicles using the streets of the city, and the council may prescribe a schedule of charges for vehicles using said streets for hire.

Section 213. - Collection of revenue; custodian of city funds.

The revenue from these and other sources shall be collected, paid over, and accounted for at such times and to such persons as the council shall order, and pursuant to such ordinance as now exists or may hereafter be passed by the council. The city treasurer shall be the custodian of all the funds of the city.

Section 225. - Borrowing Refunding bonds.

The council may, in the name and for the use of the city, incur indebtedness by issuing its negotiable bonds or notes.

Bonds, and notes in anticipation of bonds when the issuance of bonds has been authorized as hereinafter provided, may be issued for any purpose for which cities are authorized to issue bonds by the Constitution or general laws of the Commonwealth.
Notes in anticipation of collection of revenue may be issued, when authorized by council, at any time during the fiscal year, provided the notes shall mature not later than twelve (12) months after date of issue, and in an amount not in excess of the revenues anticipated.

Bonds and notes of the city shall be issued in the manner provided by the general laws of the Commonwealth. In the issuance of bonds and notes, the city shall be subject to the limitations as to amounts that are contained in Article VII, Section 10 of the Constitution of Virginia.

The council of said City of Charlottesville is hereby authorized to make and issue the registered or coupon bonds of said corporation, payable not exceeding forty years after their date, bearing interest at not more than five per centum per annum, payable semiannually, said bonds to be used exclusively in paying off and discharging the principal and interest of the present bonded debt of the corporation of Charlottesville. The said council shall not be authorized to dispose of such bonds at less than par value, except by a recorded affirmative vote of all the members elected to the council. Said registered and coupon bonds shall be regularly numbered, signed by the mayor, clerk and treasurer of the city, and recorded in a book kept for that purpose.

Sec. 26. - Sinking Fund

To provide for the payment of the bonded debt of the city there shall be set apart annually by the council from the revenues of the city such sum as will be sufficient to meet each issue of bonds, either heretofore or hereafter issued, as the same shall become due, except that for any issue of bonds a definite amount of which is payable annually and known as serial bonds, no sum shall be so provided; but for such serial bonds the council shall make in their annual budget definite provision for their payment. The funds thus set apart shall be paid in two equal installments on the first day of January and the first day of July in each year, to the sinking fund commissioners hereafter designated, and shall, together with the accretions thereto arising from interest on investments, et cetera, be known as the sinking fund, and be held sacred for the payment of the debt of the city as it shall become due; and if no part of said debt be due or payable, said fund shall be invested in the bonds or certificates of debt of said city, or of this state, or of the United States, or of some state of this union, or any other securities which under the laws of the State of Virginia are designated and approved for the investment of fiduciary funds; said fund shall, in the hands of the treasurer, as to all questions of investments, purchase or sale within the limitations of this section, be subject to the orders and the management of the mayor, chairman of the finance committee of the council, director of finance and treasurer, who together shall compose the sinking fund commission.

Sec. 27. - Loans and bonds; debt limitation; bond elections.

The council of said city may negotiate any loan or loans for the purpose of improving the streets, lighting the same, buying necessary real estate, erecting public buildings, supplying the city with water, sewerage, and for other purposes, and shall have authority to issue registered and coupon bonds, as well as serial, registered and coupon bonds, for the said loan or loans, payable not more than forty years after the date of said bonds, and said bonds shall bear interest payable semiannually; provided, that the council shall not negotiate such loan or loans, and issue bonds therefor, for sums which when added to the debt of the city then existing, shall cause the total...
indebtedness of the city to be greater than eighteen per centum of the assessed valuation of the real estate of the city subject to taxation, as shown by the last preceding assessment for taxes; provided, however, that in determining the limitation of the power of the city to incur indebtedness, there shall not be included the classes of indebtedness mentioned in subsections (a) and (b) of section 127 of the Constitution of the state. Whenever the question of the issuance of any bonds of said city is required by the Constitution of Virginia or by the action of the council of said city to be approved by the affirmative vote of a majority of the qualified voters of the city who vote upon the question of their issuance, such majority shall also include a majority of the voters cast by those taxpayers of the city at such election who pay a tax on real or personal property assessed at five hundred dollars or more. Such election shall be held and conducted in accordance with the law of Virginia regarding elections by the people.

(Acts 1950, Ch. 413; Acts 1970, Ch. 93)

Effect of amendments—The 1950 amendment rewrote that portion of the section which relates to the bond elections.

The 1970 amendment removed the five percent interest rate limitation.

Editor's note—The reference in this section to subsections (a) and (b) of section 127 of the state Constitution is a reference to the 1902 Constitution. For provisions of the 1971 Constitution exempting certain bonds from the 18% debt limitation, see Va. Const., art. VII, § 10.

Section 238. - Sale of public utilities; approval by voters.

The rights of the city in its gas, water and electric works, and sewer plant, now owned, or hereafter acquired, shall not be sold even after such action of the council as is prescribed by Code of Virginia of 1919, section 3016, until and except such sale shall have been approved by a majority of the qualified voters of the city, voting on the question at a special election ordered by the council as provided by the general laws of the Commonwealth, subject in other respects to the provisions of section 24 [27] of this Charter applicable to a special election.

Section 2429. - City sheriff.

The city sheriff shall attend the terms of the corporation circuit court of the said city and shall act as the officer thereof; the said sheriff may appoint one or more deputies, who may be removed from office by the sheriff, and may discharge any of the duties of the office of sheriff, but the sheriff and his sureties shall be liable therefor. The city sheriff shall also have all power and authority and perform all duties imposed by general law upon sheriffs and constables of cities.

Section 2530. - Authority of officers appointed by council.
The officers of said city elected or appointed by the council shall, during the time they are in office have all the power and authority of like officers in the state under its general laws, unless the same be abridged or restricted by the council.

Sec. 31. Prohibition of immoral shows, etc.

The mayor or the council may prohibit any theatrical or other performance, show or exhibition within said city or a mile of its corporate limits, which may be deemed injurious to morals or good order.

Sec. 32.

[Repealed by Acts 1972, Chapter 184.]

Sec. 33. Judge of the municipal court.

The judge of the municipal court of said city, and substitute judge of said court, shall each be appointed for a term of four years by the judge of the corporation court of the City of Charlottesville, except that the term commencing on January 1, 1974, shall expire June 30, 1974. Said judges shall have such jurisdiction as is provided by general law; they shall receive no fees for services as judge or substitute judge, but all such fees shall be turned into the city treasury. The judge shall also have jurisdiction of and try violations of the city ordinances, and inflict such punishment as may be prescribed for a violation of the same. The judge shall have authority to issue his warrant for the arrest of any person or persons violating any of the ordinances, acts or resolutions of said city; it shall be his duty especially to see that peace and good order are preserved, and persons and property are protected in the city; he shall have power to issue executions for all fines and costs imposed by him or he may require the immediate payment thereof. The judge shall hold his court daily, except Saturday and Sunday, at the place prescribed by the council. If from any cause the judge of said court shall be unable to act, the substitute judge shall discharge the duties prescribed herein during such inability. The judge and substitute judge shall receive a salary for their services, to be fixed and paid by the council.

All papers connected with any civil action or proceeding in the municipal court of this city, except those in actions or proceedings (1) in which no service of process is had, (2) which are removed or appealed, and (3) in which the papers are required by law to be sooner returned to the clerk's office of a court of record, shall be properly indexed, filed and preserved in the municipal court of the city.

The power of appointment, in the judge of the corporation court of the City of Charlottesville, shall become effective January 1, 1966, at the expiration of the term of the present incumbent.

Section 26. - Salaries fixed by council.

The salaries of all officers who receive stated compensation for their services from the city shall be fixed by the council.

Sec. 35. - Stated and special meetings of council.
The council shall fix by ordinance the time for holding their stated meetings and no business shall be transacted at a special meeting, unless by unanimous consent, except that for which it shall have been called, and every call for a special meeting shall specify the object thereof.

Section 2746. - Granting franchises.

The regulation and restrictions for granting any franchise in the city shall be such as are provided by the general laws of the Commonwealth.

Section 2847. - Receipt and disbursement of monies by treasurer.

All monies belonging to said city shall be paid over to the treasurer, and no money shall be paid out except as the same shall have been appropriated and ordered to be paid by the council, and the said treasurer shall also pay the same upon warrants approved in such manner as may be prescribed by ordinance of the council.

Section 2948. - Recovery against treasurer and sureties.

If the said treasurer shall fail to account for and pay over all of the monies that shall come into his hands when required by the council, it shall be lawful for the council, in the corporate name of the city, by motion before any court of record having jurisdiction in the City of Charlottesville, to recover from the treasurer and their sureties, or their personal representatives, any sum that may be due from said treasurer to said city on ten days' notice.

Section 3049. - Collection of fines.

All fines imposed for any violation of any city ordinance or state law shall be collected by the clerk of the civil and police justice court; and if said clerk shall fail to collect, account for, and pay over all the fines in his hands for collection, it shall be lawful for the council to recover the same, so far as the same are accruing to the city, by motion, in the corporate name of the city, before the corporation court of said city, against the said clerk, his sureties on his said bond, or any or either of them, the clerk's executors or administrators, on giving ten days' notice of the same.

Section 3150. - Animals running at large.

The council shall have power to make such ordinances, by-laws, orders and regulations as they may deem necessary to prevent dogs, hogs and other animals from running at large in the limits of the city, and may subject the owners thereof to such fines, regulations and taxes as the council may deem proper, and may sell said animals at public auction to enforce the payment of said fines and taxes; and may order such dogs, as to which there is default, to be euthanized as provided for by the general laws of the Commonwealth, killed by a policeman or constable.

Section 3241. - Taking or damaging private property.

The city shall not take or damage any private property for streets, or other public purposes, without making to the owner, or owners, thereof just compensation for the same. But in all cases where the city council cannot by agreement obtain title to the ground necessary for such purposes, it shall be lawful for it to apply to the circuit court of the county in which the land shall be situated, or to the proper court of the city having jurisdiction of such matters, if the subject lie within the city, to condemn the same.
Section 3342. - Encroachments upon streets.

In every case where a street in the said city has been or shall be encroached upon by any fence, building or otherwise, the city council may require the owner or owners, if known, and if unknown the occupant or occupants of the premises so encroaching to remove the same. If such removal shall not be made within the time ordered by the city council, it may impose a penalty of five dollars for each and every day that it is allowed to continue thereafter, and may cause the encroachment to be removed, and collect from the owner all reasonable charges therefor, with cost, for which there shall be a lien on the premises so encroaching, which lien may be enforced in a court of equity having jurisdiction of the subject. No encroachment upon any street, however long continued, shall constitute an adverse possession thereto, or confer any right upon the person claiming thereunder as against said city.

Section 3443. - Filing claim for damages condition precedent to action against city.

No action shall be maintained against the said city for damages for an injury to any person or property alleged to have been sustained by reason of the negligence of the city, or any officer, agent or employee thereof, unless a written statement of the claimant, their agent or attorney, of the nature of the claim and of the time and place at which the injury is alleged to have occurred or been received shall have been filed, as provided by the general laws of the Commonwealth.

Section 3544. - Continuance of rights, etc., of city; continuance of ordinances and resolutions.

All rights, privileges and properties of the City of Charlottesville heretofore acquired and possessed, owned and enjoyed by any act now in force, not in conflict with this act, shall continue undiminished and remain vested in said city under this act; and all laws, ordinances and resolutions of the corporation of Charlottesville now in force, and not inconsistent with this act, shall be and continue in full force and effect in the City of Charlottesville, until regularly repealed.

Sec. 45. - Corporation [circuit] court; legislative and senatorial districts.

The corporation court of the City of Charlottesville shall remain as it now exists and be held by the city judge at such times as are, or may be, designated by law, and the jurisdiction of said court shall be such as is now prescribed; provided, of course, that the power to abolish said court in accordance with the Constitution of the state is in no way hereby affected. And the City of Charlottesville shall remain a part and parcel of the same legislative and senatorial district to which it now belongs.

Section 3645. - School board.

The City of Charlottesville shall constitute a single school district. The school board of the city shall consist of seven members. In accordance with the general laws of the Commonwealth, three of the school board members shall be elected in November 2021 and four members shall be elected in November 2023 to fill vacancies occurring on the first of January in the years following the year in which they are elected. School board members shall serve terms of four years. The members of the school board on the effective date of this Charter are hereby confirmed in office until the thirty-first day of December in the final year of the term of office.
for which they were elected, to be appointed by the council and there shall be at least one member from each ward of the city. The council shall appoint three members of the board to serve for a term of three years, two members to serve for a term of two years, and two members to serve for a term of one year from July 1, 1948, and all subsequent appointments shall be for a term of three years. Vacancies occurring otherwise than by expiration of the term of office shall be filled by the council for the unexpired term. No member shall be eligible to serve more than three successive full three year terms. The board shall have all powers and perform all duties granted to and imposed upon school boards of cities by the general laws of the Commonwealth.

Section 3746. - Water supply and sewerage system.

The corporate authorities of said city be, and they are hereby, authorized and empowered to erect suitable dams and reservoirs, and to lay suitable pipes to supply said city with an adequate supply of water, and to establish and construct a sewerage system for said city; and for such purpose to acquire, either by purchase or by condemnation, according to the provisions of the general laws of the Commonwealth for the condemnation of lands by incorporated cities, such lands and so much thereof as may be necessary for the aforesaid purposes.

Section 3847. - Elections.

All elections under this Charter shall conform to the general laws of the Commonwealth in regard to elections by the people.

Section 3948. - County property within city limits; arbitration; school property in Charlottesville school district; Miller Manual Labor School.

The property now belonging to the County of Albemarle within the limits of the City of Charlottesville shall be within and subject to the joint jurisdiction of the county and city authorities and officers, and shall not be subject to taxation by the authorities of either county or city; and if the county and city aforesaid cannot agree upon the terms of joint occupancy and use of such property in regard to which settlements may not have already been effected, the right of said city to such joint occupancy and use being hereby recognized, then the board of arbitration herein provided for shall determine the terms of such joint occupancy and use, and said board of arbitration shall determine what rights, if any, the city aforesaid has in all other county property; but this is subject to the recognition of the right of the city, as well as the county (through the district school board or otherwise) in the school property in Charlottesville school district; and nothing herein contained shall affect the rights of the inhabitants of said city to participate in the benefits of the Miller Manual Labor School in the Samuel Miller District in said county.

Section 4049. - Board of arbitrators established; composition; duties; awards.

A board of arbitrators composed of three members, one to be selected by the board of supervisors of Albemarle County, one by the council of Charlottesville, and they to choose a third, is hereby established, whose duty it shall be to adjust and decide the matters hereinbefore submitted to them, and all such other questions as may arise between said city and county, growing out of the extension of the corporation limits, and the establishment of a city government. The awards of said arbitrators shall be entered upon the records as the judgments of the city court or the county circuit court, as the arbitrators may designate.

Section 4150. - Same person may hold county and city office.
And it is further provided that the same person shall be eligible to and, if elected, may hold a county office and a city office, if the said offices be of the same nature, at the same time; provided, such officer lives within the city limits; and any person otherwise qualified, who is a resident of the City of Charlottesville, shall be eligible to election or appointment to any county office of Albemarle County.

Section 4250.1. - Adoption of certain sections of Code of Virginia.

The City of Charlottesville shall have all powers granted to localities by the Constitution and the general laws of the Commonwealth, powers set forth in Code of Virginia, sections 15.1-837 through 15.1-907 as in force on January 1, 1970, are hereby conferred on and vested in the City of Charlottesville, Virginia. Should the powers granted by this section conflict with any provision contained in Chapter 384 of the Acts of Assembly of 1946, approved March 28, 1946, as amended [this Charter], then the provisions contained in this section shall control; provided, however, that in no event shall such a conflict between the general laws of the Commonwealth and this Charter be held to reduce or limit any powers heretofore possessed by the City of Charlottesville pursuant to Chapter 384 of the Acts of Assembly of 1946, approved March 28, 1946, as amended.

Section 4350.2. - Search warrants.

(a) Search warrants. In addition to the means and conditions under which search warrants may be issued pursuant to provisions of general law, a justice of the peace [magistrate], or a judge of any court having jurisdiction of the trial of cases to whom complaint is made, if satisfied that there is probable cause therefor, shall issue a warrant to search specified places for the following conditions: Violations of ordinances of the City of Charlottesville related to health and safety, of persons and property including violations of ordinances concerning minimum housing standards, health and sanitation regulations, and Plumbing, Building, and Fire Prevention Codes.

A search warrant issued pursuant to the authority granted in this Charter section shall be directed to persons charged with the responsibility of enforcing state statutes and local ordinances relating to health and safety of persons and property and shall command such person to search the place or places described therein for violations of state statutes and local ordinances relating to health and safety of persons and property.

(b) Affidavit preliminary to issuance of search warrants. No search warrant shall be issued until there is filed with the officer authorized to issue the same an affidavit of some person reasonably describing the area, house, place, vehicle or baggage to be searched, the things or conditions to be searched for thereunder, alleging briefly material facts, constituting the probable cause for the issuance of such warrant and alleging substantially the offense or group of potential offenses in relation to which such search is to be made. Facts which may be pertinent are

(1) department or board experience showing the need of periodic area inspections,

(2) the pattern of the last inspections made, and

(3) department or board judgment that an inspection is now needed, particularly in light of the time elapsed since the last inspection.
Such affidavit shall be certified by the clerk of the corporation [circuit] court of the City of Charlottesville and shall by said clerk be preserved as a record and shall at all times be subject to inspection by the public. For the purposes of this section, probable cause shall be satisfied upon the showing of the reasonableness of a need to conduct periodic area-wide inspections with respect to health and safety of persons and property.

Sec. 4450.3. - Qualifications of members of advisory boards and commissions.

General provisions of law notwithstanding, the planning commission and members of boards or agencies appointed by city council, the mayor, or by the city manager, who serve without pay and who serve only for the purpose of making studies or recommendations, or advising or consulting with city council, shall not be prohibited from such service merely because they contract directly or indirectly with the city. Any such member of an advisory board or agency who knows, or may reasonably be expected to know, that they have a material financial interest in any transaction in which the agency of which they are an officer or employee is or may be in any way concerned, shall disclose such interest and disqualify themselves from voting or participating in any official action thereon in behalf of such agency. If disqualifications in accordance with this section leave less than the number required by law to act, the remaining member or members shall have authority to act for the agency by majority vote, unless a unanimous vote of all members is required by law in which case authority to act shall require a unanimous vote of remaining members.

Section 450.4. - Terms of Charlottesville Redevelopment and Housing Authority Commissioners; authority of council.

Notwithstanding any provision of law to the contrary, the terms of all the commissioners of the Charlottesville Redevelopment and Housing Authority shall terminate on June thirty, nineteen hundred seventy-eight; and thereafter there shall be not less than five nor more than seven members of the Charlottesville Redevelopment and Housing Authority Board of Commissioners.

Commissioners shall hold their offices at the pleasure of council for terms not to exceed four years; provided, that the city council may at any time, and from time to time, adopt an ordinance terminating the terms of all the commissioners and designating one or more council members as commissioners of the Charlottesville Redevelopment and Housing Authority. The remaining members of the Board, if any, shall be appointed by council from the public at large. The Board shall possess all powers and duties granted to or imposed upon redevelopment and housing authorities by the general laws of the Commonwealth; provided that notwithstanding any other provision of law to the contrary, a city council member shall receive no compensation for serving as a commissioner of such Authority, nor shall they continue to serve as a commissioner after they cease to be a member of city council.

Section 4650.5. - Authority of city council to adopt and enforce a noise ordinance.

A. The city council by ordinance may prohibit or regulate loud, disturbing or excessive noises originating within its jurisdiction. Such ordinance may prescribe the decibel levels, degrees or types of sound which are unacceptable within the city limits, but the ordinance must exempt from its prohibitions during the daytime (6:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m.) the following:
1. Band performances or practices, athletic contests or practices and other school-sponsored activities on the grounds of public or private schools or the University of Virginia.

2. Athletic contests and other officially sanctioned activities in city parks.

3. Activities related to the construction, repair, maintenance, remodeling or demolition, grading or other improvement of real property.

4. Gardening, lawn care, tree maintenance or removal and other landscaping activities.

5. Church bells or carillons.

6. Religious or political gatherings and other activities protected by the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

7. Activities for which the regulation of noise has been preempted by federal law.

8. Public and private transportation, refuse collection and sanitation services.

B. The decibel level of any such noise may be measured by the use of a sound level meter which measures sound pressure levels. Such measurements shall be accepted as prima facie evidence of the level of noise at issue in any court or legal proceeding. The accuracy of the sound level meter may be tested by a calibrator. In any court or legal proceedings in which the accuracy of the calibrator is in issue, the court shall receive as evidence a sworn report of the results of any test of the calibrator for accuracy. Such report shall be considered by the court or jury in determining guilt or innocence.

C. Any individual operating a sound level meter pursuant to the provisions of this section and the local noise ordinance shall issue a certificate which will indicate:

1. that the sound level meter used to take the decibel level reading was operated in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications;

2. that the city has on file a sworn report which states that the sound level meter has been tested within the past twelve months and has been found to be accurate;

3. the name of the accused;

4. the location of the noise;

5. the date and the time that the reading was made; and

6. the decibel level reading.

The certificate, as provided for in this section, when duly attested by the operator taking the decibel level reading, shall be admissible in any court in any criminal or civil proceeding as evidence of the facts therein stated and of the decibel level reading. A copy of such certificate shall be delivered to the accused upon the accused's or his attorney's request.

Section 4750.6 - Authority of City Council to impose civil penalties for wrongful demolition of historic buildings.

A. Notwithstanding the provisions of any state law which authorize civil penalties for the violation of a local zoning ordinance, City Council may adopt an ordinance which establishes a civil penalty for the demolition, razing or moving of a building or structure
without approval by the board of architectural review or City Council, when such building or structure is subject to the City's historic preservation zoning ordinance. The penalty established by the ordinance shall be imposed on the party deemed by the court to be responsible for the violation and shall not exceed twice the fair market value of the building or structure, as determined by the city real estate tax assessment at the time of the demolition.

B. An action seeking the imposition of such a penalty shall be instituted by petition filed by the city in circuit court, which shall be tried in the same manner as any action at law. It shall be the burden of the city to show the liability of the violator by a preponderance of the evidence. An admission of liability or finding of liability shall not be a criminal conviction for any purpose. The filing of any action pursuant to this section shall preclude a criminal prosecution for the same offense, except where the demolition, razing or moving has resulted in personal injury.

C. The defendant may, within twenty-one days after the filing of the petition, file an answer and without admitting liability, agree to restore the building or structure, as it existed prior to demolition. If the restoration is completed within the time agreed upon by the parties, or as established by the court, the petition shall be dismissed from the court's docket.

D. Nothing in this section shall preclude action by the zoning administrator pursuant to the general laws of the Commonwealth under Virginia Code, section 15.1-491(d) or by the governing body under Virginia Code, section 15.1-499, either by separate action or as a part of the petition seeking a civil penalty.

Section 4850.2. - Powers relating to housing and community development.

In addition to the powers granted by other sections of this Charter and any other provision of the general laws of the Commonwealth, the City shall have the power:

(a) To make grants and loans of funds to low- or moderate-income persons to aid in the purchase of any land, building, dwelling, or dwelling unit in the City; and to offer real estate tax deferral to low- or moderate-income persons who own any land, building, dwelling, or dwelling unit within the City. The City shall offer private lending institutions the opportunity to participate in local loan programs established pursuant to this subsection; and

(b) To make grants of funds to owners of dwellings or dwelling units in the city for the purpose of subsidizing, in part, the rental payments due and owing to any such owner by a low- or moderate-income person.

For purposes of this section, the phrase "low- or moderate-income persons" shall have the same meaning as the phrase "persons and families of low and moderate income" as that phrase is used in the Virginia Housing Development Authority Act, and shall be applied using the income guidelines issued by the Virginia Housing Development Authority for use in its single family mortgage loan program.

In addition to being able to exercise the above-mentioned powers with City funds, the City is authorized to participate in any state or federal program related thereto and to use state, federal, or private funds in the exercise of such powers.
The expenditure of any public funds as authorized in this section is hereby declared to be in
furtherance of a public purpose.

Section. 4951. - SeverabilityPartial invalidity.

If any portion clause, sentence, paragraph, section or part of this Charter act is declared
unconstitutional, be held invalid, or illegal by a court of last resort of this state in proper case
such invalidity shall not affect or invalidate any other clause, sentence, paragraph or part of this
Charter act but shall be confined exclusively to the portion so held invalid. All portions of the
charter not expressly held to be unconstitutional, invalid, or illegal shall remain in full force and
effect.
RESOLUTION REQUESTING AMENDMENT
TO THE CHARLOTTESVILLE CITY CHARTER

WHEREAS, the General Assembly granted the City of Charlottesville a Charter in 1946; and

WHEREAS, the City of Charlottesville advertised and conducted a public hearing on November 4, 2019, pursuant to Virginia Code Section 15.2-202;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Charlottesville, Virginia that the Council requests the 2020 session of the Virginia General Assembly adopt the amendments to the City of Charlottesville Charter presented to the Council on November 4, 2019; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Charlottesville, Virginia that the City Attorney shall forward a copy of this Resolution, the proposed Charter amendments, a publisher’s affidavit demonstrating the public hearing was advertised, and a certified copy of the November 4, 2019 Council meeting minutes to the City’s representatives in the General Assembly.
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Background:

On October 21, 2019, the Council conducted a first reading of proposed Police Civilian Review Board (CRB) bylaws and a proposed ordinance. The Council also considered a resolution for the CRB’s Executive Director to study and provide a report to the Council concerning an Auditor position.

Discussion:

After the October 21, 2019 Council meeting, the City Attorney’s Office made amendments to the bylaws, ordinance, and resolution. The following highlights some of the amendments that have been made:

**Auditor Resolution:** The proposed Auditor resolution now requires the CRB Executive Director to present a report and recommendation to the City Council by June 2020 or within sixty days of the Executive Director’s appointment, whichever is later. The proposed resolution also includes requirements for the report to include recommendations on data collection as well as a Memorandum of Understanding with the Charlottesville Chief of Police.

**Ordinance/Bylaws:** The proposed ordinance now includes most provisions of the bylaws. The CRB may amend its bylaws without Council approval.

**Policy Resolution:** Pursuant to the Council’s desires, I have crafted a resolution for the CRB’s ability to review Charlottesville Police Department (CPD) policies thirty days in advance of the policy enactment by CPD. The Council may amend the resolution after receiving CRB recommendations for additional policies to be added to the list.

**CRB Composition:** The CRB’s composition has been amended so that one member of the CRB may not be representative of both the “historically disadvantaged communities that have traditionally experienced disparate policing or who are residents of public housing” membership category as well as the “represents an organization that seeks racial or social justice on behalf of historically-disadvantaged communities” membership category.
**Technical Amendments:** The CRB ordinance and bylaws clarify that if a CPD internal affairs investigation contains multiple findings based on multiple issues cited, only the portion of the investigation that contains a finding of unfounded, exonerated, or not resolved may be reviewed by the CRB. The CRB ordinance and bylaws also clarify that the term “grievance proceeding” includes an appeal pursuant to the Virginia Law Enforcement Officers Procedural Guarantee Act. Additionally, the ordinance and bylaws now require the consent of a Complainant before the CRB may initiate a Review Request. The Complainant needs to consent to participate in the Review Request hearing process as well as providing the CRB access to records about the Complainant.

**Budgetary Impact:**
The budgetary impact is undetermined at this time. The costs of an Executive Director, NACOLE training for CRB members, office expenditures, and legal services will need to be determined.

**Alternatives:**
The Council could amend or decline to adopt the Ordinance, Bylaws, and Resolutions.

**Attachments:**
- Proposed CRB Bylaws
- Proposed CRB Ordinance
- Proposed CRB Resolution concerning Auditor Report
- Proposed CRB Resolution concerning Policy Review
- Proposed CRB Resolution concerning Bylaws Adoption
CHARLOTTESVILLE POLICE CIVILIAN REVIEW BOARD
BYLAWS

Adopted by the Charlottesville City Council on _________________, 2019.

ARTICLE 1. NAME

The name of this organization is the Charlottesville Police Civilian Review Board (hereinafter “Board”).

ARTICLE 2. PURPOSE

The Board aims to provide objective and independent civilian-led oversight of the Charlottesville Police Department (hereinafter “CPD”) in an effort to enhance transparency and trust, to promote fair and effective policing, and to protect the civil and constitutional rights of the people of the City of Charlottesville.

The Board’s functions, as outlined below, shall pursue the following principles and objectives:

A. Ensuring that police officers act with integrity and treat every person with equal dignity;
B. Empowering and inspiring self-governance and a culture of mutual respect;
C. Seeking social and racial justice;
D. Engaging in community outreach and amplifying the voices of the socially, politically, and economically disenfranchised;
E. Listening to and building cooperation between all stakeholders to find and develop common ground and public purpose;
F. Championing just, equitable, and legitimate policing policies and practices; and
G. Processing complaints, reviewing police practices and internal investigations, issuing findings, writing public reports, and making recommendations.

ARTICLE 3. BOARD MEMBERSHIP AND TERMS OF OFFICE

A. Composition and Qualifications.

1. The Charlottesville City Council shall appoint each member of the Board.

2. The Board shall be composed of seven voting members all of whom reside in the City of Charlottesville. Three of the Board members shall either be residents of public housing at the time of their appointment or come from historically-disadvantaged communities that have traditionally experienced disparate policing. One of the other Board members shall represent an organization, office, or agency that seeks racial or social justice or that otherwise advocates on behalf of historically-disadvantaged communities, particularly communities that have experienced disparate policing.
3. The Board shall also have one non-voting member with law enforcement experience.

B. Terms of Service.

Board Members shall be appointed for three-year terms, except for the inaugural Board (which shall have terms as described below) and may be appointed to no more than two consecutive terms pursuant to Charlottesville City Code Section 2-8.

With respect to the inaugural Board, four Board Members shall be appointed for three year terms and three Board Members shall be appointed to eighteen month terms.

The non-voting member shall serve for a term of three years.

C. Resignations, Removals and Vacancies.

1. Board Members serve at the pleasure of the Charlottesville City Council.

2. The Board may request that the Charlottesville City Council remove a Board member for misfeasance, malfeasance, or excessive absences from Board meetings.

3. Any Board member may resign from the Board at any time by delivering written notice of their resignation to the City Council’s Clerk of Council with a copy to the Board Chair. The resignation will be effective upon receipt by the Clerk of Council, unless an effective date of the resignation is specified in the written notice.

4. The Charlottesville City Council may appoint a new Board Member for the unexpired Board Member term resulting from a vacancy that occurs for any reason. In filling a vacancy for the remainder of a term, the Charlottesville City Council will attempt to maintain the composition of the Board consistent with the Article 3, Section (A)(2) of these Bylaws, but it is not required to do so. The Council will endeavor to preserve the composition of the Board consistent with Article 3, Section (A)(2) of these Bylaws by giving a preference to a prospective appointee who represents a group mentioned in these Bylaws to fulfill the aforementioned Board composition provision.

D. Conflicts of Law and Policy.

These Bylaws are not intended to conflict with ordinances or resolutions of the Charlottesville City Council. To the extent there is a conflict between any ordinance or any other resolution or matter passed by the City Council, and these Bylaws, the ordinance or resolution shall govern.

E. Board Immunity.
Board members shall enjoy the protection of sovereign immunity to the extent allowed and provided under Virginia law whether common law or statutory, including, but not limited to, the Virginia State Government Volunteers Act, Virginia Code §§ 2.2-3600, et seq., and the provisions of Virginia Code § 15.2-1405.

ARTICLE 4. CHAIR, VICE CHAIR, OTHER OFFICERS AND COMMITTEES

A. Election of Chairperson and Vice-Chairperson.

At its first meeting, the Board shall elect, by a majority vote, a Chairperson and Vice-Chairperson. The Board shall elect a Chairperson and Vice-Chairperson at its first meeting of every calendar year. No Board Member shall serve more than two consecutive terms as Chairperson.

B. Duties of the Chairperson and Vice-Chairperson.

The Chairperson shall preside over all Board meetings at which they are present. Additionally, the Chairperson shall prepare all Board meeting agendas in consultation with Board professional staff members. The Chairperson shall also draft all Board communications and serve as the Board’s media point of contact. Finally, the Chairperson may assign an ad hoc task to one or more Board members.

The Vice-Chairperson shall preside over all Board meetings in the absence of the Chairperson and shall perform any other duties delegated to them by the Chairperson. The Vice-Chairperson shall take minutes at all Board public meetings unless the Board votes to allow its Executive Director to take minutes.

C. Committees.

The Chairperson may appoint any necessary committees or subcommittees of Board members to accomplish the Board’s objectives.

D. Community Advisory Panels.

The Board may establish community advisory panels as it deems necessary. The Board shall determine the composition of the panels. The purpose of the panels shall be to provide community input on the Board’s activities. No more than two Board members shall serve on a community advisory panel, and panels will not be delegated any of the Board’s functions nor shall they serve as advisors to the Board.

ARTICLE 5. QUORUM, VOTING, MEETINGS, MINUTES, AND ETHICS
A. Quorum.

At any Board meeting, the presence of four Board members shall constitute a quorum.

B. Voting.

The vote of a majority of Board members present at a meeting with a quorum is necessary for the Board to take an action. All votes of Board members shall be taken during a public meeting, and no vote shall be taken by secret or written ballot or by proxy. All Board members who are present at a meeting, including the Chair, may vote at any meeting.

C. Regular Meetings.

At its first meeting, the Board shall establish a regular meeting schedule for the year. Regular meetings shall be scheduled on a monthly basis, however, the Chairperson may cancel any meeting if there is no business to conduct or in the event of inclement weather. Any meeting cancelled due to inclement weather will be rescheduled by the Chairperson upon consultation with other Board members.

The Board will provide a time for public comment at each of its regular meetings. The Board Chairperson will establish a reasonable time period to receive public comments. The Board Chairperson may establish a specific, uniform time period for each public commenter to address the Board.

D. Special Meetings.

The Chairperson or two Board members may call a special meeting by providing a written request to the Executive Director.

E. Participation by Electronic Communication Means

A Board member may participate in the meeting through electronic communication means if the following conditions are met:

1. A quorum of Board members is physically present at the meeting site;

2. If the Board member is unable to be physically present due, the Board member shall notify the Chairperson of their inability to attend the physical meeting;

3. If the Board member’s absence is due to a personal matter, the Board member shall identify the specific nature of the personal matter (a Board member may only participate electronically in two Board meetings per calendar year due to a personal matter);

4. The electronically participating member’s physical location is recorded in the Board’s minutes as well as the specific nature of the personal matter that prohibits the Board member’s attendance;
5. The Board’s minutes shall reflect if the electronically participating Board member’s absence is due to a temporary or permanent disability or medical condition (a Board member may electronically participate in an unlimited number of meetings due to a temporary or permanent disability or medical condition);

6. The electronically participating member’s voice can be heard by all Board members at the physical location of the meeting; and

7. By a majority vote of the Board members present at the physical meeting location, the Board approves the Board member’s electronic participation in the meeting.

F. Freedom of Information Act Compliance

The Board shall work with members of City staff to ensure compliance with all meeting notice requirements contained in the Freedom of Information Act. All regular Board meetings shall be publicly noticed in two physical locations within Charlottesville City Hall as well as on the City of Charlottesville’s calendar available on the internet.

G. Minutes

The Board shall ensure that it records meeting minutes for each Board meeting. The minutes shall contain the date, time, and place of the meeting; the Board members who are absent and present for the meeting; a brief description of the Board’s business conducted at the meeting; and a record of all votes taken at the meeting including each Board member’s vote on each issue by name, unless the vote is unanimous.

H. Ethics

The Board shall demonstrate a commitment to integrity and impartiality. A Board member shall not allow a personal interest to compromise these values. The Board shall comply with the Virginia Conflict of Interests Act (COIA). Additionally, even if the COIA does not prohibit a Board member from participating in a Board action, if a Board member does not wish to vote on a matter before the Board, they may abstain from voting.

I. Training

All Board members shall, within six months of appointment, participate in city-sponsored training offered by the National Association for Criminal Oversight of Law Enforcement (NACOLE) or a comparable organization selected by the Board’s Executive Director. The training shall consist of at least eight hours.

Additionally, the Board’s Executive Director shall provide a separate training to Board members within six months of a Board member’s appointment. The curriculum of this training shall be developed by the Executive Director in consultation with the City Manager, City Attorney, Chief of Police, and any other City staff member that the Executive Director wishes to consult.

ARTICLE 6. STAFF
A. Executive Director

The City Manager will appoint an Executive Director for the Board upon a majority vote of the Charlottesville City Council. Before recommending a candidate for Executive Director role to the City Council, the City Manager will conduct an interview panel for finalists for the position. Two members of the Board shall serve on the interview panel and provide their advice and recommendations to the City Manager. The City Manager shall supervise and evaluate the Executive Director. The Executive Director may be terminated by the City Manager. The Board may, by a majority vote, request a conference between the Board Chair and the City Manager to discuss the performance of the Executive Director.

B. Legal Counsel.

The Board’s Executive Director, the City Attorney, and the Board Chair shall work collaboratively to select legal counsel for the Board on an annual basis utilizing best practices for procuring legal services. The Board’s legal counsel shall advise the Board on all legal questions the Board may have concerning complaints, reviews of internal affairs investigations, policy recommendations, and community forums. The Board and Executive Director may consult the Office of the City Attorney for legal advice concerning legal questions not related to the four aforementioned topics.

ARTICLE 7. BOARD AUTHORITY TO REVIEW INVESTIGATIONS

A. Scope of Board Review Authority.

The Board may review CPD internal affairs investigations to ensure their thoroughness, completeness, accuracy, objectivity, and impartiality where (1) the CPD has completed an internal affairs investigation of a CPD officer and the investigation resulted in a finding of unfounded, exonerated, or not resolved; and (2) a Review Request is filed with the Board’s Executive Director. A request shall be deemed filed when it is received by the Board’s Executive Director. The Board shall not review:

1. Any Complaint related to an incident that occurred before the date of the Charlottesville City Council’s adoption of these by-laws;

2. A Complaint that is filed more than one (1) year after the date of the incident that is the subject of the Complaint;

3. A Review Request filed more than seventy-five (75) days after the date of the CPD notice sent to the complainant that informs the complainant of the completion of the CPD’s internal affairs investigation (unless the Board determines that there is good cause to extend the filing deadline); or

4. A Review Request concerning matters that are subject of a pending criminal proceeding in any trial court, a pending or anticipated civil proceeding in any trial court (as evidenced by a
Notice of Claim or filed complaint), or any City of Charlottesville grievance proceeding including an appeal pursuant to the Virginia Law Enforcement Officers Procedural Guarantee Act.

5. Any portion of an internal affairs investigation which is sustained.

The Board may act on a Review Request after the trial court has ruled in any such civil or criminal proceeding, even if the trial court's judgment has been appealed. The Board shall not act on any Review Request that is the subject of a grievance proceeding until any and all appeals are resolved.

B. Deferral of Pending Proceedings.

If at any point in the review process the Board learns that the matters of a Review Request are the subject of pending criminal proceeding in any trial court, a pending or anticipated civil proceeding in any trial court (as evidenced by a Notice of Claim or filed complaint), or any grievance proceeding, the Board shall:

1. Suspend its review;

2. Defer the review pending resolution of the criminal, civil or grievance proceeding by the trial court or Personnel Appeals Board panel;

3. Notify the complainant, in writing, of any deferrals; and

4. Track any deferred matter and notify the complainant and the once the proceedings are closed and the request for review may proceed.

The Board may request assistance of the City Attorney in making its determination that matters of a Review Request are the subject of pending proceedings.

The Board may act on a Review Request after the City Attorney determines that the trial court or Personnel Appeals Board has resolved the pending criminal, civil, or grievance matter.

**ARTICLE 8. BOARD REVIEW PROCEDURES**

A. Scheduling a Review Request.

Upon receipt of a Review Request, the Board shall meet to discuss the request and schedule a Review Request for a hearing before the Board. The Board shall determine whether the Review Request is filed within the timelines established within Article 7 of these Bylaws.

B. Access to Internal Affairs File and Other Materials.
Upon scheduling a Review Request for a hearing before the Board, the Board shall notify the Charlottesville Chief of Police. The CPD shall prepare each Board member a complete copy of the internal affairs file that is the subject of the Review Request. The City Attorney shall review the file and redact any information related to a juvenile pursuant to Virginia Code Section 16.1-301, as amended or as otherwise required to redact by law.

In addition to a complete copy of the internal affairs file, the CPD shall produce the officer’s complete complaint history including any final disciplinary action taken against the officer that is the subject of the Review Request.

Additionally, the Board shall have access to any material or evidence utilized by the CPD during its internal affairs investigation related to the Review Request unless the Chief of Police, upon concurrence of the Charlottesville Commonwealth’s Attorney, determines that the material or evidence is the subject of an active criminal investigation.

Board members may review the internal affairs file and the aforementioned disciplinary actions upon signing a notice of confidentiality in which the Board member agrees that they will not disclose the contents of an internal affairs file or disciplinary action taken against the officer. Failure to adhere to the notice of confidentiality shall result in the Council removing the Board member from the Board.

Finally, the Board shall have access to raw and aggregated data on the timing, findings, and dispositions of CPD internal affairs investigations.

C. Review Request Hearing

The Board shall conduct a hearing on all Review Requests that it finds to be in conformance with the criteria established in Article 7 of these Bylaws.

The Board may not subpoena witnesses or evidence nor may it take testimony under oath.

The individual filing the Review Request shall state the specific reason(s) for the Review Request. The individual may also present any evidence, including witnesses, supporting their reasons for filing the Review Request. The Board may question the individual filing the Review Request and any witnesses that the individual presents.

Upon the completion of the individual filing the Review Request’s presentation, a CPD representative familiar with the internal affairs investigation that is being reviewed by the Board shall present a statement which summarizes all findings of fact and a review of all evidence collected and received during the investigation. The Board may ask the CPD representative questions about the investigation.
D. Findings.

At the conclusion of the Review Request, the Board shall, within thirty days, determine, by a preponderance of the evidence, and by a majority vote of Board members one of the following findings:

1. The Board concurs with the findings of the CPD investigation; or
2. The Board advises the City Manager that the CPD investigation’s findings are not supported by the information reasonably available to CPD and make further recommendations to the City Manager concerning disposition of the Review Request; or
3. The Board advises the City Manager that the CPD’s investigation is incomplete or unsatisfactory and provide the specific reasons for this finding; or
4. After an investigation pursuant to Article 10, if the Board still believes that an investigation is unsatisfactory or incomplete, it may make a finding to that effect and provide the specific reasons for that finding.

The Board shall be advisory and shall not have disciplinary authority.

If the Board determines that the CPD investigation is incomplete or unsatisfactory and provides specific reasons for its findings, it shall suspend its Review Request inquiry and follow the procedure found in Article 10 of these Bylaws.

ARTICLE 9. COMPLAINTS

A. Complaint Intake.

A Complaint shall be in writing and shall be deemed filed when delivered or emailed to the Board’s Executive Director. A Complaint shall contain:

(i) identifying information for the person filing the Complaint;
(ii) a statement describing the reasons for the Complaint;
(iii) the specific police behavior of concern;
(iv) a description of the incident in which the behavior occurred; and
(v) a list of the names, addresses and phone numbers of all witnesses to or persons with knowledge of the incident known by the complainant.

B. Complaint Processing.

The Board shall immediately forward an Initial Complaint to the CPD for investigation. The CPD shall complete its investigation and provide an Investigation Report to the Board within seventy-five (75) days. The Board’s Executive Director will assist and answer questions a Complainant may have about the Complaint process. The Board’s Executive Director will provide an update to the Board about open Complaints at each Board meeting.

C. Complaint Results.
The CPD shall provide the Board and the Complainant a letter with its finding concerning the Complaint. If the CPD makes a finding of unfounded, exonerated, or not resolved the Complainant may file a Review Request by the Board within seventy-five (75) days of receiving the CPD finding. Additionally, the Board may initiate a Review Request, by a majority vote, if the CPD makes a finding of unfounded, exonerated, or not resolved and the Board receives the written consent of the Complainant to proceed with a Review Request.

ARTICLE 10. INVESTIGATIONS

A. Criteria.

If a Complaint investigation is not completed by CPD within seventy-five days of Complaint receipt or if, at the conclusion of a Board Review Request, the Board advises the City Manager that the CPD’s investigation is incomplete or unsatisfactory and provides the specific reasons for this finding, then the Board’s Executive Director shall request a conference with the CPD Chief of Police.

B. Conference.

The Board’s Executive Director and the Chief of Police shall conduct a conference within thirty (30) days of either criteria being met in Article 10, Section A of these Bylaws. The purpose of the conference will be to discuss the delay of a Complaint’s investigation or the Board’s specific concerns about a Review Request investigation. The Executive Director and Chief of Police shall attempt to address the Board’s concerns.

C. Report to Board.

The Executive Director shall report back to the Board after their conference with the Chief of Police. If the Board remains unsatisfied with the Executive Director’s report, the Board may vote to request an investigation concerning the Complaint’s incomplete investigation or which shall address the specific reasons the Board cited in its referral of a Review Request to the Executive Director.

D. Investigation.

If the Board votes to request an investigation pursuant to Article 10 Section (C) of these Bylaws, the Executive Director shall forward the request to the City Manager. The City Manager, in consultation with the Executive Director, shall procure an investigator independent of CPD to examine the Board’s specific concerns about the Review Request or the incomplete investigation of a Complaint. The results of the investigation shall be provided to the City Manager and the Executive Director.

Any investigation initiated by the City Manager shall comply with existing federal, state, and local law and protections including the Virginia Law Enforcement Procedural Guarantee Act as well as the United Supreme Court’s decision in Garrity v. New Jersey. Notwithstanding this
provision, only the Chief of Police may issue a “Garrity warning” to compel a statement from a CPD employee.

E. Investigation Results.

Upon completion of the investigation, the Executive Director shall provide the Board with the results of the investigation. If the investigation is the result of an incomplete Complaint investigation, the investigation shall be provided to the Complainant and the CPD. If the investigation is the result of a Review Request, the Board shall reconvene, complete its deliberations, and issue its finding.

ARTICLE 11. POLICY REVIEW AND ANNUAL REPORT

A. General Policy Review.

The CPD will provide the Board a list of all amendments that it makes to its administrative and operational policies. At the request of a Board member, the Chairperson shall schedule a review of the policy amendment at the Board’s next regularly scheduled meeting. The Board may adopt, by motion or resolution, a formal position statement on the policy amendment. The Board shall provide any official position statement to the Charlottesville Chief of Police for their consideration.

The Board may also make recommendations to the Chief of Police about proposed amendments to any existing CPD administrative and operational policies. Additionally, the Board may recommend proposed policies to the Chief of Police for their consideration.

B. November 4, 2019 Resolution Policy Review.

The Chief of Police shall provide the Board with any proposed amendments to any CPD policy contained in the Council’s November 4, 2019 Resolution at least thirty (30) days before the amendment will be enacted. The Board may adopt, by motion or resolution, a formal position statement on the policy amendment. The Board shall provide any official position statement to the Charlottesville Chief of Police for their consideration before the amendment is enacted.

C. Annual Report.

The Board shall file an annual written report to the Charlottesville City Council by December 1 of every calendar year. The report shall contain a summary of the Board’s activities for the calendar year as well as any recommendations the Board wishes to make about policing within the City of Charlottesville.

D. Legislative Proposals.

The Board may make requests to the Charlottesville City Council about specific state legislation that it wishes for the Charlottesville City Council to include in the Council’s annual
legislative package presented to the state legislators representing Charlottesville in the Virginia General Assembly. The Board shall provide all requests to the City Manager by August 15 of each calendar year.

ARTICLE 12. PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT

A. Community Outreach.

The Board may engage in community outreach to gather the input of community members about their interactions with CPD.

B. Community Listening Sessions.

The Board may engage in community listening sessions in which the Board solicits feedback about the relationship between CPD and members of the general public.

ARTICLE 13. EFFECTIVE DATE OF THE BYLAWS; AMENDMENT OF THE BYLAWS

A. Effective Date of the Bylaws.

The Bylaws shall become effective upon approval by the Charlottesville City Council. These Bylaws are procedural in nature and govern the internal operations of the Board.

B. Amendment of the Bylaws.

These Bylaws may be amended by the Board. Any proposed amendment must be presented by a Board member in writing at a regular Board meeting. The Board may adopt the amendment at its next regular meeting upon an affirmative vote of four Board members.
AN ORDINANCE
ADDING ARTICLE XVI-POLICE CIVILIAN REVIEW BOARD
TO CHAPTER 2 (ADMINISTRATION) OF THE CODE
OF THE CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE, 1990, AS AMENDED

BE IT ORDAINED by the Council for the City of Charlottesville, Virginia, that a new Article XVI (Police Civilian Review Board) is hereby added to Chapter 2 of the Code of the City of Charlottesville (1990), as amended, to read as follows:

ARTICLE XVI. POLICE CIVILIAN REVIEW BOARD

Sec. 2-450. Title.

This article shall be known as the Charlottesville Police Civilian Review Board Ordinance.

Sec. 2-451. Police Civilian Review Board established; immunities.

There is hereby created a Charlottesville Police Civilian Review Board which shall be referred to as the Police Civilian Review Board for purposes of this article. The Police Civilian Review Board shall enjoy the protection of sovereign immunity to the extent allowed and provided pursuant to Virginia statutory and common law.

Sec. 2-452. Powers and duties of the Police Civilian Review Board.

The Police Civilian Review Board shall have the following powers and duties:

(a) Develop and administer a process for receiving civilian complaints about the Charlottesville Police Department;

(b) Review Charlottesville Police Department internal affairs investigations at the request of the civilian complainant;

(c) Conduct hearings and make findings concerning Charlottesville Police Department internal affairs investigations initiated by civilians;

(d) Organize and conduct community outreach sessions;

(e) Provide policy recommendations to the City Council and Charlottesville Police Department.

Sec.-2-453. Police Civilian Review Board membership.

The Police Civilian Review Board shall be composed of seven voting members and one non-voting member appointed by the City Council. The members shall be removable by the City Council.

The seven voting members of the Police Civilian Review Board shall be residents of the City of Charlottesville.
The seven voting members shall include: at least three members who come from historically disadvantaged communities that have traditionally experienced disparate policing or who are residents of public housing and at least one other member who represents an organization that seeks racial or social justice on behalf of historically-disadvantaged communities.

The non-voting member of the Police Civilian Review Board shall consist of an individual with policing expertise or experience.

No Police Civilian Review Board voting member shall be a current City of Charlottesville employee, a current candidate for public office, a former member of the Charlottesville Police Department, an immediate family member of a current Charlottesville Police Department employee, or a current employee of a law enforcement agency.

Sec. 2-454. Police Civilian Review Board membership appointment, and terms.

(a) Appointment Process. The City Council shall appoint the members of the Police Civilian Review Board. The Council shall announce a public application process with applications available online and by hardcopy in English and Spanish for individuals interested in serving on the Police Civilian Review Board.

The City Council shall interview candidates for the Police Civilian Review Board in a closed session pursuant to the Virginia Freedom of Information Act.

The Council shall convene in an open session held pursuant to the Virginia Freedom of Information Act and appoint members of the Police Civilian Review Board.

(b) Terms. The first Police Civilian Review Board shall consist of three voting members appointed for individual terms of eighteen months and four voting members appointed for individual terms of three years.

All subsequent members of the Police Civilian Review Board shall serve terms of three years.

The non-voting member with policing expertise or experience shall serve a term of three years.

Sec. 2-455. Police Civilian Review Board vacancies.

If a Police Civilian Review Board member’s service on the Board ends before the conclusion of the Board member’s term, the City Council shall appoint an individual to complete the remainder of the term.

Sec. 2-456. Police Civilian Review Board executive director.

The City Manager shall appoint a Police Civilian Review Board Executive Director with the approval of a majority vote of the City Council.

Sec. 2-457. Police Civilian Review Board legal counsel.
The Police Civilian Review Board shall be empowered to employ its own legal counsel. The Police Civilian Review Board’s Executive Director, the City Attorney, and the Police Civilian Review Board Chair shall work collaboratively to select legal counsel for the Board on an annual basis utilizing best practices for procuring legal services. The Board’s legal counsel shall advise the Board on all legal questions the Board may have concerning complaints, reviews of internal affairs investigations, policy recommendations, and community forums. The Board and Executive Director may consult the Office of the City Attorney for legal advice concerning legal questions not related to the four aforementioned topics.

Sec. 2-458. Police Civilian Review Board policy recommendations.

The Police Civilian Review Board may recommend policies or procedures to the City Council or the Charlottesville Police Department concerning police practices.

The Charlottesville Police Department shall provide any proposed amendment to policies or general orders found listed on the November 4, 2019 City Council Resolution, as may be amended from time to time, to the Police Civilian Review Board at least thirty days before its enactment. The Police Civilian Review Board may provide comment upon the proposed policy or general order’s impacts, including but not limited to, on historically disadvantaged communities that have traditionally experienced disparate policing.

Upon the determination of the Chief of Police, in consultation with the City Manager and Police Civilian Review Board Executive Director, that it is impractical to present an amendment to a policy listed on the November 4, 2019 City Council Resolution to the Police Civilian Review Board at least thirty days before its enactment, the City Manager may waive the thirty day advanced review requirement. The amendment shall still be presented to the Police Civilian Review Board for review and comment.

Sec. 2-459. Police Civilian Review Board community engagement and outreach.

The Police Civilian Review Board is authorized to engage in community outreach efforts to discuss and gather information about community relations between the Charlottesville Police Department and the general public.

The Police Civilian Review Board may request the City Council to conduct a joint meeting to discuss issues of concern between the Charlottesville Police Department and the general public.

Sec. 2-460. Police Civilian Review Board complaint intake.

The Police Civilian Review Board is authorized to develop and administer a process for receiving civilian complaints about the Charlottesville Police Department.

(a) Complaint Intake.

A Complaint shall be in writing and shall be deemed filed when delivered or emailed to the Police Civilian Review Board’s Executive Director. A Complaint shall contain:

(i) identifying information for the person filing the Complaint;
(ii) a statement describing the reasons for the Complaint;
(iii) the specific police behavior of concern;
(iv) a description of the incident in which the behavior occurred; and
(v) a list of the names, addresses and phone numbers of all witnesses to or persons 
with knowledge of the incident known by the complainant.

(b) Complaint Processing.

The Police Civilian Review Board shall immediately forward the Complaint to the 
Charlottesville Police Department for investigation. The Charlottesville Police Department shall 
complete its investigation and provide an Investigation Report to the Police Civilian Review 
Board within seventy-five (75) days. The Executive Director will assist and answer questions a 
Complainant may have about the Complaint process. The Executive Director will provide an 
update to the Police Civilian Review Board about open Complaints at each Police Civilian 
Review Board meeting.

(c) Complaint Results.

The Charlottesville Police Department shall provide the Police Civilian Review Board 
and the Complainant a letter with its finding concerning the Complaint. If the Charlottesville 
Police Department makes a finding of unfounded, exonerated, or not resolved the Complainant 
may file a Review Request pursuant to Sections 2-461 and 2-462 by the Police Civilian Review 
Board within seventy-five (75) days of receiving the Charlottesville Police Department finding. 
Additionally, the Police Civilian Review Board may initiate a Review Request, by a majority 
vote, if the CPD makes a finding of unfounded, exonerated, or not resolved and the Board 
receives the written consent of the Complainant to proceed with a Review Request.

Sec. 2-461. Police Civilian Review Board internal investigation review.

(a) Scope of Board Review Authority.

The Police Civilian Review Board may review Charlottesville Police Department 
internal affairs investigations to ensure their thoroughness, completeness, accuracy, objectivity, and 
impartiality where (1) the Charlottesville Police Department has completed an internal affairs 
investigation of a CPD officer and the investigation resulted in a finding of unfounded, exonerated, 
or not resolved; and (2) a Review Request is filed by a civilian with the Police Civilian Review 
Board’s Executive Director. A request shall be deemed filed when it is received by the Executive 
Director. The Board shall not review:

1. Any Complaint related to an incident that occurred before the date of the 
Charlottesville City Council’s adoption of this Article;

2. A Complaint that is filed more than one (1) year after the date of the incident that 
is the subject of the Complaint;

3. A Review Request filed more than seventy-five (75) days after the date of the 
Charlottesville Police Department notice sent to the complainant that informs the complainant of the 
completion of the Charlottesville Police Department’s internal affairs investigation (unless the 
Police Civilian Review Board determines that there is good cause to extend the filing deadline); or

4. A Review Request concerning matters that are subject of a pending criminal 
proceeding in any trial court, a pending or anticipated civil proceeding in any trial court (as
evidenced by a Notice of Claim or filed complaint), or any City of Charlottesville grievance proceeding (inclusive of a grievance initiated pursuant to the Virginia Law Enforcement Officers Procedural Guarantee Act); or

5. Any portion of an internal affairs investigation which is sustained.

The Police Civilian Review Board may act on a Review Request after the trial court has ruled in any such civil or criminal proceeding, even if the trial court's judgment has been appealed. The Police Civilian Review Board shall not act on any Review Request that is the subject of a grievance proceeding until any and all appeals are resolved.

(b) Deferral of Pending Proceedings.

If at any point in the review process the Police Civilian Review Board learns that the matters of a Review Request are the subject of pending criminal proceeding in any trial court, a pending or anticipated civil proceeding in any trial court (as evidenced by a Notice of Claim or filed complaint), or any grievance proceeding, the Police Civilian Review Board shall:

1. Suspend its review;

2. Defer the review pending resolution of the criminal, civil or grievance proceeding by the trial court or Personnel Appeals Board panel;

3. Notify the complainant, in writing, of any deferrals; and

4. Track any deferred matter and notify the complainant once the proceedings are closed and the Review Request may proceed.

The Police Civilian Review Board may request assistance of the City Attorney in making its determination that matters of a Review Request are the subject of pending proceedings.

The Police Civilian Review Board may act on a Review Request after the City Attorney determines that the trial court or Personnel Appeals Board has resolved the pending criminal, civil, or grievance matter.

(c) Access to Materials.

Upon scheduling a Review Request for a hearing before the Police Civilian Review Board, the Board shall notify the Charlottesville Chief of Police. The Charlottesville Police Department shall prepare each Board member a complete copy of the internal affairs file that is the subject of the Review Request. The City Attorney shall review the file and redact any information related to a juvenile pursuant to Virginia Code Section 16.1-301, as amended or as otherwise required to redact by law.

In addition to a complete copy of the internal affairs file, the Charlottesville Police Department shall produce the officer’s complete complaint history including any final disciplinary action taken against the officer that is the subject of the Review Request.

Additionally, the Police Civilian Review Board shall have access to any material or evidence utilized by the Charlottesville Police Department during its internal affairs investigation.
related to the Review Request unless the Chief of Police, upon concurrence of the Charlottesville Commonwealth’s Attorney, determines that the material or evidence is the subject of an active criminal investigation.

Police Civilian Review Board members may review the internal affairs file and the aforementioned disciplinary actions upon signing a notice of confidentiality in which the Police Civilian Review Board member agrees that they will not disclose the contents of an internal affairs file or disciplinary action taken against the officer. Failure to adhere to the notice of confidentiality shall result in the Council removing the Board member from the Police Civilian Review Board.

Finally, the Police Civilian Review Board shall have access to raw and aggregated data on the timing, findings, and dispositions of CPD internal affairs investigations.

(d) Review Request Hearing.

The Police Civilian Review Board shall conduct a hearing on all Review Requests that it finds to be in conformance with the criteria established in Section 2-461.

The Police Civilian Review Board may not subpoena witnesses or evidence nor may it take testimony under oath.

The individual filing the Review Request shall state the specific reason(s) for the Review Request. The individual may also present any evidence, including witnesses, supporting their reasons for filing the Review Request. The Police Civilian Review Board may question the individual filing the Review Request and any witnesses that the individual presents.

Upon the completion of the individual filing the Review Request’s presentation, a CPD representative familiar with the internal affairs investigation that is being reviewed by the Police Civilian Review Board shall present a statement which summarizes all findings of fact and a review of all evidence collected and received during the investigation. The Police Civilian Review Board may ask the CPD representative questions about the investigation.

(e) Findings.

At the conclusion of the Review Request, the Police Civilian Review Board shall, within thirty days, determine, by a preponderance of the evidence, and by a majority vote of Police Civilian Review Board members one of the following findings:

1. The Police Civilian Review Board concurs with the findings of the Charlottesville Police Department investigation; or

2. The Police Civilian Review Board advises the City Manager that the Charlottesville Police Department investigation’s findings are not supported by the information reasonably available to the Charlottesville Police Department and make further recommendations to the City Manager concerning disposition of the Review Request; or

3. The Police Civilian Review Board advises the City Manager that the Charlottesville Police Department’s investigation is incomplete or unsatisfactory and provide the specific reasons for this finding; or

4. After an investigation pursuant to Section 2-462, if the Police Civilian Review Board still finds that an investigation is unsatisfactory or incomplete, it may make a finding to that effect and provide the specific reasons for its finding.
Sec. 2-462. Investigations.

(a) Criteria.

If a Complaint investigation initiated pursuant to Section 2-460 is not completed by the Charlottesville Police Department within seventy-five days of Complaint receipt or if, at the conclusion of a Review Request, the Police Civilian Review Board advises the City Manager that the Charlottesville Police Department’s investigation is incomplete or unsatisfactory and provides the specific reasons for this finding, then the Police Civilian Review Board’s Executive Director shall request a conference with the Charlottesville Police Department Chief of Police.

(b) Conference.

The Executive Director and the Chief of Police shall conduct a conference within thirty (30) days of either criteria being met in subsection (a) of this Section. The purpose of the conference will be to discuss the delay of a Complaint’s investigation or the Police Civilian Board’s specific concerns about a Review Request investigation. The Executive Director and Chief of Police shall attempt to address the Board’s concerns.

(c) Report to Board.

The Executive Director shall report back to the Police Civilian Review Board after their conference with the Chief of Police. If the Police Civilian Review Board remains unsatisfied with the Executive Director’s report, the Board may vote to request an investigation concerning the Complaint’s incomplete investigation or to address the specific reasons the Police Civilian Review Board cited in its referral of a Review Request to the Executive Director.

(d) Investigation.

If the Police Civilian Review Board votes to request an investigation pursuant to subsection (c) of this Section, the Executive Director shall forward the request to the City Manager. The City Manager, in consultation with the Executive Director, shall procure an investigator independent of the Charlottesville Police Department to examine the Police Civilian Review Board’s specific concerns about the Review Request or the incomplete investigation of a Complaint. The results of the investigation shall be provided to the City Manager and the Executive Director.

Any investigation initiated by the City Manager shall comply with existing federal, state, and local law and protections including the Virginia Law Enforcement Procedural Guarantee Act as well as the United Supreme Court’s decision in *Garrity v. New Jersey*. Notwithstanding this provision, only the Chief of Police may issue a “Garrity warning” to compel a statement from a Charlottesville Police Department employee.

(e) Investigation Results.

Upon completion of the investigation, the Executive Director shall provide the Police Civilian Review Board with the results of the investigation. If the investigation is the result of an incomplete Complaint investigation, the investigation shall be provided to the Complainant and the Charlottesville Police Department. If the investigation is the result of a
Review Request, the Police Civilian Review Board shall reconvene, complete its deliberations, and issue its finding.

**Sec. 2-463. Police Civilian Review Board recommendations and annual report.**

The Police Civilian Review shall provide the City Council a list of recommendations, if the Board determines any recommendations are necessary, for the Council’s consideration to include in its annual legislative program present to the General Assembly. These recommendations shall be due to the Council by August 15 of each year.

The Police Civilian Review Board shall provide the City Council with an annual report by December 1 of each year. The report shall detail the Police Civilian Review Board’s calendar year activities.

**Sec. 2-464. Police Civilian Review Board by-laws.**

The City Council shall approve Police Civilian Review Board’s initial bylaws that shall govern the procedures, practices, and internal operations of the Police Civilian Review Board. The Police Civilian Review Board may amend its bylaws. Any proposed amendment must be presented by a Police Civilian Review Board member in writing at a regular Board meeting. The Police Civilian Review Board may adopt the amendment at its next regular meeting upon an affirmative vote of four Board members.
RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING POLICE CIVILIAN REVIEW BOARD EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO PREPARE A JUNE 2020 REPORT TO CITY COUNCIL

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of Charlottesville, Virginia that the Police Civilian Review Board’s Executive Director shall issue a report to the Charlottesville City Council in June 2020 or within sixty days of the Executive Director’s appointment by City Council if the appointment occurs after April 30, 2020; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of Charlottesville, Virginia that the City Manager, in consultation with the Executive Director, shall recommend a sufficient appropriation to the Council to employ a consultant to assist the Executive Director in composing their report; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of Charlottesville, Virginia that the June 2020 Executive Director’s report shall recommend whether the Council should appropriate funds for an Auditor position to serve the Police Civilian Review Board; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of Charlottesville, Virginia that the Executive Director’s report shall recommend whether the Auditor should be a full-time or part-time position or if the Executive Director recommends contracting with a firm for audit services; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of Charlottesville, Virginia that the Executive Director’s report shall detail which, if any, aspects of the Charlottesville Police Department’s operations should be audited; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of Charlottesville, Virginia that the Executive Director’s report shall detail which forms and types of Charlottesville Police Department data should be made available for an Auditor position to analyze; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of Charlottesville, Virginia that the Executive Director’s report shall recommend whether the Executive Director and the Charlottesville Chief of Police should enter into a formal Memorandum of Understanding; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of Charlottesville, Virginia that the Executive Director’s report shall recommend what topics, functions, and information should be included with a Memorandum of Understanding between the Charlottesville Chief of Police and the Executive Director; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of Charlottesville, Virginia that the Council will consider the Executive Director’s report and may amend any ordinances, appropriate funds, or enact resolutions to achieve the Executive Director’s report’s recommendations.
WHEREAS, the Charlottesville City Council adopted an ordinance on November 4, 2019 detailing the authority of the Police Civilian Review Board (CRB); and

WHEREAS, the CRB ordinance states that the Council will provide, by resolution, a list of Charlottesville Police Department (CPD) policies which the CRB may review thirty days in advance of CPD enacting the policies.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Charlottesville, Virginia that the CRB shall be entitled to review the Charlottesville Police Department’s Internal Investigations and Citizen Complaints (Manual Number 517.01) policy thirty days before CPD may enact any amendment to the policy.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Charlottesville, Virginia that the Council may, upon recommendation of the CRB, amend this resolution to include additional CPD policies which may not be amended until the CRB is provided a thirty day period to amend the policy.
RESOLUTION APPROVING POLICE
CIVILIAN REVIEW BOARD BYLAWS

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Charlottesville, Virginia that initial bylaws of the Charlottesville Police Civilian Review Board are adopted as presented to City Council on November 4, 2019.
Agenda Date: November 4, 2019
Action Required: Resolution Adoption
Staff Contacts: John Blair, City Attorney
Presenter: John Blair, City Attorney
Heather Hill, City Councilor
Title: Planning and Coordination Council Redefinition Plan

Background:

In 1986, Charlottesville, Albemarle County, and the University of Virginia entered into a “Three Party Agreement” which addresses development issues between the three entities. The “Three Party Agreement” established a Planning and Coordination Council (PACC) as an advisory body to foster cooperative planning and to provide guidance and recommendations for development decisions made by the three entities. The PACC is supported by PACC Tech, a group of professional staff which supports the PACC’s work.

Discussion:

Recently, some of PACC’s membership, in consultation with leadership from the three entities as well as the Thomas Jefferson Planning District Commission (TJPDC), discussed the possibility of dissolving the PACC and establishing a Land Use and Environmental Planning Committee (LUEPC). The PACC is presenting this proposal to the governing bodies of each entity for their consideration.

The LUEPC would consist of thirteen technical professionals, including a Planning Commissioner from the City as well as one from Albemarle County. LUEPC’s membership would include staff from the three entities as well as the University of Virginia Foundation and the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority. The LUEPC would discuss land use and development plans and projects, but its scope would expand to include infrastructure and environmental issues such as stormwater and solid waste.

As an administrative body, the LUEPC would not be subject to the Freedom of Information Act’s open meeting requirements. However, the PACC redefinition would require that LUEPC agendas and minutes would be posted to the TJPDC’s website. Additionally, LUEPC will provide written reports as well as biannual forums for the three entities. The biannual forums will be open to the public, and they will include the Charlottesville City Council, the Albemarle County Board of Supervisors, and the University of Virginia.
The Three Party Agreement would remain in effect, even if the PACC is dissolved and LUEPC is established. The University of Virginia would continue to have a representative on the Charlottesville Planning Commission and the Albemarle County Planning Commission. The City and Albemarle County would continue to have representatives on the University of Virginia’s Master Planning Committee.

The Albemarle County Board of Supervisors will consider the PACC’s proposal to dissolve and the establishment of LUEPC at the Board’s November 6, 2019 meeting.

**Budgetary Impact:**

There will be no budgetary impact associated with this resolution.

**Attachments:**

Proposal to Redefine the Planning and Coordination Council  
Presentation to the October 3, 2019 Planning and Coordination Council meeting  
Resolution
Proposal to Redefine the Planning and Coordination Council

**BACKGROUND**

The Planning & Coordination Council (PACC) established in 1986 as part of the “Three-Party Agreement” promotes cooperation in planning and community development among the City of Charlottesville, Albemarle County, and the University of Virginia. PACC is an advisory body – not a decision making body – that fosters cooperative planning and provides guidance and recommendations for decisions made by the City, County, and/or UVA. PACC Tech, a group comprised of professional staff from the City, County and UVa, supports its work.

**PROPOSED REDEFINITION**

It is important to have a venue in which the City, County, and University can share land use and development plans and projects. However, this is a function better served by technical professionals who are developing those plans and can work together to ensure cooperation and collaboration. We recommend that a working group of professionals be established; that the scope of work be expanded to include not only land use and planning, but also environmental issues like stormwater, solid waste, and sustainability, as well as infrastructure issues. The group will meet regularly with a schedule to be determined by the group. It is suggested that meetings occur bi-monthly to ensure adequate time to discuss timely issues from each entity and share that information with the public and each entity’s senior leadership. The group will be comprised of the following members supported by additional staff as needed:

**City:**
- Neighborhood Development Services Director
- Director of Public Works
- Director of Public Utilities
- Representative from the City Planning Commission

**County:**
- Community Development Director
- Facility and Environmental Services Director
- Representative from the County Planning Commission
Proposal to Redefine the Planning and Coordination Council

University of Virginia:
   Architect for the University
   Director of Facilities Management Operations
   Director of Real Estate and Leasing Services

University of Virginia Foundation:
   Director of Design and Development
   Director of Real Estate Asset Management

Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority:
   Executive Director

The redefinition of PACC does not in any way impact the Three Party Agreement and its applicability to land use planning among the three entities.

COMMUNICATION AND TRANSPARENCY

While the group is an administrative body, it will focus on transparency and will develop a clear communication plan for its work. Communication strategies will include:

- Post agendas and minutes after each meeting to the website hosted by the Thomas Jefferson Planning District Commission that currently includes archived PACC minutes and history.
- Present a summary of the group’s work publicly at a biannual meeting with leaders from the City of Charlottesville, County of Albemarle, and the University of Virginia.
- Submit to the leadership of each entity by January 15 and July 15 of each year, a written report summarizing the group’s work over the past six months.
- Include a member of the City Planning Commission and the County Planning Commission on the administrative working group each of whom will keep their respective bodies informed of planning and other issues that are pertinent to the work of the Commissions.
Proposal to Redefine the Planning and Coordination Council

• Continue representation by UVa on the City Planning Commission and on the County Planning Commission.
• Continue representation by City and the County representatives on the University’s Master Planning Committee.

RECOMMENDED NAME FOR THE NEW WORKING GROUP

Land Use and Environmental Planning Committee (LUEPC)

RECOMMENDED ACTION

It is recommended that PACC take an action to formally dissolve itself and PACC Tech. It is further recommended that the new process be evaluated after one year to determine whether it is achieving its stated objectives and whether there is adequate communication with the public about the substance of the group’s work.

Respectfully submitted,

Mike Murphy, Deputy City Manager
Jeff Richardson, County Executive
Chip Boyles, Executive Director of the Thomas Jefferson Planning District Commission
Colette Sheehy, Senior Vice President for Operations at UVa

Revised August 28, 2019
PROPOSED REDEFINITION OF THE PLANNING AND COORDINATING COUNCIL
BACKGROUND

- Planning & Coordination Council (PACC) established in 1986
- Part of "Three-Party Agreement" promoting cooperation in planning and community development among the City of Charlottesville, Albemarle County, and the University of Virginia
- An advisory body that fosters cooperative planning
- Provides guidance and recommendations for decisions made by the City, County, and/or UVA
- PACC Tech (staff from the City, County and UVA) supports its work
PROPOSED REDEFINITION

- Venue to share land use and development plans and projects may be better served by professionals who are developing those plans and can work together to ensure cooperation and collaboration.

- Establish working group of professionals to include not only land use and planning, but also environmental issues and infrastructure issues.

- Meet regularly, perhaps bi-monthly, to ensure adequate time to discuss timely issues from each entity and share that information with the public and each entity's senior leadership.

- Membership from City, County, University of Virginia, University of Virginia Foundation, and the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority.

- Three Party Agreement and its applicability to land use planning among the three entities remains in effect.
## Proposed Membership

### City
- Neighborhood Development Services Director
- Director of Public Works
- Director of Public Utilities
- Representative from the City Planning Commission

### County
- Community Development Director
- Facility and Environmental Services Director
- Representative from the County Planning Commission

### University of Virginia
- Architect for the University
- Director of Facilities Management Operations
- Director of Real Estate and Leasing Services

### UVA Foundation
- Director of Design and Development
- Director of Real Estate Asset Management

### Rivanna Water & Sewer Authority
- Executive Director
COMMUNICATION AND TRANSPARENCY

- Post agendas and minutes to PACC website
- Submit regular six-month written report summarizing the group's work
- Present these reports at biannual public meeting with leaders from the City, County, and UVA
- Include member of City Planning Commission and County Planning Commission on administrative working group
- Continue UVA representation on City and County Planning Commissions
- Continue City and County representation on UVA's Master Planning Committee
ADVANTAGES

- Broadens participation to include all elected members of the City Council and Board of Supervisors together with University leadership at biannual public meetings
- Expands membership to include University of Virginia Foundation and the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority
- Broadens collaboration beyond land use to include environmental topics and sustainability
- Allows professional staff to collaborate and develop solutions on a continuous basis with regularly scheduled reports to leadership of all three entities
- Retains visibility into the substance of the work via publicly posted agendas, minutes, and materials
PROPOSAL

- Establish Land Use and Environmental Planning Committee (LUEPC)

- Dissolve PACC and PACC Tech

- Evaluate structure after one year to determine if:
  - We have achieved the stated objectives, and
  - Provided adequate public communication about the group's work
RESOLUTION SUPPORTING THE PLANNING AND COORDINATION COUNCIL’S DISSOLUTION

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Charlottesville, Virginia that the Council hereby supports the dissolution of the Planning and Coordination Council and the establishment of the Land Use and Environmental Planning Committees to coordinate development, land use, environmental, and infrastructure issues between the University of Virginia, the City of Charlottesville, and Albemarle County.
Background:

The Tree Commission Chair will provide an update on activities over the past year and some thoughts on issues the Commission intends to work on in the coming year.

Discussion:

The Tree Commission has continued to be the City’s advocacy voice for trees and the urban forest, responding to citizen inquiries/concern and weighing in when tree related issues arose in the community. Highlights this year include hosting the City’s Arbor Day celebration in Market Street Park, engaged in steering committees for E. High St., Barracks Rd., Fontaine Av. and advised on other development projects. The Tree Commission, in conjunction with the Charlottesville Area Tree Stewards, were also able to coordinate a tree planting project with volunteers in Belmont. The Tree Commission has also continued to advocate for trees throughout the Standards and Design Manual revision process.

Alignment with City Council’s Vision and Strategic Plan:
The Tree Commission activities support the City Council’s “Green City” vision.

Charlottesville City Council Vision 2025: A Green City:

“Charlottesville citizens live in a community with a vibrant urban forest, tree-lined streets, and lush green neighborhoods. We have an extensive natural trail system, along with healthy rivers and streams. We have clean air and water, we emphasize recycling and reuse, and we minimize stormwater runoff. Our homes and buildings are sustainably designed and energy efficient.”
Community Engagement:

Tree Commission meetings are open to the public.

Budgetary Impact:

This report has no impact on the General Fund.

Recommendation:

Report only

Attachments:

Annual State of the Forest Report
**STATE OF THE URBAN FOREST**

The Charlottesville Tree Commission FY2019 Annual Report

**Tree Commission Charge.** The Tree Commission is charged by City Council to “protect and improve the urban forest, which provides better quality of life for City residents and provides environmental and aesthetic benefits by preserving and monitoring all trees located on public right-of-ways and public property…. It will advocate for trees before City Council and the Planning Commission.”

**The Urban Tree Canopy.** Everyone loves trees, but does everyone know how much we need them for our health and well being? Cities all across the country are undertaking major efforts to increase their tree canopies because they recognize the benefit of trees to air quality, public health, climate change mitigation, and energy conservation, as well as the basic aesthetic appreciation of tree lined streets.

Although Goal 2.2 of the City’s 2013 Comprehensive Plan is to “expand and protect the overall tree canopy” of the City, the chart below shows that, in the years 2004 - 2014, the city lost 5% of its overall tree canopy, and it is anticipated that the next aerial survey to be completed in 2020 will show additional canopy loss.

**Charlottesville’s Tree Canopy by Neighborhood.** The 5-year aerial survey provides data for each of the 21 Charlottesville neighborhoods showing the number of acres of tree canopy (green) for each, as well as the number of acres available for possible for tree planting (yellow).

The chart above shows not only the low canopy cover in the most urban neighborhoods, i.e. Starr Hill, 10th & Page, Rose Hill, but also the lack of available land in those neighborhoods on which to plant additional trees. The Commission uses this data to help target specific neighborhoods for additional trees, such as Belmont, a neighborhood that has a sizable amount of available land on which to plant trees.
Maintaining/Expanding the Urban Tree Canopy. The Tree Commission’s goal is, at a minimum, to maintain the City’s current tree canopy by planting at least 200 trees a year. This goal is not being met.

The chart below shows that the highest number of trees planted was 161 in FY18, well below the 200 tree goal. In both FY17 and FY18, the number of trees planted was less than the number of trees removed for a net loss of 67 trees over the two year period. Even with the tree gain in FY19, the City has lost, not gained, 41 trees over the last 3-year period. These numbers reflect only the trees the City is planting and removing on public land, but does not take into account any trees lost through private development.

Location of Trees Planted on Public Property. The chart below shows that despite a priority to plant street trees (ROW on the chart), the majority of trees planted by Parks and Recreation are in the city parks.

Although more trees planted in the parks help the overall tree canopy, they do not provide needed shade for pedestrians and urban neighborhoods to help reduce energy costs and promote health.

Planting Large Canopy Trees. Besides planting additional trees, another way to increase the city’s tree canopy is to plant larger canopy trees. The chart on the right shows the Commission’s priority to plant larger canopy trees that will provide shade for pedestrians, as well as for city neighborhoods to help save energy costs, mitigate pollution and foster a healthier environment. The chart shows the increasing percentage of larger trees planted by Parks and Recreation in the last two years.
Agencies Planting Trees on Public Property.

Another way to increase the city’s tree canopy is to collaborate with other partners to plant more large canopy trees. The biggest partner agency is VDOT, which as you can see on the chart on the right has planted the greatest number of trees in the past four years. In FY16, VDOT planted 487 trees for the John Warner Parkway and Interchange.

Other private partners, such as the Tree Stewards offer volunteer time and resources to plant trees on public land in Charlottesville. Almost 40% of the trees planted in FY18 were from private sources. What is not reflected in the FY19 data is a new collaborative effort between the Tree Commission and the Tree Stewards to plant trees in low canopy neighborhoods. In FY19, 21 trees were planted in Belmont front yards, which in addition to the environmental benefits, will provide shade to City sidewalks and streets.

Charlottesville Resources Dedicated to Trees. The chart to the right shows City revenues that have been allocated to trees through the CIP process. In fiscal years 2017-2018, Council approved $50,000 for tree preservation and planting. In FY19, Council approved $50,000 for tree planting only, with $50,000 being approved in the Pks & Rec operations budget for tree preservation, thus increasing the total funding for trees by 100%.

However, even with level funds of $50,000/year for tree planting, the Commission cannot meet its minimum annual goal to plant 200 trees per year. Due to the increasing cost of trees each year from $250/tree several years ago to $350/tree, only about 140 trees can be planted each year. Not only is our goal financially unattainable, we are unable to outpace the number of trees that are taken down each year.
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- Background
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BACKGROUND

Abject poverty surrounded by segregated wealth, food insecurity, negative health outcomes, community distrust and disrespect, racial tension and an alarming affordable housing crisis; these are a small sample of the litany of opportunity costs our community is suffering from racism and economic exclusion.

In July of 2017, a group of public servants, educators, artists, and entrepreneurs were convened by Kathy Galvin and then Vice Mayor Wes Bellamy to begin discussing the ever-changing economic landscape of Charlottesville, the on-going development thereof, and where the African American community fits into the picture. A series of solution-oriented meetings ensued and then persevered through one of Charlottesville’s darkest moments in history.

Out of great adversity comes triumph for those who endure and it became ever more clear that a new group, a new pathway, and a renewed ideal must emerge. With a reverence toward the history of African American prosperity in Vinegar Hill before its destruction and a recognition of the critical importance of African American wealth building, New Hill Development Corporation was established to build pathways of upward mobility in a city where wealth and prosperity abound, yet is secluded in plain sight.

The problems are plentiful, yet there are solutions that lie in wait for those that desire change for the betterment of the city and understand that city government can’t carry the entire load. E pluribus unum is a traditional motto of the United States, meaning ‘out of many comes one’. Through strong collaborations, partnerships, extensive community engagement, and an approach with a servant’s heart, many voices have been engaged and have contributed to the following plan to develop one community we ALL can be proud of and thrive in.

PROJECT TIMELINE

March 7
Starr Hill Community Engagement Kick-Off Meeting

March 8
Starr Hill Neighborhood Tour

May 1
Community Organizer Onboarding and Training

May 2
Community Organizer Interviews Begin

May 9
Community Focus Groups

May 10
Community Organizer Neighborhood Work Session

May 11
Community Organizer Neighborhood Vision Community Meeting

May 12
Community Organizer Neighborhood Vision Community Meeting

May 13
Community Organizer Neighborhood Vision Work Session

May 14
Community Organizer Neighborhood Vision Community Meeting

June 11
Operation Hope Events

June 12
Launch Pynka

July 13
May–September
Ambassadors engaged the Community in supportive and informative ways

June 15
June–September Regional Research & 1:1 Interviews

Austin IX
August–September
City Leader Feedback

August 12–August 16
August 14
August 15
August 16
Subjects Matter Feedback Sessions

September 12
Launch Qualtrics

October 30
September 30
Project Completion

PROJECT OVERVIEW

In the spring of 2019, New Hill Development Corporation and Local Initiatives Support Corporation (LISC) engaged Richmond-based firm Floricane to facilitate the development of a community engagement process and the creation of a Small Area Plan (SAP) for the Starr Hill community in Charlottesville.

The development of this plan was built around an extended community engagement plan; the creation of a community-generated vision for Starr Hill; and the construction of a final concept plan to help guide long-term development in and around the 47.7 acres of the broader Starr Hill neighborhood. With a strong community-guided vision as a foundation, the plan was also shaped with expert support from LISC’s economic development and housing team.

Over a seven month period—beginning in April (when the community engagement plan was submitted to the City) and lasting through October—the larger team engaged in an extended series of conversations in and around Starr Hill, examined sets of larger city-specific and regional data, and co-created a comprehensive Small Area Plan to guide the future development of Charlottesville’s Starr Hill neighborhood.
New Hill Development Corporation (NHDC)
New Hill Development Corporation is an African-American led social enterprise established to build financial resilience, economic opportunity and affordable housing in the Greater Charlottesville Black community. New Hill’s vision is a resilient Black community thriving within a more diverse, inclusive, equitable community. NHDC will expand and strengthen Charlottesville's African-American middle class by creating new avenues of upward mobility in Greater Charlottesville through the following three pillars: expanding financial inclusion and access to capital, improving access to affordable ownership and rental housing options, and supporting economic, educational, and cultural development programs.

Local Initiative Support Corporation (LISC)
Local Initiative Support Corporation (LISC) is a national nonprofit organization with local priorities committed to building healthy communities that are great places to live, work, do business and raise families.

Floricane LLC
Floricane is a strategic planning and creative facilitation consultancy focused on helping nonprofit, cultural and community organizations think differently and to strategically venture themselves to create a future different from the past. The Floricane team brought a wide array of talent and tools to help NHDC and the Starr Hill community attain their goal including a facility for creative expansive envisioning, strong experience with small and large group facilitation and dialogue, and a genuine belief in the power of individuals to come together and create a shared future.

RW Ventures LLC (RWV)
RW Ventures, LLC is an economic development firm commissioned to conduct asset identification and needs assessment for the Starr Hill neighborhood and the broader community its SAP might impact or connect with. RWV specializes in market-based strategies for growing urban and regional economies. Their work bridges theory and practice by employing sophisticated analytic tools to identify market dynamics and opportunities, and then creating products and programs to drive inclusive industry, neighborhood and regional growth. For this project, RWV’s work included an analysis of the regional economic context as well as an inventory and qualitative assessment of Starr Hill assets and economic opportunities.

Community Organizing Ambassadors
Six members of the local community were recruited and commissioned to engage in personal ‘porch conversation’ interviews with area residents and merchants, represent the project at community events, and generally share informational updates within their community networks and associations. Additional community members were contracted to provide food, transportation and child/elder care services needed during meetings. The community ambassadors spent weeks going door-to-door to engage dozens of Starr Hill residents and business owners in the process.

Community Participants
Key Stakeholders, from individuals to organizations, were identified at the onset of the project and they continued to be engaged throughout the process attending meetings and events, sharing views and giving feedback, and receiving communication updates. With each engagement and conversation, the group of stakeholders expanded exponentially. A list of Participating Organizations thus far is appended.
COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

PROCESS OVERVIEW

A key aspect of the plan’s development was ensuring that the voices of local residents and members of Charlottesville’s Black business community were central to the process. The development of a Community Engagement Plan for Starr Hill mapped out a broad strategy for community involvement and outreach. The plan was initially designed around three questions determined to be critical for the creation of a meaningful community vision and plan for the future:

1. How can the concept plan clarify and address the needs (social, economic, cultural) of the existing Starr Hill community?
2. In what ways can the concept plan create more equitable development opportunities within Starr Hill for the African-American community?
3. What are the specific opportunities for future development in Starr Hill to serve the broader social equity needs of the greater Charlottesville community?

To ensure active outreach and engagement, the process emphasized multiple methods of reaching and involving key communities into the Starr Hill conversation at appropriate times. More than 200 area residents, merchants, service providers and Black business community members were directly engaged in the process through a series of efforts that included:

- Hiring a small team of Community Organizing Ambassadors within the local Charlottesville community to support the overall engagement process.
- Conducting door-to-door interviews and surveys with each resident and business owner in the Starr Hill neighborhood.
- Conducting in-depth interviews and focus group discussions with key community stakeholders, including adjacent neighborhood resident associations and Starr Hill business owners. Focused conversations were also held with key members of the African-American business community, local community and nonprofit organizations, City and County departments, local housing leaders, area developers, workforce development programs, and larger area employers.
- A community meeting at the Jefferson School African American Heritage Center to introduce a preliminary Neighborhood Vision and Guiding Principles synthesized from community input. During that season, more than 40 stakeholders provided additional perspective and feedback that helped to refine and reshape the emerging vision.
- Gathering feedback and additional perspective through a series of small group reviews of elements of this document in draft form.
- Additionally, the engagement process leveraged two new technologies to extend outreach, engagement and feedback opportunities across the broader community:
  - Pynka (“Puh-nee-ka”) is a communication and analytics platform for communities to talk constructively about important issues. Using structured forums called “Assemblies,” individuals engage in positive and productive conversations focused on learning more about an issue. Community leaders use the platform’s analytics to understand different views on issues discussed and to see actionable takeaways. This tool was used by NHDC to reach audiences that may not be able to attend community meetings, and to engage people in conversations by area of interest.
  - Qualtrics is a web-based survey tool to conduct survey research, evaluations, and other data collection activities. This tool was used by NHDC to provide a visual reflection of what was heard during the community visioning process and allow respondents to share additional ideas.

REGIONAL PERSPECTIVE

CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE

NEIGHBORING COMMUNITIES

STARR HILL
Community Context

- Economic Context
- Housing Context
- Vinegar Hill and the Legacy of Urban Renewal in Charlottesville
- Starr Hill in Perspective
ECONOMIC CONTEXT AND STARR HILL’S ASSETS

Starr Hill’s residents and businesses live and operate in the context of the markets that surround them. No neighborhood has its own economy—instead it works, buys and sells across broader geographies, which are most often the regions in which they are located. Understanding Starr Hill’s economic opportunities and challenges requires a blend of evaluating Charlottesville’s regional economy as well as at the nature and trajectory of Starr Hill’s primary assets—namely its people, businesses and land. (For the complete analysis of the Charlottesville economy and neighborhood-level assets, please see the Market Analysis in the Appendix.)

Furthermore, this SAP’s impacts will extend beyond Starr Hill, first into adjacent communities such as Fifeville and 10th & Page. Looking further outward, Starr Hill’s goals include re-establishing the area as a cultural and economic hub for Charlottesville’s Black residents and businesses. The initiatives related to this goal can serve as a catalyst for activity outside the neighborhood that will strengthen the Black middle class. This connection can work in reverse as well, as Charlottesville’s Black workers and business owners become more successful, they can apply more resources to the activities that can make Starr Hill more central to Black life in the region. To that end, it is essential to analyze two additional populations outside Starr Hill: i) the combined area of Starr Hill, Fifeville and 10th and Page and ii) the Charlottesville region’s entire Black population.

Overall, the Charlottesville regional economy is strong and growing across a broad range of metrics, with robust employment, gross regional product (GRP), and wage growth (note that the region is defined here as the Charlottesville Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA), which includes Charlottesville and the counties of Albemarle, Buckingham, Fluvanna, Greene and Nelson). Charlottesville is also increasingly seen as an attractive place to live, topping many “best of” lists due to its ample retail and food amenities and access to nature. Population growth has kept in the last decade, with the regional population increasing by nearly 20%. Starr Hill’s population has risen by twice that rate, and with large residential development on West Main Street, that pace is likely to continue. However, the benefits of this growth, and the attractiveness of the area, are not shared equally across racial and ethnic groups. Black residents’ median incomes are less than 60% of that of whites, and unemployment rates are double. In Starr Hill itself, the median income is the nearly same as the city’s overall—approximately $45,000—while the Starr Hill/Fifeville/10th and Page area is 25% lower at $36,000.
The area’s primary companies, and the bulk of the economic activity, is in government, education, health care, and tourism/hospitality. UVA is clearly the region’s largest employer, with nearly 50,000 faculty, staff, students, and contract workers, and the university purchases a significant amount of goods and services. There are a handful of private sector industries—tech, biotech, and food manufacturing foremost among them—starting to show strong growth potential but still relatively small in the overall economy. There appears to be a very low rate of minority business ownership in the region and city generally, let alone in the more innovative tech and biotech sectors. Minority small businesses are currently concentrated in areas such as janitorial services and food services.

The region is highly educated, which is perhaps to be expected in a college town, but again, massive racial disparities exist. Whites have bachelor’s degrees at nearly double the rate of Hispanics/Latinos and four times that of Blacks. Starr Hill itself is extremely educated, with higher proportions of bachelor’s and advanced degree holders than the city overall. The composition of the region’s jobs is splitting in such a way that low- and high-skill positions are expanding, while middle-skill (and roughly middle-class) positions are declining. And again, racial disparities are deep—white residents are nearly six times as likely to be in management, business, and science occupations (generally higher-skilled), while Black residents are approximately two-and-a-half times as likely to be in middle-skill positions as likely to hold middle- and lower-skilled jobs. Local training providers are working hard to address these trends, creating industry-tailored workforce training programs that prepare participants with hard skills for in-demand positions. Private sector engagement helps inform curriculum design, but that engagement could go deeper, and programming could be more private sector led and designed.

Considerable innovation assets exist in Charlottesville, both within the private sector and university research facilities. Life sciences, which includes biotech-related activities, is UVA’s largest area of research spending and spin-off activity. There are mixed signals on whether UVA is a good place for entrepreneurs to grow their companies; relative to larger tech hubs such as Seattle or San Francisco, Charlottesville offers a cheaper cost of living and doing business. But it is by no means “affordable”—limited land and building space push property prices through the roof. Eventually, the benefits of a smaller city are outweighed by the greater connectivity and range of resources that other regions can offer.

Many of these factors and trends can be summed up through the application of neighborhood typologies, which provide a sophisticated shorthand for understanding diverse geographies. This project incorporated the DNT Neighborhood Typology (“DNT”) which analyses 23 variables that efficiently describe an area’s people, businesses and land through hierarchical clustering. This methodology sorts Census block groups and assembles them with the other block groups to which they are most similar. With these relationships established, clusters of neighborhoods with common traits emerge. DNT has organized these groups into one of 9 neighborhood types. Each neighborhood type has a distinct identity—for example “Port of Entry” neighborhoods are largely immigrant communities, “Urban Tapestry” types have a more diverse, eclectic character, “No Place Like Home” neighborhoods are middle-income bedroom communities and so on. When applied to Charlottesville, the resulting map shows a city largely made up of middle-class residential neighborhoods, diverse communities surrounding UVA, and several lower-income, transient communities adjacent to Starr Hill. This exercise quickly highlights the different worlds that Charlottesville residents can live in, even in the midst of a generally prosperous and growing city.
Charlottesville’s housing market is extremely tight, reflected in the fact that it is the second most expensive metro area in the state, second only to the Washington, DC metropolitan area. This is due to the combination of limited land and housing stock supply coupled with a large portion of the city’s recent population growth coming via in-movers from more expensive cities, bringing with them the resources to pay more for housing than many current residents can afford.

The upward pressure on prices is creating housing affordability problems for many families across the area. Based on HUD calculations, the Fair Market Rent for a two-bedroom apartment in Charlottesville is just under $1,200. This price that would require income equivalent to 3.1 full-time minimum wage jobs for renters to afford it without being cost-burdened. Vacancies are quite low at around 4%, and they have been approximately this low for some time. Asking rents across almost all unit sizes have been increasing steadily across the last decade. With the demand for student housing increasing, recent development of rental properties has focused on that population and their price point, leaving most new multi-family housing in the city unaffordable for large portions of residents. Families making up to 60% of the Area Median Income (AMI) are highly unlikely to find affordable units in the area without experiencing overcrowded conditions. For those looking to purchase homes, offering the average price new home would require an income exceeding 150% of AMI—an unaffordable price for many families in the city—above $108,000—a figure that only 17% of the city’s population can meet.

As the chart below suggests, there are very few housing options available to low- and middle-income households in Charlottesville. Even households that qualify for a VHA or conventional mortgage are met with severely restricted supply: the average sale price for homes in the city is almost double the price that an 80% AMI household could afford. There is a limited amount of new Section 8 tenant and rental assistance being provided due to lack of funding at the federal level and a backlog of physical repair needs. The LIHTC program continues to produce a few projects a year in Virginia (2019 and 2020 delivery will far surpass previous years), but these properties can only serve a limited number of households.
In the years following the Civil War, African-Americans comprised as much as 52% of the population in the greater Charlottesville area. By the dawn of the 20th century, a small Charlottesville neighborhood emerged as an important focal point for Black cultural, social and business life. That neighborhood, Vinegar Hill, extended along Main Street between what is now the Downtown Mall and the University of Virginia. It was not the only Black neighborhood in Charlottesville, but by the 1920s Vinegar Hill was known for its energy, its vitality, and its importance to the Black community.

The construction of The Jefferson School in 1926 served as an additional anchor for the Black community. It served as Charlottesville’s first high school for Black students until 1951. For several years afterward The Jefferson School served Charlottesville’s elementary age Black students, before becoming the first integrated school in Charlottesville—serving all of the city’s sixth graders from 1945 to 1962.

It was during this brief period of integration that Vinegar Hill, and the adjacent Starr Hill neighborhood, were transformed again—by “urban renewal” efforts that bulldozed dozens of African-American owned homes and businesses, and displaced hundreds of residents.

Urban renewal did not renew Vinegar Hill. It did not provide the Black community with opportunity. As much of Charlottesville moved fully into the second half of the 20th century, and progress bypassed most of the city’s African-American residents. This sudden, and avoidable, evaporation of Black residential density led to the loss of social, political and economic wealth and influence for most of Charlottesville’s African-American community.

In too many ways, Vinegar Hill was not distinct. Charlottesville’s Black community as a whole, found itself increasingly marginalized throughout the 20th century—geographically, politically and economically. Even as Vinegar Hill gained its footing as a hub for Black business in the 1920s, the Black neighborhood of McKee Bowe was being demolished and replaced with white-only Jackson Park. This pattern of renaming and bulldozing Black property was replicated repeatedly—as recently as the late 1970s. At that time, Black residents from 10th and Page neighborhoods petitioned the Planning Commission to prevent a section of land near Preston Avenue from being rezoned from residential to dense commercial use. They failed.

“Urban renewal” and “reclamation” weren’t the only tools that marginalized the African-American community. Neglect and a lack of public investment also drained communities of energy and prospects.

In the 1970s, the predominantly Black neighborhoods of Rose Hill and Preston Street were forced to relocate; the community was forced to move between two decades before any development or improvement occurred. The insertion of Ridge/McIntire Road into the community did not break Vinegar Hill’s social, political or economic isolation. Breadwinners and merchants out of the area, and driving some businesses out of existence unable to relocate or thrive elsewhere. Dislocated homeowners became public housing tenants nearby, or left the community altogether.

The construction of The Jefferson School in 1926 served as an additional anchor for the Black community. It served as Charlottesville’s first high school for Black students until 1951. For several years afterward The Jefferson School served Charlottesville’s elementary age Black students, before becoming the first integrated school in Charlottesville—serving all of the city’s sixth graders from 1945 to 1962. It was during this brief period of integration that Vinegar Hill, and the adjacent Starr Hill neighborhood, were transformed again—by “urban renewal” efforts that bulldozed dozens of African-American owned homes and businesses, and displaced hundreds of residents.

Urban renewal did not renew Vinegar Hill. It did not provide the Black community with opportunity. As much of Charlottesville moved fully into the second half of the 20th century, and progress bypassed most of the city’s African-American residents. This sudden, and avoidable, evaporation of Black residential density led to the loss of social, political and economic wealth and influence for most of Charlottesville’s African-American community.

In too many ways, Vinegar Hill was not distinct. Charlottesville’s Black community as a whole, found itself increasingly marginalized throughout the 20th century—geographically, politically and economically. Even as Vinegar Hill gained its footing as a hub for Black business in the 1920s, the Black neighborhood of McKee Bowe was being demolished and replaced with white-only Jackson Park. This pattern of renaming and bulldozing Black property was replicated repeatedly—as recently as the late 1970s. At that time, Black residents from 10th and Page neighborhoods petitioned the Planning Commission to prevent a section of land near Preston Avenue from being rezoned from residential to dense commercial use. They failed.

“Urban renewal” and “reclamation” weren’t the only tools that marginalized the African-American community. Neglect and a lack of public investment also drained communities of energy and prospects.

In the 1970s, the predominantly Black neighborhoods of Rose Hill and Preston Street were forced to relocate; the community was forced to move between two decades before any development or improvement occurred. The insertion of Ridge/McIntire Road into the community did not break Vinegar Hill’s social, political or economic isolation. Breadwinners and merchants out of the area, and driving some businesses out of existence unable to relocate or thrive elsewhere. Dislocated homeowners became public housing tenants nearby, or left the community altogether.

The construction of The Jefferson School in 1926 served as an additional anchor for the Black community. It served as Charlottesville’s first high school for Black students until 1951. For several years afterward The Jefferson School served Charlottesville’s elementary age Black students, before becoming the first integrated school in Charlottesville—serving all of the city’s sixth graders from 1945 to 1962.

Today, Starr Hill area is a mix of residential, commercial and industrial uses. The nearly 50 acres contain numerous structures and assets of varied note and worth such as the treasured Jefferson School building (now a cultural and community center) and Ingram-Grocery façade market that bring historic and contextual significance, Carver Recreation Center

with greenway and play space, Emmanuel Baptist Church and First Baptist Church, Marriott and Quirk Hotels; office suites; garden apartments; loft apartments over ground-level retail; some single-family and duplex houses of limited yard and community designation; and the functional core necessities of City Yard service centers and warehouses, community grocery and personal services, transportation hubs for Amtrak and Greyhound. Significant amounts of impervious surface, street line parking and lots; and a range of fast food, dine-in and pub/ABC venues. Residential inventory includes single-family detached and duplex homes, multi-family condominiums and apartments, and single room-occupancy dwellings.

At its core, Starr Hill is a stable and successful urban neighborhood—one that can be strengthened in ways both noble and significant to benefit Charlottesville’s African-American community and serve the greater Charlottesville region.

Despite its strong ties to the adjacent neighborhoods of 10th and Page Hill, the ability of residents to easily move between these (and other adjacent) communities is limited. Heavily trafficked corridors like Preston Avenue and Ridge McIntire Road add to a sense of disconnection.

In the 1920s, the Black neighborhood of McKee Bowe was being demolished and replaced with white-only Jackson Park. This pattern of renaming and bulldozing Black property was replicated repeatedly—as recently as the late 1970s. At that time, Black residents from 10th and Page neighborhoods petitioned the Planning Commission to prevent a section of land near Preston Avenue from being rezoned from residential to dense commercial use. They failed.

“Urban renewal” and “reclamation” weren’t the only tools that marginalized the African-American community. Neglect and a lack of public investment also drained communities of energy and prospects.

In the 1970s, the predominantly Black neighborhoods of Rose Hill and Preston Street were forced to relocate; the community was forced to move between two decades before any development or improvement occurred. The insertion of Ridge/McIntire Road into the community did not break Vinegar Hill’s social, political or economic isolation. Breadwinners and merchants out of the area, and driving some businesses out of existence unable to relocate or thrive elsewhere. Dislocated homeowners became public housing tenants nearby, or left the community altogether.

The construction of The Jefferson School in 1926 served as an additional anchor for the Black community. It served as Charlottesville’s first high school for Black students until 1951. For several years afterward The Jefferson School served Charlottesville’s elementary age Black students, before becoming the first integrated school in Charlottesville—serving all of the city’s sixth graders from 1945 to 1962.

Today, Starr Hill area is a mix of residential, commercial and industrial uses. The nearly 50 acres contain numerous structures and assets of varied note and worth such as the treasured Jefferson School building (now a cultural and community center) and Ingram-Grocery façade market that bring historic and contextual significance, Carver Recreation Center

with greenway and play space, Emmanuel Baptist Church and First Baptist Church, Marriott and Quirk Hotels; office suites; garden apartments; loft apartments over ground-level retail; some single-family and duplex houses of limited yard and community designation; and the functional core necessities of City Yard service centers and warehouses, community grocery and personal services, transportation hubs for Amtrak and Greyhound. Significant amounts of impervious surface, street line parking and lots; and a range of fast food, dine-in and pub/ABC venues. Residential inventory includes single-family detached and duplex homes, multi-family condominiums and apartments, and single room-occupancy dwellings.

At its core, Starr Hill is a stable and successful urban neighborhood—one that can be strengthened in ways both noble and significant to benefit Charlottesville’s African-American community and serve the greater Charlottesville region.

Despite its strong ties to the adjacent neighborhoods of 10th and Page Hill, the ability of residents to easily move between these (and other adjacent) communities is limited. Heavily trafficked corridors like Preston Avenue and Ridge McIntire Road add to a sense of disconnection.

Yet something intrinsically valuable remains in Starr Hill. This plan catalogues a range of opportunities to transform the Starr Hill area into a more coherent and vital community that can prevent a section of land near Preston Avenue from being rezoned from residential to dense commercial use. They failed.

“Urban renewal” and “reclamation” weren’t the only tools that marginalized the African-American community. Neglect and a lack of public investment also drained communities of energy and prospects.

In the 1970s, the predominantly Black neighborhoods of Rose Hill and Ridge Street reached out to City Council with a call for public investment. Decades of neglect meant that sidewalks and streets were in disrepair; lighting and drainage was ignored; and public services were largely absent.

For much of the 20th century a significant portion of Charlottesville’s African-American population has not been able to share in the broader community’s social and economic success. For these residents, it has been a century stilled. Only recently has an increase in public awareness and a willingness to think differently about community development changed the conversation in Charlottesville—and allowed for a new commitment to emerge to strengthen meaningful opportunities for prosperity and growth for the city’s most marginalized residents.
Community Vision and Opportunity Areas

- Vision & Guiding Principles
- Opportunities: Strategies & Focus Areas
Through the extended community engagement process, clear themes emerged—particularly from residents of Starr Hill and adjacent neighborhoods, and from Charlottesville’s Black business community. These themes coalesced first into a Neighborhood Vision and a set of Guiding Principles, and later into a set of initiatives and opportunities that made strategic sense and supported the vision, principles and aspirations of those engaged through the process.

Viewed as a set of building blocks, the Neighborhood Vision and Guiding Principles reflect real, foundational truths that emerged in each of the one-on-one, focus group, and community group discussions. The engaged stakeholders articulated a strong desire for the future of Starr Hill to be shaped by a set of guiding principles rooted in equity, prosperity, inclusion and connection. Through the summer, many of these stakeholders were invited back into conversations to shape and refine the Neighborhood Vision, Guiding Principles, and the Strategies and Initiatives to create the backbone of this Small Area Plan.

As articulated herein, there is a clear, unbroken line between the Neighborhood Vision, Guiding Principles, Strategies and Initiatives, and the future opportunities for Charlottesville’s African-American community. In the following pages, these foundational elements are clarified—and then interwoven through the growth and development components at the heart of the Small Area Plan.
Vision & Guiding Principles

NEIGHBORHOOD VISION

The Neighborhood Vision is of a Starr Hill Area community whose future growth is guided by a commitment to racial, economic and social equity. We will create a neighborhood identity rooted in African American presence and prosperity with real opportunities for the Black community to foster ownership—of property, commerce and culture.

VISION & GUIDING PRINCIPLES

A MORE EQUITABLE COMMUNITY
We are committed to leveling the economic and social playing field in the Charlottesville community. We envision a neighborhood where those who are often marginalized are empowered to congregate and co-create, build and own, and innovate and learn.

PROMOTE BLACK PROSPERITY
We will create strong pathways for African Americans in Charlottesville to thrive and grow. We envision a neighborhood centered around Black-owned property, commerce and culture with visible access to capital and financing.

CONTINUOUS LEARNING
We will be a critical spoke in Charlottesville’s workforce education efforts. Our vision is a community that creates meaningful opportunities for professional and workforce development, education and growth.

BELONGING AND INCLUSION
We believe that Charlottesville should feel inclusive and welcoming to every resident. We envision a culturally distinctive neighborhood with financially and socially accessible community spaces and activities.

STRONG CONNECTIVITY
We see opportunities for Charlottesville to continue strengthening its physical, social and economic relationships. We envision a more connected neighborhood where people can access adjacent residential neighborhoods and commercial areas.

RESPECT EXISTING RESIDENTIAL FABRIC
We share Charlottesville’s commitment to distinct neighborhoods and community commercial corridors. We will advocate for zoning that fosters vibrant street level activity and maintains the integrity of the existing Starr Hill neighborhood as it grows.
OPPORTUNITY 1
Strategies, Initiatives & Focus Areas

Economic and Entrepreneurship

STRATEGY I – CREATE A VISIBLE HUB FOR BLACK BUSINESSES:
Enable the growth and development of Black small businesses by creating a physical hub for these companies and supporting greater activity by existing Black business networks. Potential initiatives include:

- A Small Business Incubation Center and/or Accelerator Program with some combination of flexible co-working space, along with business support services and programming that is targeted to local entrepreneurs.
- New mixed commercial and residential development that will create a higher density mixed-use, live/work development with affordable and flexible housing and business space, including opportunities for community commercial service and entertainment businesses.

STRATEGY II – FILL SMALL BUSINESS FINANCING GAPS:
Expand the menu of financial products—both debt and equity—to bridge the divide between existing microloans and traditional bank products, facilitating greater small businesses growth. Potential initiatives include:

- A visible Community Development Financial Institution (CDFI) to provide entrepreneurs and others with access to financial capital.
- A Strengthened Business Equity Fund, expanded in size and scope through new funding sources, such as foundation grants or PRIs, CRA financing from area banks, tax increments generated by Starr Hill development, etc.

STRATEGY III – SUPPORT FIRM START-UPS AND GROWTH FOR BLACK ENTREPRENEURS IN TARGETED INDUSTRIES:
Grow Black entrepreneurship in the industries that are strongest within the region, expanding opportunities for wealth creation beyond locally serving goods and services. Potential initiatives include:

- Entrepreneurship training and support for minorities in the trades, building a pipeline of Black-owned construction and skilled trades companies that grow from the existing, diverse workforce in this area.
- Deeper connection to, and programming with, tech sector incubation activities, aligning with the major investments and private sector growth in the area’s tech industry (e.g., WillowTree, UVA School of Data Science) to grow Black participation, particularly in entrepreneurship and firm starts.

STRATEGY IV – DEEPEN ENGAGEMENT AND COORDINATION WITH ANCHOR INSTITUTIONS:
Leverage the buying power and employment opportunities of the area’s largest anchors—in particular UVA Health, UVA Academic and Health Systems, Sentara, and City/County governments—to expand opportunities for small business growth and career advancement. Potential initiatives include:

- Exploration of career pathway opportunities between local contractors and anchors, strengthening and opening up new connections between national contractors (e.g., Aonmark) and the anchors they serve, building additional career pathways for individuals working with (but not necessarily for) the region’s largest anchors.
- Alignment of anchor procurement purchasing processes, providing a single conduit through which local businesses can identify procurement opportunities that may be spread across multiple organizational divisions, streamlining the process of identifying and bidding on potential contracts.
- Capacity building programming for small businesses targeted to anchor procurement opportunities, identifying the areas in which small businesses are most likely to find traction with anchors and growing their ability to compete for contracts, in turn expanding their potential to sell products and services to additional local and national customers.

STRATEGY V – SCALE AND EXPAND INDUSTRY-FOCUSED WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT COLLABORATION AND PROGRAMS
Create opportunities for sector- and industry-targeted workforce development collaborations, offering a variety of skill-development and educational programs to the community. Potential initiatives include:

- Expanded training programming for construction and skilled trade positions, responding to industry demand and an aging workforce through larger program sizes, more programming targeted to disconnected populations (e.g., training for currently incarcerated individuals).
- Expansion: New Hill Development Corporation
- Potential Collaborators: CATEC, Blue Ridge Home Builders Association, Piedmont Virginia Community College

- Engagement of growth sectors in industry-led workforce consortium concept, applying an established best practice for private sector engagement in sector-specific workforce development efforts, creating a stronger pipeline of talent into tech and bio-tech jobs, including from traditionally-underserved Black populations.
- Expansion: New Hill Development Corporation
- Potential Collaborators: Chamber of Commerce, UVA Economic Development, WillowTree, Castle Hill Gaming, CFA Institute, NGIC, CODE, GOVirginia
**OPPORTUNITY 2**

**Strategies, Initiatives & Focus Areas**

**Housing and Connectivity**

**STRATEGY I – SUSTAIN AND GROW STARR HILL’S RESIDENTIAL CORE:**
- Establish affordable and market rate housing options, and home ownership protections, within the existing residential neighborhood and on newly developed tracts in Starr Hill. Potential initiatives include:
  - The construction of new, affordable, single family homes, strengthening the border of the existing Starr Hill residential community along Brown Street.
  - Support the Anti-Displacement Tax Fund Program, and other strategies that support and encourage home ownership, maintaining the affordability of property ownership in Starr Hill and the broader community.
  - New mixed commercial and residential development, that will create a higher density mixed-use and typology, low-car development with affordable and flexible housing and business space, including opportunities for community commercial, service and entertainment businesses.

**STRATEGY II – INCREASE PHYSICAL CONNECTIVITY BETWEEN STARR HILL AND ADJACENT NEIGHBORHOODS:**
- Develop clear, visible and safe pathways that strengthens connectivity between Starr Hill and adjacent residential and commercial areas, and that serve the needs of new residents. Potential initiatives include:
  - Reopen the tunnel connection between Starr Hill and 10th & Page neighborhoods, strengthening the connectivity between the two neighborhoods with safe, pedestrian friendly access beneath the active rail line.
  - Calm 4th Street between West Main Street and Preston Avenue, promoting increased pedestrian access and safety across and along the corridor.
  - Transform Commerce Street between 4th and 6th Streets, re-establishing the vital connection between Starr Hill’s residential neighborhood, the Jefferson School and West Main Street.
  - Support the existing Rail-to-Trail conversion, specifically plans to create a green pedestrian thoroughway along the rail spur adjacent to City Yard and spanning across Preston Avenue.
  - Identify a location—for example, the Amtrak lot on West Main Street—for a City-owned parking deck, relieving pressure on Starr Hill’s residential community while supporting Starr Hill’s churches and merchants, and ensuring that adequate parking is mandated for new developments within Starr Hill.

**STRATEGY III – PLACEMAKING AND LEGACY ART:**
- Enhance Starr Hill Park with new landscaping and buffers, and community programming. Create new flexible public spaces throughout the broader Starr Hill neighborhood to emphasize community culture and a sense of belonging for all ages. Potential initiatives include:
  - Reimagine Starr Hill Park with new landscaping to include plantings, benches, a playground and community garden plots.

---

**OPPORTUNITY 3**

**Strategies, Initiatives & Focus Areas**

**Placemaking, Culture and Legacy**

**STRATEGY I – AMPLIFY JEFFERSON SCHOOL AS A CENTER FOR AFRICAN-AMERICAN PUBLIC LIFE:**
- Work with the leadership of Jefferson School to grow its tenant community, and continue to transform the historic building and surrounding property as an actively programmed, public space for the community of all ages. Potential initiatives include:
  - Strategically populate the indoor and outdoor spaces of the Jefferson School with businesses, services and products that serve the African-American community on a wider spectrum including education, economic, and social venues.
  - Transform Commerce Street between 4th and 6th Streets, re-establishing the vital connection between Starr Hill’s residential neighborhood, the Jefferson School and West Main Street.
  - Support the existing Rail-to-Trail conversion, specifically plans to create a green pedestrian thoroughway along the rail spur adjacent to City Yard and spanning across Preston Avenue.

**STRATEGY II – STRENGTHEN STARR HILL PARK AND OTHER PUBLIC SPACES:**
- Enhance Starr Hill Park with new landscaping and buffers, and community programming. Create new flexible public spaces throughout the broader Starr Hill neighborhood to emphasize community culture and a sense of belonging for all ages. Potential initiatives include:
  - Reimagine Starr Hill Park with new landscaping to include plantings, benches, a playground and community garden plots.

---

**STRATEGY III – PLACEMAKING AND LEGACY ART:**
- Identify multiple spaces throughout the Starr Hill community (indoor and outdoor) for the installation of more art and placemaking artifacts that tell the stories of Charlottesville’s Black community in a variety of forms for all ages. Potential initiatives include:
  - Enhancing streetscapes and building facades with murals and other art installations, both permanent and temporary or seasonal.
  - Utilize the inside of Jefferson School as a canvas to tell the story of the building and the broader Black experience, and to promote and introduce the community to more Black artists.
  - Identify areas throughout the community that are of cultural significance and establish art programs and installations in those spaces.
Economics and Entrepreneurship

**BLACK-OWNED BUSINESS PRESENCE**

Home-grown and local businesses add to the fabric of a community. Residents benefit from visible, community-supported storefronts that generate energy, value, prosperity and opportunity. Creating new opportunities for Black-owned businesses to grow and thrive is important to the Starr Hill community, and for our vision of increased Black prosperity in Charlottesville. Any future mixed use development of City Yard must include a variety of affordably priced office, commercial and retail space.

**BUSINESS INCUBATION AND ACCELERATION**

We will establish a visible and tangible space for new business owners and entrepreneurs to strengthen and grow their small and start-up companies. This incubation and co-working space will be a physical hub that connects business owners and entrepreneurs with community leaders, investors and successful members of Charlottesville’s existing Black business networks. Opportunities to mentor, network and learn will serve a diverse mix of business owners from all corners of the community.

"These new spaces must be more inclusive to all business owners." — online survey respondents

"We need co-working and incubation spaces for ALL residents." — online survey respondents
**Mixed-Use Development**

A cornerstone of a growing Starr Hill community is the City Yard property. A transformed City Yard will be a significant mixed-use, live/work development that complements Starr Hill’s existing residential and cultural assets. A variety of affordable housing options will serve individuals and families, while flexible business spaces will support a commercial, service and entertainment businesses. Creating additional street-level retail services that meet the daily needs of residents from Starr Hill and adjacent neighborhoods will lead to a more equitable, connected community.

“There were historically a lot of small businesses with owners who lived nearby. Recreating that in Starr Hill would be particularly powerful.” - community focus group participant

**Economics and Entrepreneurship**

A critical aspect of any development on City Yard includes a substantial array of affordably priced housing options—from single-family townhomes to apartments. The addition of new homes along Brown Street will complement the existing residential core of Starr Hill, while a mixed use development on City Yard will provide affordable options for several hundred new residents.

“Affordable housing for all is something that is needed now, not years from now.” - Starr Hill resident porch conversation

**New Residential Development**

There were historically a lot of small businesses with owners who lived nearby. Recreating that in Starr Hill would be particularly powerful. – community focus group participant

Affordable housing for all is something that is needed now, not years from now. – Starr Hill resident porch conversation

Opportunity Area

Economics and Entrepreneurship

Housing and Connectivity

NEW RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT

A critical aspect of any development on City Yard includes a substantial array of affordably priced housing options—from single-family townhomes to apartments. The addition of new homes along Brown Street will complement the existing residential core of Starr Hill, while a mixed use development on City Yard will provide affordable options for several hundred new residents.
Opportunity Area

Housing and Connectivity

“...It is important to empower the people who live in this community. — Starr Hill resident porch conversation

EXISTING RESIDENTIAL SUSTAINABILITY

The integrity of Starr Hill’s established residential community must be sustained, even as new opportunities emerge for residential growth on the City Yard property. A commitment to partner with the resident’s association, community organizations and the City will focus on new services and solutions for home ownership protection.
Opportunity Area

Connecting Neighborhoods

There is an important opportunity to restore and strengthen the connections between Starr Hill to a broader network of neighborhoods—from Westhaven, 10th & Page to Rose Hill to the Downtown Mall. We will create more safe and sensible ways for pedestrians, cyclists, and drivers to transit key pathways to and through Starr Hill such as:

- Reopening the tunnel connection between Starr Hill and 10th & Page reinforces the strong link between these neighborhoods.

- Supporting ongoing conversations and planning for a Rail-to-Trail project that creates a green pedestrian throughway along the rail spur adjacent to City Yard and spanning across Preston Avenue.

- Taking clear and simple steps to calm traffic, promote pedestrian access and increase safety on 4th Street between West Main Street and Preston Avenue.

Housing and Connectivity

The proximity to existing spaces downtown should be part of the final plan.
– online survey respondent
Opportunity Area

Placemaking, Culture and Legacy

REIMAGINE STARR HILL PARK

Healthy communities benefit from places where people can congregate and connect. Throughout Starr Hill, there are places where informal but meaningful connections can be bolstered through the creative and adaptive use of green space. We will leverage these small pockets to create physical pathways, allow for engagement, and showcase the geography of Starr Hill by:

• Reimagining Starr Hill Park with new landscaping to include plantings, benches, a playground and community garden plots.

Space for nature is key for a healthy community— for any community—
– online survey respondent

POCKET PARKS AND GREENSPACES

• Creating a series of pocket parks and other free, public places throughout Starr Hill to pause and invite community engagement, reflection and interaction.
• Identify new opportunities to connect green spaces throughout the neighborhood, and enhance spaces between buildings with art, plantings and benches.
• Create and install a neighborhood map in the park, and potentially other areas of the neighborhood, showing relationships and connections to other neighborhood green features and amenities.

People need to feel like they have a stake in where they live. I have to feel like that park is my park, the businesses are my businesses
– community focus group participant
Placemaking, Culture and Legacy

AMPLIFY THE JEFFERSON SCHOOL AS A BLACK CULTURAL HUB

The role of the Jefferson School and the African American Heritage Center is central to Starr Hill’s future. Leveraging its legacy of inclusion and welcome, the historic building can serve as both a doorway to Starr Hill and as a center for African-American public life. This can be done by intentionally growing the building’s tenant community, increasing public events and activities, and physically connecting the Jefferson School to other key centers of activity in and around Starr Hill. Potential initiatives include:

- Strategically populate the indoor and outdoor spaces of the Jefferson School with businesses, services, and products that serve the African-American community on a wider spectrum including education, economic, and social venues.
- Establish outdoor performance spaces or an amphitheater/public lawn for a mix of community-based and impromptu events and engagements.
- Launch a monthly Food Truck Court, a gathering on the JS parking circle of food trucks and restaurant vendors with entertainment.
- Host a monthly makers/artist vendors market along 4th Street between Commerce Street and the Jefferson School driveway featuring products and services from Black artists, artisans, and community organizations.

Community focus group participant says, "There needs to be a natural gathering place for families. Center the neighborhood around community space where people will gather, connect and play."

Community focus group participant says, "New Hill’s mission has to build a ‘kitchen culture’ that stretches across the entire geography—business owners, restaurants, residents, nonprofits, children and families. Create pathways of purpose—that help give people a sense that they belong here, and how they belong here."

Opportunity Area
Opportunity Area

Placemaking, Culture and Legacy

ENHANCE THE JEFFERSON SCHOOL’S PHYSICAL PRESENCE

The Jefferson School has a visually strong presence on the edge of Starr Hill. Extending its connections to key centers of activity in and around Starr Hill will solidify its importance as a gateway to the community, and as a vital space for African-American public life in Charlottesville. We propose establishing the Jefferson School as a central focus for the community by clarifying and maximizing the Jefferson School’s connections to City Yard, the Downtown Mall, and the business community on Main Street by:

• Adding new levels to the parking deck, green its roof and edges, and creating stairways and pathways that open access to future City Yard developments.
• Transform Commerce Street between 4th and 6th streets, re-establishing this vital connection between the Starr Hill residential community, the Jefferson School, and the Main Street business community.
• Introduce traffic calming solutions on 4th Street between West Main Street and Preston Avenue to increase pedestrian access and safety.

LEGACY ART

As a place already rich with history and culture, Starr Hill is well-positioned to tell the stories and history of Charlottesville’s African-American community. We will identify multiple spaces—in and out—for the installation of more art and placemaking artifacts that tell the stories of Charlottesville’s Black community in a variety of forms for all ages. Potential initiatives include:

• Enhancing streetscapes and building facades with murals and other art installations, both permanent and temporary or seasonal.
• Utilize the inside of Jefferson School as a canvas to tell the story of the school and of the broader Black community.
• Identify more areas throughout the community that are of cultural significance and establish art programs and installations in those spaces.

I love the fact that we’re focusing on the history of Starr Hill and Vinegar Hill.
— Starr Hill resident porch conversation
Areas of Focus Study

- City Yard
- Residential Neighborhood
- Jefferson School & Adjacent Arterial Streets
Both a bird’s-eye view and a street-level exploration of the Starr Hill community reveals distinct community components—a thriving commercial corridor on Main Street; the established residential heart of Starr Hill; the historic and iconic Jefferson School; the large and underutilized plots at City Yard and Vinegar Hill Shopping Center; and the smaller mixed use corner at Preston Avenue and 4th Street.

This Small Area Plan (SAP) seeks to preserve the integrity of this legacy neighborhood through the creation of “Character Zones.” Sensitivity is given to the edges, interplay, and overlap of the Starr Hill area by tending to the level of intensity and the mixture of uses throughout the 47.7 acres. Above all else, the concepts in this SAP are about COMMUNITY and its relationship to FORM and FUNCTION rather than LAND USE.

The Starr Hill area is currently zoned for intense development along the 3 boundary edges flanking high trafficked corridors: Preston Avenue, Ridge/McIntire Road and West Main Street. The type of zoning is overbearing in many places for the lesser density spaces interior to the community. This SAP does not ban intensity; it simply acknowledges that massing is more appropriate in some areas more than in other areas. And, as such, provides for the intentionality of the dense development by recommending the best density locations and relationships to the whole of the Starr Hill area and its place within the greater Charlottesville community.

Current Zoning Regulations are not an appropriate fit for the community’s vision and needs; therefore the creation of new zoning options that is Form-Oriented is recommended. Future Renovations, New construction and Infill Development are encouraged to honor these concepts, especially during the period of transition while the City revises and streamlines its Comprehensive Master Plan, Zoning Ordinance and Urban Design Standards.
**Character Zones**

**CONNECT**
The active rail spur and overpass along the east edge of Starr Hill serves the street fronting business parcels along the south edge of Preston Avenue, disrupting the continuity and accessibility of the commercial corridor. The inactive spur and adjacent topography further separates these parcels from the city yard and other community areas to its south. Intentional planning and redevelopment can mitigate this condition.

Increased access and circulation to, through and around the Preston Avenue parcels would be a significant improvement for the property owners, businesses and the community they serve and support. Proposed improvements include: Open up the tunnel and add new public right of way through to 4th Street, support the rail to trail conversation, and construct buildings that create and promote attractive walkable access between Preston parcels and City Yard.

**STRENGTHEN**
By relocating City Yard to areas more fitting and supportive of its industrial use, the acreage can be subdivided and redeveloped as mixed use neighborhood destinations (perhaps similar to the Mall) of medium and high density development that is more responsive to Starr Hill’s community desires, physical, social and economic needs. A lesser density residential use is proposed along the Brown Street edge with development transitioning to mixed use and more density along the northern edge behind Preston Avenue parcels.

This area is richly served by multi-modal transit / bike paths as a reduction in the parking requirements is supported. Irregular blocks of mixed uses and whimsical roadways respond to existing easements that may not be relocatable. Consideration has been given to the interstitial space ‘street wall’ space between buildings similar to the Downtown Mall. This area will need new rules for how buildings respond to street (set backs, etc), size of lots, and adjacent properties. Density responds to lower needs along Brown Street and gradually rise to higher intensity at Preston and rail spur.

**PROTECT**
At the core of the Starr Hill area is the long-standing and robust residential neighborhood of several dozen homes and a public park. The SAP promotes new housing inventory and park improvements to care for the integrity of the existing residential fabric and its homeowners. Creation of a new residential zoning designation that allows for a wide range of housing types and ownership including smaller lot sizes, reduced set back and side yard requirements, and parcel handling restrictions is recommended.

**UTILIZE**
There are areas suited to intense development that are currently underutilized. Parcels along Preston Avenue and Ridge/McIntire are among these. The physical qualities (i.e., road & median width, landscape) of the Preston corridor are designed to serve as a larger geographic community and need, and the nearby corridor facing structures are key buildings of significant density.

Additionally, the portion of Vinegar Hill within Starr Hill area consists roughly of a parcel comprised of a fast food restaurant to the north along Preston Avenue, the middle section with the Vinegar Hill Shopping Center across from The Jefferson School is best developed under medium density regulations between Preston parcels and City Yard.

By relocating City Yard to areas more fitting and supportive of its industrial use, the acreage can be subdivided and redeveloped as mixed use neighborhood destinations (perhaps similar to the Mall) of medium and high intensity development or a multiple parcel monolithic structure to be constructed. Suitable new zoning can be developed, singly or combined to accommodate a high intensity use that relate to neighboring forms along Preston Avenue which include The Community Building, the Jefferson School and the Downtown Mall.

**Bridge**
The middle section with the Vinegar Hill Shopping Center across from the Jefferson School is best developed under medium density regulations that allow buildings to street edge with development transitioning to mixed use and more density along the northern edge behind Preston Avenue parcels.

**Transition**
West Main Street has its own design guidelines and governing regulations. Some of the commercial structures also flank Commerce Street, and many serve prominent businesses on the key buildings that front face onto West Main Street. More care needs to be given to respect the facades, scale and access points along this transition zone as the commercial corridor blends into the housing area via Commerce Street.

**Engage**
The Residence Inn facade honors West Main Street guidelines with appropriate setbacks and design features on that side; however, the backside facing the Vinegar Hill Shopping Center property is not an attractive or respectful of the lower density adjacent development of the center and school. Future development must consider a structure and scale that appropriately engage not only the rear of the hotel but also the front of the adjoining community and the vertex of the 4th and Commerce Streets.

**Note:** Existing zoning will not implement the Starr Hill Neighborhood’s Vision. Consequently new zoning that better transitions between low and high intensity development is needed. The exact boundaries and standards for each transitional band of development remain to be determined, based on community feedback, the built context and the City’s pending Comprehensive Plan Update. The Starr Hill Small Area Plan, however, does provide new principles which can serve as guidelines for development in the interim.
While each of the previously discussed components is vital to the overall health and sustainability of the Starr Hill neighborhood, the Small Area Plan concentrates further attention on three Areas of Focus as initial opportunities and vehicles for the implementation of the Neighborhood Vision, Guiding Principles, and the Strategies and Initiatives outlined herein.
FOCUS AREA | City Yard

The City Yard property is a critical cornerstone of a growing Starr Hill community. A transformed City Yard will be a significant mixed-use, live/work development that complements Starr Hill’s existing residential and cultural assets. A variety of housing options will serve individuals and families, while flexible business spaces will support a commercial, service and entertainment businesses. Creating additional street-level retail services that meet the daily needs of residents from Starr Hill and adjacent neighborhoods will lead to a more equitable, connected community.

This plan recognizes that home-grown and local businesses add to the fabric of a community. Residents benefit from visible, community-supported storefronts that generate energy, value, prosperity and opportunity. Creating new opportunities for Black-owned businesses to grow and thrive is important to the Starr Hill community, and for any vision of increased Black prosperity in Charlottesville. Future development of City Yard must include a variety of affordably priced office, commercial and retail space to serve the local business community.

The Small Area Plan also envisions anchoring the City Yard development with a mission-aligned tenant (or tenants) focused on business or workforce development within identified growth and opportunity sectors. A business incubator would create visible, collaborative space for new business owners and entrepreneurs to strengthen and grow their small and startup companies, providing mentorship, networking, and learning opportunities for a diverse mix of business owners from all corners of the community. A workforce training facility could expand the physical resources available to train and upskill residents in the emerging skills demands of the region’s growing industries, and where possible, could align and collaborate with co-located companies in the City Yard incubator. This business incubation or workforce development space will be a physical hub that connects business owners, entrepreneurs, and individuals with community leaders, investors and successful members of Charlottesville’s existing Black business networks.
Subdivision of Land

**Proposed Zoning:** Mixed Use development / Planned Unit Development (PUD)

**Description:**
- **Focus 1:** Medium- to large-scale mixed-use development opportunity with
  - Gross 218 housing units for the 10.419 acre site, units per Focus Area 1 & 2 will vary with subdivision; SUP may provide opportunities for additional allowance
- **Focus 2:** Small-scale residential development opportunity

**Proposed Use**

**FOCUS AREA 1 | MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT**

**Proposed Zoning:** New Mixed Use development designation / Planned Unit Development (PUD)

**Description:**
- Given the significant size of existing easements and the pending due diligence, new construction is proposed on 3 development sites in similar locations to the current low-density existing structures. New work includes demolition of existing structures and construction of new medium- to high-density mixed-use buildings each with ground level public spaces (retail, restaurant, business storefronts) and private office and/or multi-family medium-density residential above.
- Housing typology to include 1-, 2-, and 3-bedroom and Live-Work units. Rooftop uses include public venues such as restaurants, social / recreational terraces and green roofs.
- New Construction up to 685,000 GSF + 132,000 GSF structured parking
- New Housing Units: 85 up to 135 units; a majority of units serving residents with incomes at 50-80% AMI
- Gross 218 housing units for the 10.419 acre site; Units per Focus Area 1 & 2 will vary with subdivision; SUP may provide opportunities for additional allowance

**Sequence of Events to Consider:**
- Agreement for sale/transfer of land
- City Plans for Relocation; including CIP allocation for the Relocation of City Yard operation & personnel (possibly due in Q 2020)
- Infrastructure improvements down McIntire Road must be completed before more medium- to high-density construction can be constructed in this area (4 years out)
- Subdivision application for Focus Area 1 & 2
- Due diligence and preliminary design for redevelopment of City Yard site Focus Area 1
- Plan Unit Development application for Focus Area 1
- Relocation of City Yard operation and personnel
- Demolition and Site Prep, including any environmental remediation
- Implement New Infrastructure, Roads and Development

**Transition Plan Scenario / Interim Opportunity:**
- City vacates Administrative Building on 4th Street, Minimally renovated, the building could effectively function for incubator and small office or merchant shop space while larger projects are planned and constructed. Once the other 2 pads are developed, the functions within this structure can relocate into one or both of the other buildings; and then this building can be demolished and a higher density building constructed.
- Brown’s Cleaners or the Century Link site could be purchased and minimally renovated to accommodate uses programmed for the Administrative Building and then programmed functions relocate to CY site once development complete.
- From the CODE building to the Community Building and up through developments along Harris Street is becoming the Tech Corridor / Innovation Space, which makes for a good place for Brown’s Cleaners and eventually Wendy’s site and then redevelop these existing structures into new higher density spaces.

**FOCUS AREA 2 | RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT**

- See Next Section Focus Area 2, Page 29

Images are shown as conceptual for discussion, and subject to further modifications.
Density Study 1

Section at north edge of site.
EXISTING CONDITIONS

Property Description & Background: The City of Charlottesville Department of Public Works and Department of Utilities currently occupies a site located at 305 4th Street NW in the City of Charlottesville. The MGP was transferred to the City of Charlottesville in 1910 and operated until 1972 when the City of Charlottesville began to use natural gas as an energy source. Following a fire in the 1950s, the plant was dismantled with some of the rubble likely buried on site. In 1975, the current City Yard was completely renovated and the new Public Works Operation Building was constructed. Based on summary study results from the City, environmental conditions pose no limitations for alternate land use.

Current Land Use / Zoning: M-1

- M-1: The M-1 district allows areas for light industrial uses that have a minimum of environmental pollution in the form of traffic, noise, odors, smoke and fumes, fire and explosion hazard, glare and heat and vibration.

SURROUNDING CONDITIONS

Property Description & Background: PRESTON AVENUE is a commercial corridor integral to the broader Charlottesville community and the northern edge of the Starr Hill neighborhood area as well as the City Yard site. Portions of the Preston corridor are influenced by the City Urban Design Guidelines, Architectural Design Control District (ADCD), and various streetscape design studies, which are currently being redesigned as neighboring developments come to market. Only the southern side of Preston Avenue, its parcels and merchants are within the Starr Hill neighborhood. As the nearly 50-acre neighborhood is currently configured (topography and land ownership), these parcels and businesses are significantly isolated from the remainder of the Starr Hill area. Any redesign of the area could significantly connect the Preston Avenue community commercial services and the neighboring consumers.
OVERVIEW

While City Yard represents a genuine opportunity for new affordable housing as part of a mixed-use development, Starr Hill’s existing residential neighborhood must be sustained and strengthened. This small, intact and healthy residential node is important for historic and practical reasons. This plan is committed to ensuring that homeowners in Starr Hill can afford to stay in their homes, that measures are taken to alleviate vehicular/parking pressures within the neighborhood, and that residents have a strong and active voice in Starr Hill’s continued development going forward.

The addition of new privately owned homes along Brown Street is designed to strengthen the integrity of the existing residential neighborhood. The quick identification of new parking solutions for patrons of Main Street businesses can only increase stability for the neighborhood over the long-term. And a commitment to partner with the residents association, community organizations and the City must bring focus and attention to new services and solutions for home ownership protection.

A healthy community has clear, sensible arterial flow that connects residents to their neighbors, to goods and services, and to the broader community. By establishing traffic calming measures on Main and 4th streets, and increasing pedestrian connectivity to adjacent neighborhoods, this plan ensures that the residents of Starr Hill have more safe and sensible connections to other neighborhoods and the Downtown Mall.
FOCUS AREA 2 | NEW RESIDENTIAL

Proposed Zoning: New residential designation to be created

- Description: Separate land abutting Brown Street from balance of City Yard acreage for the purpose of further subdividing Brown Street land into single lots for residential use. Consider lot sizes that can support affordability as well as accessibility to first time homebuyers. Typology of housing product to include attached cluster home, townhouse, row house, manufactured housing or container models, as well as a primary single-detached residence with option for accessory use building as an income producing rental property.

- New Housing Units: 10–46 single attached/detached units; a majority of units serving residents with incomes at 50-80% AMI

- Calculated as part of City Yard’s 10.419 acre gross total; or per subdivision and rezoning allowances; SUP may provide opportunities for additional allowances

- Refer to Focus Area 1 for additional information.

- Sequence of Events to Consider:
  - Agreement for sale/transfer of land
  - Subdivision application for Focus Area 1 & 2
  - Rezoning application
  - Relocation of City Yard operations and vehicles from this portion of property
  - Implement New Infrastructure, Grading, Roads and Development

THE DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITIES | Proposed Use

EXISTING CONDITIONS

Property Description & Background: The STARR HILL RESIDENTIAL neighborhood comprised of single family homes, mostly owner-occupied, along Streets 5th through 8th and Commerce to Brown. Some homes accommodate home-based businesses which is allowed under the current zoning, while other houses appear to be used exclusively for commercial functions. Zoning enforcement is needed to curtail neighborhood conversion and protect the existing residential fabric.

Current Land Use / Zoning: R-2 with spot zoning of R-3 and B-1

- R-2 Two-family: low-density residential areas of single-family attached and two-family dwellings
- R-3 Multifamily: medium- to high-density residential development; however, higher density residential development may be permitted where harmonious with surrounding areas. Certain additional uses may be permitted, in cases where the character of the district will not be altered by levels of traffic, parking, lighting, noise, or other impacts associated with such uses.
- B-1 Service-type businesses and office uses of a limited size, which are open primarily during daytime hours. The intent of the B-1 regulations is to provide a transitional district between residential areas and other commercial areas of the city. The uses permitted within this district are those which will have only minimal traffic impacts, and only minimal noise, odors, smoke, fumes, fire or explosion hazards, lighting glare, heat or vibration.

Current District Overlays: Public Park Protection (Starr Hill Park) and Architectural Design Control Districts and/or Individually Protected Properties (site at the corner of 6th and Commerce Streets)
THE DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITIES | Proposed Use

IMPROVE PHYSICAL CONNECTIVITY

Create Identifiable Paths:
- **Description:** Enhance crossings with vibrant, artful painted paths and signals at:
  - Ridge/McIntire each side of Vinegar Hill Shopping Center
  - 4th Street each side of Vinegar Hill Shopping Center leading into Jefferson School as well as entrance to City Yard site—consider future proposed conditions, not just current ones.
  - Preston Avenue @ Ridge/McIntire, @ 4th Street, and @ Harris Street.

- **Description:** Support the conversion of the abandoned section of the CSX rail spur along the west side of City Yard running north behind Reid’s and across Preston Avenue connecting with amenities in the County into a safe bike and foot path.

- **Sequence of Events to Consider:** Subdivide the City Yard property and improve streets.

SURROUNDING CONDITIONS

Property Description & Background: WEST MAIN STREET is a commercial corridor integral to the broader Charlottesville community and the southern edge of the Starr Hill Residential area. Portions of the corridor bounding Starr Hill are influenced by the City Urban Design Guidelines, West Main Street Architectural Design Control District (ADC) and to some extent the Open Space Concept & Streetscape Schematics Study Plan.

As the West Main Street business district continues to grow and develop, parking requirements have been reduced or eliminated, and an off-street parking deck proposed in place of the Starr Hill Park. Residents are not in support of this proposal unless another better park space is provided within Starr Hill Residential area to replace this loss and that the deck be designed as a positive buffer between business and residential.

Given the increased activity on West Main Street, vehicular traffic and parking has been flowing over into the Starr Hill Residential area and infringing on the parking space needs of homeowners and church goers.

Streets are quite narrow and difficult for passing and parked cars to coexist. This, and the increased construction density, is of rising concern and frustration for residents. The identification of another location outside of the residential area yet within the Starr Hill neighborhood boundaries for a City-owned parking facility is highly supported.

The housing area of Starr Hill neighborhood is bound by CSX railroad track at 8th Street on the western edge, the City Yard industrial site on the northern edge, and the Jefferson School on the eastern edge. Portions of the City Yard parking lot fronts on Brown Street at several locations and jeopardizes the integrity of the residential fabric and atmosphere. Over time, connectivity with neighboring residential areas has been physically limited particularly with the closing of tunnel below the railroad track and the higher density traffic at the three community corridors that surround the Starr Hill area. While crosswalks and signals exist to various degrees, they are not as safe a walkable path as they could be. Opening the tunnel and creating accessible paths along the abandoned section of railway spur would allow residents of several neighborhoods to access businesses, social venues and needed services more safely and easily than currently available.

During the community engagement sessions, residents expressed concern not only for the encroaching traffic and neighboring density, but also the risk of landowners combining lots to create higher-density structures that would dramatically change the character of the smaller-scale community. All of these factors further influence property tax escalation and threaten the ability of homeowners to afford to live here.
**OVERVIEW**

The Jefferson School has both a historic and a visually strong presence near the edge of Starr Hill. Extending its connections to key centers of activity in and around Starr Hill will solidify its importance as a gateway to the community and as a vital space for African-American public life in Charlottesville. This plan proposes establishing the Jefferson School as a central focus for the community by clarifying and maximizing the Jefferson School’s connections to City Yard, the Downtown Mall, and the business community on Main Street.

The Jefferson School’s value to the Small Area Plan is not simply an architectural one. The Jefferson School and the African-American Heritage Center are built on a powerful legacy of inclusion and welcome. They can serve not just as a physical doorway to Starr Hill but as the social and cultural anchor for African-American public life in Charlottesville. Intentionally growing the building’s tenant community, increasing public events and activities, and physically connecting the Jefferson School to other key centers of activity in and around Starr Hill are all vital steps toward a more active, energized and inclusive community.

Radiating outward from the Jefferson School are opportunities to reinforce a sense of history and culture, of identity and place. Starr Hill has important stories to tell about the African American history and the people of color who have called Charlottesville home through generations of struggle and success. This plan identifies multiple spaces—indoors and out—for the installations of more art and placemaking artifacts that tell the stories of Charlottesville’s Black community in a variety of forms for all ages.

The plan also recognizes that healthy communities benefit from places where people can congregate and connect. Throughout Starr Hill, there are places where informal but meaningful connections can be bolstered through the creative and adaptive use of green space. The plan leverages these small pockets to create physical pathways, allow for engagement, and showcase the geography of Starr Hill.
AMPLIFY JEFFERSON SCHOOL AS A CENTER FOR AFRICAN-AMERICAN PUBLIC LIFE

RECLAIM PUBLIC SQUARE

- Description:
  - Anchor presence with “Public Square” enhancements. Implement a new Landscape/Civil Design that visually (and physically and socially) connects Jefferson School City Center driveway, crossing 13th Street and extending in front of Vinegar Hill Shopping Center (south side entrance @ Staples) over Ridge/McIntire towards the Downtown Mall.
  - Re-landscape Retention Basin to increase entrance visibility from the street.

- Sequence of Events to Consider:
  - Collaborate design with projects currently underway (e.g., Ridge/McIntire Infrastructure Improvements and Vinegar Hill Shopping Center property owner(s) and merchant(s) for collective benefit.
  - Coordinate Retention Basin work and appropriate season schedule with Public Works.

THE DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITIES | Proposed Additional Uses
ANCHOR ARTERIAL CROSSROADS

• Description: Recontextualize Commerce Street to establish route as a significant inner neighborhood aerial pathways where privilege is given to pedestrians and places on the street, and the vehicle access is a secondary function.
  - Add decorative street pavers (brick or stone) in lieu of blacktop paving; and arrange design of pavers to create connections between iconic places along the street (i.e., the Heritage Center, Quirk, Church).
  - Enhance sidewalks per Urban Design Standards (i.e., walks, trees, plantings, lighting, street seating and places to pause to rest, amenities / accessories, accessible ramps, signage) on the north side of the street at residences and the Jefferson School African-American Heritage Center entrance. Remove the handrail around the school and add steps to the lawn.
  - Add sidewalks per Urban Design Standards (walks, trees, plantings, lighting, street seating and places to pause to rest, amenities / accessories) on the south side of the street at building storefronts and entrances only. Allow street topping and landscape to scribe to buildings that have no entrances on Commerce like the CenturyLink building and the unbuilt space between said building and Quirk.
  - Add Art / Culture installations and activities including, but not limited to, wall murals, freestanding sculptures, and/or multi-medium, performance, experiential, multi-generational, legacy, festivals, neighborhood night out events.

• Recontextualize 4th Street to establish the route as a significant inner neighborhood aerial pathways where privilege is given to pedestrians and places on the street, and the vehicle access is a secondary function.
  - Add decorative street pavers (brick or stone) in lieu of blacktop paving; and arrange design of pavers to create connections between iconic places along the street (i.e., the Jefferson School City Center, Vinegar Hill Shopping Center, City Yard Redevelopment).
  - Enhance sidewalks per Urban Design Standards (i.e., walks, trees, plantings, lighting, street seating and places to pause to rest, amenities / accessories, accessible ramps, signage) on the north side of the street with the Jefferson School City Center and City Yard Redevelopment.
  - Enhance sidewalks per Urban Design Standards (walks, trees, plantings, lighting, street seating and places to pause to rest, amenities / accessories) on the east side of the street along the parking lots of Residence Inn, Vinegar Shopping Center and fast food restaurants.
  - Create on street parking with landscaped bump out, coordinate with enhanced crosswalks and Urban Design Standards.
  - Add Art / Culture installations and activities including, but not limited to, wall murals, freestanding sculptures, and/or multi-medium, performance, experiential, multi-generational, legacy, festivals, neighborhood night out events.

• Sequence of Events to Consider: Collaboration of design with projects currently underway (i.e., Quirk)
GATHER | AMPHITHEATRE

- **Description:** Redesign existing school yard to include amphitheatre for outdoor performance space in collaboration with the Jefferson School African-American Heritage Center. Add other site amenities, services, equipment, furnishings, and placemaking cultural pieces to accommodate a variety of programmed needs for public use.

- **Sequence of Events to Consider:**
  - Collaborate design with projects currently underway (i.e., Quirk and CODE)
  - Coordinate schoolyard site work in tandem with arterial street improvements
  - Neighborhood works with Parks Department to prioritize work, explore design concepts, and designate funding, implementation and maintenance

THE DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITIES | Proposed Use

- Public plaza and street improvements at 4th and Commerce
- Amphitheatre
- Green space
THE DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITIES | Proposed Use

STRENGTHEN STARR HILL PARK AND OTHER PUBLIC SPACES

REIMAGINE STARR HILL PARK

- Description:
  - Add playground equipment/playscapes, tables/benches, shade/pergola, lighting, community map and communications board.
  - Add bike stands.
  - Identify designated dog areas.
  - No zoning changes needed.

- Sequence of Events to Consider:
  - Procurement proposal process to be verified.
  - Neighborhood works with Parks Department to prioritize work, explore design concepts, and designate funding, implementation, and maintenance.

SURROUNDING CONDITIONS

- Property Description & Background:
  STARR HILL PARK is the only public park space within the Starr Hill Neighborhood (47.7 acre) designation. It is regularly used by residents as a place for socialization, recreation, playground and ball field, dog walking, and has been used by surrounding churches for their student and childcare activities. The West Main Street Design proposes a parking deck be located on this site. The residents of Starr Hill do not support this idea unless another public park is designated within the Starr Hill neighborhood boundaries, AND only if the deck can be a pleasant addition buffering the business noise and traffic from the quieter residential area. The parcel is situated between 4th STREET and RIDGE/McINTIRE ROAD.

POCKET PARKS & MORE PUBLIC GREEN

REIMAGINE STARR HILL PARK

- Description:
  - Transform spaces between buildings and unoccupied places that could benefit from enhancements of art, plantings, and seating for public use and enjoyment. Use culturally relevant placemaking and styles wherever possible. No zoning changes needed.

- Sequence of Events to Consider:
  - Collaborate design with projects currently underway (i.e., Quick and CODE).
  - Coordinate site work in tandem with arterial street improvements.
  - Neighborhood works with Parks Department to prioritize work, explore design concepts, and designate funding, implementation, and maintenance.
THE DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITIES | Proposed Use

PARKING DECK EXPANSION & ENHANCEMENT

- Description:
  - Add 2 trays of parking, each of 105 spaces, to the current 2 tray parking deck in addition to a non-parked deck roof. Structurally viable. No zoning changes needed.
  - If feasible, allow for future construction of useable art, office or social spaces or use as roof terrace with green recreational space, any of which could transition over to the rooftop of the Jefferson School building rooftop if allowed. Structurally viable. No zoning changes needed.
  - Add a Green Roof and Green Walls that are publicly accessible. Structurally viable. No zoning changes needed.

- Sequence of Events to Consider:
  - Feasibility study, design and construction. Temporary parking location to be coordinated during construction.
  - Green Wall can be installed while expansion underway.

PLACEMAKING AND LEGACY ART

- Description:
  - Paint Murals on area buildings, in particular on the side of Century Link Building facing Heritage-Center front door. Select themes and commission artists.
  - Commission art installations of varied medium throughout the community to promote Black artists and merchants.
  - Commission art installations or interior “storefronts” of varied medium throughout the inside of the Jefferson School to promote Black artists and merchants.

- Sequence of Events to Consider:
  - Collaborate with Charlottesville’s Public Arts Commission, or equivalent private or non-profit entities, for funds and commissions.
  - Obtain permission for area building owners to paint and/or artfully improve the exterior of their building(s) and surrounding grounds.
  - Coordinate with other work currently underway in the nearby community (i.e., Quirk).
  - Assemble a team for community aesthetic, cultural and placemaking enhancements and hold Vision Days and other events to generate and implement ideas.
EXISTING CONDITIONS

- Property Description & Background:
The Jefferson School building was purchased and renovated in 2004 under the care of the Jefferson School Foundation, whose Mission remains:

The Jefferson School Foundation is dedicated to preserving and sustaining the Jefferson School as a vibrant and meaningful community resource, providing cultural and educational opportunities for its surrounding communities, the citizens of Charlottesville, and visitors to our region.

The Foundation recognizes and celebrates Jefferson School’s rich history and symbolic importance as an African-American school in a racially segregated community as we promote a more inclusive future. Opportunity through education has always been and will continue to be an enduring legacy of the Jefferson School.

The Jefferson School City Center has 2 anchor tenants, The Jefferson School African-American Heritage Center and the Carver Recreation Center, that house a variety of profit and non-profit community-serving organizations in the space between. The building is fully leased through 2022, and includes 10 nonprofit/government organizations and a restaurant.

- Current Land Use / Zoning: B-2 business district

B-2: provides for commercial uses of limited size, primarily serving neighborhood needs for convenience goods. The intent of the B-2 regulations is to encourage clustering of these neighborhood-serving commercial uses. The uses permitted within this district are those which will generate minimal traffic originating outside the neighborhood areas served, and that will generate minimal noise, odors and fumes, smoke, fire or explosion hazards, lighting glare, heat or vibration.

- Current Uses: Business, Education, Recreation, and Cultural

- Current District Overlay: Architectural Design Control Districts and/or Individually Protected Properties (Jefferson School – building only)

SURROUNDING CONDITIONS

- Property Description & Background:
Across 4th Street from the Jefferson School is the VINEGAR HILL SHOPPING CENTER building. Previously AGI Foods, the brick structure is surrounded by significant surface parking assessed for a grocery store function. This parcel (.52A acres) is bounded on the south edge by the Residence Inn (.22 acres) facing West Main Street and at the north edge by 2 fast food restaurant chains—McDonald’s (.024 acre) and Wendy’s (.066 acres)—and the aggregate of these parcels is situated between 4th STREET and RIDGE/MCFINTIRE ROAD. The parcels are significantly underutilized and require further planning.
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Inclusive neighborhood development recognizes that neighborhoods region’s economic trends, making sure that their assets do not have their own economies. Their success or failure is determined by their relationship to broader marketplaces. In particular, successful neighborhoods simultaneously play two roles:

1) as “Communities of Opportunity,” neighborhoods create A key dimension of this economic transformation is “the inclusive growth paradox.” In the short term, growth in this new economy is exacerbating income and wealth inequality. However, in the long term, the region with the least inequality do the best. By developing and deploying all of their assets, these regions achieve stronger growth by not wasting their valuable, human, business and real estate resources. By contrast, those regions see costs of poverty go down, as individuals and neighborhoods obtain more wealth. “A central challenge—and opportunity—for neighborhood development is figuring out how to better align inclusion and growth. To achieve sustainable, inclusive growth, regions need to connect all of their neighborhoods to opportunity. Inclusive neighborhood growth means that disadvantaged neighborhoods are connected to participate in and are integral to regional growth.” In the context of the project—the creation of a Small Area Plan (SAP) for the Starr Hill neighborhood—inclusive growth is especially relevant. Charlottesville has a legacy, common to many American cities, of excluding Black residents from the growth opportunities. The urban renewal that wiped out Venable Hill eroded the connections many Black business owners had to the broader economy (to say nothing of the social bonds that were broken). Jim Crow laws and redlining prevented Black families from maximizing home ownership opportunities and building intergenerational wealth. Today retail displacement in education limit Black residents’ access to emerging job opportunities in some of Charlottesville’s growing industries. The time is particularly ripe for an approach that will put Starr Hill residents and Charlottesville’s broader Black community at the forefront of the region’s economy, owning and driving the area’s growth in the decades to come.

2) as “Communities of Choice,” neighborhoods create these different geographies and groups has generated a more complete picture of how Starr Hill’s development can uplift current residents’ status as well as support the success of Black Charlottesville writ large. To support and inform Starr Hill’s Small Area Plan (SAP), this market analysis has been created to identify Starr Hill’s leading short- and long-term growth opportunities. These opportunities exist at the intersection of the status and trajectory of the Charlottesville region’s economy with the many assets that Starr Hill has to offer. By clarifying where Starr Hill could participate in and drive the growth of regional supply chains, workforce development, entrepreneurial activity and more, the neighborhood can sharpen its vision of its role in the Charlottesville economy and start to design the initiatives that can bring that vision to ground. Some of those resulting initiatives have the potential to be incorporated into the physical development contemplated for Starr Hill, in those instances where the neighborhood offers the optimal location for placing the relevant activities, programs and facilities.

In the course of this analysis and through a process of iteration with the broader SAP formation, it became clear that the effects of the SAP will extend beyond the geographic boundaries that technically define Starr Hill as a neighborhood. The community engagement plan recognized from the outset that adjacent communities such as Fivewoods and 10th & Page, which includes Westhaven, had a stake in the outcomes of this work, and thus included stakeholders from those areas in early outreach and interviews. As the community listening process surfaced goals that included re-establishing the area as a center of gravity for Black Charlottesville residents and businesses, it further affirmed that the analysis should scope to include other areas and populations. A major theme of this project has been how to improve economic outcomes for Starr Hill residents and businesses, which led to examining how to strengthen the employment and business prospects of the broader Black community across the region. To that end, it became essential to analyze two additional populations in addition to Starr Hill: the communities that directly border Starr Hill and the Charlottesville region’s entire Black population. Answering these dynamic questions is essential. Communities need to continually assess their region’s economic trends, making sure that their assets are prepared for connected to and competitive in the regional marketplace.

A key dimension of this economic transformation is “the inclusive growth paradox.” In the short term, growth in this new economy is exacerbating income and wealth inequality. However, in the long term, the region with the least inequality do the best. By developing and deploying all of their assets, these regions achieve stronger growth by not wasting their valuable, human, business and real estate resources. By contrast, those regions see costs of poverty go down, as individuals and neighborhoods obtain more wealth. “A central challenge—and opportunity—for neighborhood development is figuring out how to better align inclusion and growth. To achieve sustainable, inclusive growth, regions need to connect all of their neighborhoods to opportunity. Inclusive neighborhood growth means that disadvantaged neighborhoods are connected to participate in and are integral to regional growth.” In the context of the project—the creation of a Small Area Plan (SAP) for the Starr Hill neighborhood—inclusive growth is especially relevant. Charlottesville has a legacy, common to many American cities, of excluding Black residents from the growth opportunities. The urban renewal that wiped out Venable Hill eroded the connections many Black business owners had to the broader economy (to say nothing of the social bonds that were broken). Jim Crow laws and redlining prevented Black families from maximizing home ownership opportunities and building intergenerational wealth. Today retail displacement in education limit Black residents’ access to emerging job opportunities in some of Charlottesville’s growing industries. The time is particularly ripe for an approach that will put Starr Hill residents and Charlottesville’s broader Black community at the forefront of the region’s economy, owning and driving the area’s growth in the decades to come. If a neighborhood’s assets are not aligned to the broader economy, these assets can become virtuous or vicious. How well a neighborhood performs as a “Community of Opportunity” is a primary driver in the cycle. The neighborhoods whose businesses and residents successfully generate and capture economic value become home to the income and wealth of these companies and workers. This in turn helps create the local amenities that attract, retain and support current and future residents.

The Charlottesville regional market analysis provides the foundation from which Starr Hill SAP can identify the neighborhood’s unique opportunities to connect with, and help drive growth in, the area’s economy. This analysis builds first and foremost upon several existing regional economic studies and related resources, synthesizing and deepening those findings. It also iterates with the neighborhood analysis of Starr Hill and surrounding communities, digging further into regional-level topics as they are informed by Starr Hill’s leading growth opportunities.

**Note:** See page 6 for the full text. This text is used to illustrate the concept of the inclusion of neighborhoods and the role of SAP in supporting inclusive growth. The text highlights the importance of understanding the dynamics of the local economy to create opportunities for inclusive growth. It emphasizes the need for neighborhoods to align with the broader regional economy to ensure sustainable, inclusive growth. The inclusion of historical and social contexts, such as the effects of Jim Crow laws and redlining, is crucial in understanding the current economic landscape and in planning for future growth.
Post-World War Two, the region’s economy shifted, with mining, quarrying and textiles shrinking as electrical equipment production and food processing grew. At the same time, UVA became the area’s largest employer, thanks in part to enrollment increases spurred by the GI Bill. UVA experienced a second leap in size after it began admitting women and minorities, nearly doubling undergraduate enrollment from 1969 to 1979. Tourism, anchored by Monticello as a key attraction, took on a greater share of economic activity.

Investments in roads and urban renewal projects from the 1980s to 1990s helped spur urbanization. As the region’s population grew and businesses shifted away from the city center, so did commercial and retail activity. The Downtown Mall, one of the largest pedestrian malls in the nation, was constructed in the 1990s in an effort to attract businesses and shoppers back to Main Street.

Figure 1: Change in Employment, 2001 – 2017 (indexed to 2001 = 100)

These figures capture the region’s overall expansion, but the benefits have not been equally distributed. Median incomes for Black residents are approximately $40,000 while those of white residents are $68,000, a wider gap than that of the nation overall. Similarly, unemployment rates for Black residents are more than double those of whites (5.7% versus 2.6%). These factors reflect a history of exclusion from full participation in, and benefit from, the Charlottesville economy. This disconnection is intimately tied to the history of Vinegar Hill, the once-thriving Black business district that was razed in the late 1960s through urban renewal (see Neighborhood Analysis for more on Vinegar Hill). As it has been for many years, the University of Virginia (including the hospital system, UVA Health) is currently the area’s largest employer: Between faculty, staff, student and contract employees, UVA employs approximately 30,000. The university contracts with several service providers (e.g., Aramark for dining services) whose employees are interwoven into the UVA community.

After UVA, several of the largest employers are in local government (County of Albemarle, City of Charlottesville, Charlottesville City School Board) or federal government (the National Ground Intelligence Center (NGIC), part of the Department of Defense). Notable private-sector employers include Sentara Healthcare, State Farm, ServiceLink, ACAC, WorldStrides and the CFA Institute. Given the largest employers are in local government, professional and business services, education and health services. With the proximity to many cultural and historical attractions (e.g., Monticello, Monticello, outdoor recreation (e.g., Shenandoah National Park), and a robust wine industry, leisure and hospitality also play a major role in the regional economy.

Activity in traded clusters is relatively small, with a mixture of growth and decline in certain areas (see further discussion below). For example, the region’s manufacturing jobs have decreased from almost 7,000 in the year 2000 to about 4,000 today. These 4,000 jobs represent a modest rebound from recent losses, with an increase of 2% from 2012 (mostly through hiring in beverage manufacturing). The region’s manufacturing sector has also increased productivity by nearly 10% from 2015 to 2016, 10% ahead of the national rate. A handful of industries— particularly biotech and IT—are showing notable recent growth, though they are still small relative to the size of the overall economy.

Additional Notes:
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6. The Institute for Advanced Technology in the Humanities, University of Virginia, “Charlottesville: A Brief Urban History.”
7. The Institute for Advanced Technology in the Humanities, University of Virginia.
8. The Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) geographically is based on the definition governed by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and employed by the Census and other sources for a majority of data collection and reporting. The Charlottesville MSA includes the City of Charlottesville and the counties of Albemarle, Buckingham, Fluvanna, Greene and Louisa.
9. The Institute for Advanced Technology in the Humanities, University of Virginia.
10. The Institute for Advanced Technology in the Humanities, University of Virginia, “Charlottesville: A Brief Urban History.”
11. The Institute for Advanced Technology in the Humanities, University of Virginia.
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The most thorough existing analysis of the Charlottesville region’s clusters comes from the GO Virginia Region 9 plan, created in 2017 as part of the state’s GO Virginia Initiative. Based on the strengths of the region’s assets and the industries’ job growth, earnings, and exports, the Region 9 plan identified five industry sectors (which are equivalent to clusters, excepting light manufacturing) with the most potential to drive economic growth:

- Biomedical and Biotechnology
- Financial and Business Services
- Food and Beverage Manufacturing
- Information Technology/Communications
- Light Manufacturing

Each of these clusters has stronger and weaker sub-clusters within them. Unlocking the region’s primary competitive advantage requires looking more deeply within those clusters to uncover notable strengths. Doing so identifies a handful of especially promising segments:

- Beverage Manufacturing – essentially all of the region’s strength as food and beverage manufacturing is within beverages alone, representing 40% of the jobs and the only sub-sector with a location quotient (LQ) over 0.5. The vast majority of employment in this sub-cluster is represented by craft breweries and vineyards in the rural areas surrounding Charlottesville.
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Human capital is the single most important input for economic growth, particularly in an economy where the impact and value of knowledge is greater than ever. To maximize that impact, human capital must be properly deployed into the jobs that best match workers’ skills and education. Getting this right requires paying attention not only to education and training, but also to job creation in growing sectors (see Clusters section above), the systems that match labor supply and demand and opportunities to make labor markets more efficient.

The Charlottesville region has a notably well-educated workforce, with more holders of bachelor’s and advanced degrees than state and national averages. Albemarle County and Charlottesville itself are even more educated, over half of the population 25 and older in the city and county has at least a bachelor’s degree and over a quarter hold advanced degrees.

However, major disparities exist across race and ethnicity. Substantially fewer Black and Hispanic/Latino residents have at least a high school education in comparison to white residents. The gap is even more pronounced for college education: while residents are four times more likely to have a bachelor’s degree or higher than Black residents in Charlottesville and Albemarle County (see Figure 2).
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However, major disparities exist across race and ethnicity. Substantially fewer Black and Hispanic/Latino residents have at least a high school education in comparison to white residents. The gap is even more pronounced for college education: while white residents are four times more likely to have a bachelor’s degree or higher than Black residents in Charlottesville and Albemarle County (see Figure 2).

### Key Takeaways

- Reflective of the economy overall, there are major racial and ethnic disparities among the education and skills of the region’s population.
- Employer demand seems to be splitting between lower- and higher-skilled positions, with decreasing demand in the middle.
- A range of industry-based employment programs and resources exist throughout the region, though their scale and impact have room for growth through deeper private sector engagement and tighter alignment with emerging skills demand.
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**Figure 1**: Educational Attainment by Race, 2017

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2013-2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates

**Figure 2**: Educational Attainment by Race, 2017

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2013-2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates

**Figure 3**: Change in Occupations, Charlottesville MSA (2010–2017)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2013-2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates

---

36 “Competitiveness” here has specific connotations as used in the GO Virginia Region 9 report. In that analysis, “competitiveness” is the result of the report’s shift-share analysis. Shift-share relies on a region and industry’s job growth in what can be attributed to job growth in the overall national economy and in the industry generally. The remainder of the job growth can be attributed to the region’s unique economic assets and conditions.


38 Note that degrees are imperfect proxies for workers’ skills and aptitudes, and they are growing increasingly unreliable over time. Companies, educators, trainers and others in the workforce systems are seeking to gain more granular assessments of workers’ discrete skills and using that skills information – both supply- and demand-side – to match job openings with qualified applicants, direct workers toward appropriate training opportunities and reveal which in-demand skills educators and training providers should tailor their programming around.

These are broad categories, each of which has some mixture of higher- and lower-skilled positions, but additional data analysis supports the information narrative. Using job classifications tied to general education requirements,40 a pattern emerges of low- and high-skill job growth and declines in middle-skill employment (see Figure 4).41

Within these general trends, it is challenging to parse out occupational patterns for Black Charlottesville residents. Available data is highly aggregated, masking the distribution of employment across low-, middle-, and high-skill opportunities. However, high-level indicators suggest that Black employment skew more toward middle- and lower-skill occupations (see Figure 5). Across the MSA, Black residents are less than half as likely as white residents to hold management, business, science occupations, which skew toward higher-skilled positions. Representation by Blacks is considerably higher in middle-skill (sales and office, production) and lower-skill (service) roles.42

At the same time, employment appears to have grown across the board for Black residents for all occupational categories, with natural resources, construction and maintenance the only category in which employment declined. There have been generally strong increases in employment, otherwise, and at higher rates than the regional rate for all workers and for white workers (see Figure 6).43 Black residents are catching up to some degree in this area, but they still have a long way to go before reaching an equitable distribution of jobs across occupational categories.

Regional and across all sectors, the high-level occupational categories projected to have the most growth include Food Preparation, Healthcare Practitioners and Technical Occupations, Education, Training, and Library Occupations, and Administrative Support Occupations. While there is variation within each category, projections suggest that most of the growth in food prep and offices and administrative support will be in lower-skilled positions (e.g., food prep and service, stock clerks, receptionists). The occupation projections for healthcare and education predict increases in a mix of lower and higher-skilled paying roles.44

These regional, industry-specific trends and projections are affected by a nation-wide trend of greater “digitalization” of jobs. Increased demand for digital skills affects nearly every industry and occupation—45% of jobs have seen digital skills requirements rise since 2010.45 There are strong correlations between these skills and salaries, the more digital an occupation, the higher the average wage. Unfortunately, digital skill disparities are also highly correlated with race. Minorities are much more likely to have lower digital skill sets, and by extension they are underrepresented in the most digitally demanding occupations.46

Finally, there is anecdotal evidence that demand is strong and growing in the skilled trade within the Charlottesville region. Local workforce training providers have reported that employers in construction and the trades are acutely affected by aging workforces and imminent waves of retirements. Those companies have been deepening their engagement with the workforce system on building and filling pipelines with prospective employees who have the right certifications and skill sets.

**Workforce Development Resources**

The region has a range of educational and training institutions available to enhance its talent pool and prepare for the next wave of in-demand occupations. UVA is the largest of these resources, though not necessarily the one most tied to the local economy and workforce, given its international, national and state-wide draw. That said, as of the 2016-17 school year, almost 1,000 undergraduates were from...
the Charlottesville MBA. Unfortunately, a shrinking proportion of the student population is Black, with those students representing 6.7% of undergraduates in 2018, down from 8.4% in 2009.48

Piedmont Virginia Community College (PVCC) is the largest public community college serving Albemarle and other Central Virginia counties, with a much more local student body than UVA. Of its 3,372 undergraduate students, 4% are Black—closer to the profile of the regional population, but still not wholly representative.49 PVCC also offers an associate’s degree in BioTechnology, though it is purely for the purposes of facilitating students’ transfers to bachelor programs in the topic. IT and communications-related programs include Computer & Network Support Technologies; Cybersecurity; Electronics Technology; and more. Alongside academic offerings, PVCC hosts the Network2Work program. Network2Work seeks to build a pipeline for residents in minimum wage jobs into positions that pay as little as $10/hour and have strong potential for greater earnings. The bulk of the programming focuses on providing job-specific credentials, particularly in health care and the trades. Robust demand exists for workers in those fields, and the program has strong relationships with regional employers who frequently hire Network2Work participants. Other, less credential-based opportunities have been far less for the program to access. Given the generally high education level of the region’s residents, employers can default to a bachelor’s as a relevant credential, even if it is not necessary for the position (e.g., administrative assistant).

INNOVATION AND ENTREPRENEURSHIP

A key element of a region’s economic growth is the depth and impact of its innovation activities. At a fundamental level, all economic growth stems from a form of innovation, which by definition generates new value from existing resources through the creation and sale of new products and processes. While this basic concept is relatively straightforward, the ways in which innovation occurs and is nurtured are not as commonly understood. An examination of the mechanisms through which innovation occurs in the economy clarifies where opportunities exist to grow a region’s innovation activities.

One notable gap in the region is the lack of any industry-led consortia focused on addressing and collectively enhancing the responsiveness and efficiency of the workforce development system. Engagement between employers and educators or trainers appears to occur through more isolated, one-on-one relationships. This arrangement may be most appropriate given the size of Charlottesville and its economy but there may be industries—in particular those that are rapidly growing and thus without existing connections to the workforce development ecosystem—whose skills demand could grow most efficiently met through sector partnerships.

Figure 7 illustrates the ecosystem that enables and supports innovation. Central to this system are the connections between these primary sets of actors and their activities: 1) basic and applied research emerging from universities and private institutions and the commercialization of those findings; 2) individual entrepreneurs and their ability to conceive of, prototype, pilot and produce new products and processes; and 3) the clusters of firms that can support and scale innovations to produce substantial economic impact. Surrounding and facilitating those connections are crucial supporting elements: an innovative, risk-taking culture; a rich talent pool;29 nimble, flexible networks to connect the system’s actors; and the right capital to scale each actor’s activities.

Understanding these interactions also delineates innovation’s relationship to entrepreneurship. The two subjects overlap but are not equivalent, as not all entrepreneurs are engaged in ground-breaking, market-making enterprises. Entrepreneurship also encompasses the more standard small business establishment and growth in existing products and services. While this type of entrepreneurship may not generate transformative innovations, it is still relevant for regional growth, as it provides a path to increased wealth and ownership.49
This section examines several of these elements of Charlottesville’s innovation ecosystem.

- **R&D**, especially UVA R&D spending and commercialization
- **Finance**, particularly venture capital
- **Entrepreneurship**, with a focus on startups and small business growth in more traditional, existing sectors, products and services

**R&D Spending and Activity**

As a top-ranked public university, UVA is a major innovation asset for the region, serving as a hub for research and invention, attracting talented people for work and study and contributing to the vitality of the community. UVA plays a central role in the region’s innovation ecosystem, providing basic and industry-linked research, running programs to commercialize university research outputs and providing resources to entrepreneurs.

In general, university-led research often provides the building blocks for product and process innovations, which ideally will be leveraged by the private sector. UVA does not spend as much as its peer universities on R&D (possibly a function of its smaller size), ranking 51st in higher education annual R&D spending with nearly $470 million in 2017-18. The majority of UVA’s R&D spending is in life sciences. In that category, UVA comes in 50th among peer universities, with most funding devoted to health sciences (12%) and biomedical and biological sciences (22%).

Similarly, 60% of UVA’s invention disclosures in 2018 were from the School of Medicine and School of Engineering and Applied Sciences.

UVA’s strength in health sciences is also evidenced by its spinoffs, which have translated research into business ventures. Of the 30 spin-offs that UVA produced between 2011 and 2017, a rate below comparable college towns such as Ann Arbor, Durham-Chapel Hill and Bloomington but ahead of South Bend and Athens.

**Entrepreneurship**

As discussed, entrepreneurship is a component of, but distinct from, innovation. The intersection of entrepreneurship and R&D commercialization represents the subset of entrepreneurs that are generating and prototyping new concepts and products, seeking to launch and scale those innovations into the market and create completely new economic value. A second segment of entrepreneurs has little to no activity in new markets or products and consists of those who operate in established, traditional industries and sectors, such as real estate, restaurants, business services, traditional manufacturing, etc. With these companies, the primary economic benefit comes through the wealth that accrues to firm owners, especially for those businesses that have been disconnected from economic opportunity.

One measure of the dynamism of a region’s entrepreneurial environment is the firm creation rate. Of particular interest are “high-growth firms” (based on growth in revenue or employment), as these firms create the majority of new jobs. According to a study of Inc. Magazine’s 5000 fastest-growing businesses, Charlottesville had the highest level of “high-growth” company entries among small metrost between 2011-2017. In 2018, there were 107 new start-up firms in Charlottesville, up from 88 in 2017 and 96 in 2016.

As a smaller metro area with strong research and human capital assets, Charlottesville can position itself as a cheaper alternative to increasingly expensive tech and innovation hubs, such as Silicon Valley or Seattle. At the same time, there are several other mid-sized cities that also have well-established or burgeoning tech economies—such as Austin or Research Triangle Park in North Carolina—which provide competition. Accordingly,
Entrepreneur and Small Business Resources

Charlottesville has a diverse set of organizations serving the area’s entrepreneurs and small businesses, but as with any area, there are gaps in available resources along the continuum from supporting startups to building up high-growth businesses. The resources that exist are fragmented across multiple sources, and some business owners have reported that services can be difficult to learn about and access. No center of gravity currently exists to link and coordinate the various components of this system.

Basic business assistance is available most visibly from three local organizations: Community Investment Collaborative (CIC), Central Virginia SCORE, and the Central Virginia Small Business Development Center. Of these, CIC’s resources are reported to be the most impactful as it is the primary partner working with the City of Charlottesville’s Office of Economic Development. The CIC is a 16-week entrepreneurship program that leads business owners through the process of starting and owning a business. Through the program, businesses are provided with advice and resources to help them succeed.

CIC provides micro loans and has distributed over $500,000 in small business loans to businesses in the area. CIC has helped over 80 companies launch and another 80 to expand.

Other resources that exist are fragmented across multiple sources, and some business owners have reported that services can be difficult to learn about and access. No center of gravity currently exists to link and coordinate the various components of this system. Active efforts are underway to produce that connective tissue. Active studies are exploring the formation of a “Venture Hub,” currently envisioned as a unifying structure that would connect the various components of this system.

Summer Incubation Program

While hard numbers for the region are hard to obtain, it is clear that the area provides a wealth of opportunities for startups and their ability to grow. However, the city is also addressing a need expressed by Charlottesville’s entrepreneurs and small businesses. The summers are a time of intense experimentation and testing of ideas. While hard numbers for the region are hard to obtain, it is clear that the area provides a wealth of opportunities for startups and their ability to grow. However, the city is also addressing a need expressed by Charlottesville’s entrepreneurs and small businesses. The summers are a time of intense experimentation and testing of ideas. While hard numbers for the region are hard to obtain, it is clear that the area provides a wealth of opportunities for startups and their ability to grow. However, the city is also addressing a need expressed by Charlottesville’s entrepreneurs and small businesses. The summers are a time of intense experimentation and testing of ideas. While hard numbers for the region are hard to obtain, it is clear that the area provides a wealth of opportunities for startups and their ability to grow. However, the city is also addressing a need expressed by Charlottesville’s entrepreneurs and small businesses. The summers are a time of intense experimentation and testing of ideas.
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The Charlotte-Albemarle Airport is small, with only five gates and six destinations, but has seen steady growth in use, with the number of boarding passengers nearly doubling from 2008 to 2018. Land purchases and capacity studies are underway to facilitate potential future expansion. Theoretically such expansion could address concerns raised by those in the local tech community that more direct flights to other hubs of tech activity, such as Boston, are needed to help grow the Charlotte-tech sector.

The degree of car dependence and declines in public transportation ridership also suggest that transportation connectivity could be improved. Charlotte-Albemarle Area Transit (CAT) ridership has decreased by 10% between 2013 and 2017 despite increases to the area population. Nearly 80% of Charlotte residents drive to work, with the vast majority driving alone. The average commute time is also increasing, from 23 minutes in 2010 to nearly 25 minutes in 2017. Public transportation is minimal in the region, consisting only of buses, and the coordination among the three area transit agencies—University Transit Service, CAT and JAUNT, Inc.—has been described as limited. The frequency and timing of the existing bus routes do not serve late shift workers well.

Development restrictions in the region—such as Albemarle County’s designated Development Areas, which since 1938 have restricted most development to 5% of the County’s land—help contain sprawl but have constrained the supply of properties available for businesses to locate or expand. Limiting commercial and industrial land has led to high property prices, making it difficult for businesses, particularly start-ups, to scale in the region. Information on available commercial spaces is hard to track down and often requires inside knowledge.

In terms of virtual infrastructure, Charlotte-Albemarle and developed areas in Albemarle are well-served by broadband, but there are rural parts of the region that have limited or no access. There are projects underway, though, to expand broadband access across these areas. Albemarle County’s major transportation assets—its highway access and airport—are of varying quality and utility. Mass transportation options are limited in coverage and frequency, contributing to increasing car usage and longer commute times. Constraints on development have contributed to high land prices, limiting options for new and growing businesses.

The relative location of businesses, suppliers, workers and consumers within a region—and the physical and virtual infrastructure connecting them—of vital importance. It determines transaction, and specifically transportation, costs for the movement of people, goods and ideas across space and it influences many of the economic benefits of agglomeration, such as shared labor pools and knowledge spillovers. Mixed-use communities with excellent transportation connections are best positioned to flourish in the next economy.

While Charlottesville offers many transportation assets—including access to several interstate highways, an airport, rail and transit—the assets vary in quality. Charlottesville sits trio of I44, providing ample highway capacity for east-west freight traffic. The city’s main north-south corridor, U.S. Route 29, is not a limited access highway and instead is the artery through Charlottesville’s primary box and strip mall retail corridor. Historically, the steady flow of traffic in and through Charlottesville, particularly to and from the Washington, D.C. metro, has resulted in heavy congestion along Route 29. Several improvements have been undertaken or are in planning stages that will reduce drive times.

The Charlotte-Albemarle Airport is small, with only five gates and six destinations, but has seen steady growth in use, with the number of boarding passengers nearly doubling from 2008 to 2018. Land purchases and capacity studies are underway to facilitate potential future expansion. Theoretically such expansion could address concerns raised by those in the local tech community that more direct flights to other hubs of tech activity, such as Boston, are needed to help grow the Charlotte-tech sector.

The degree of car dependence and declines in public transportation ridership also suggest that transportation connectivity could be improved. Charlotte-Albemarle Area Transit (CAT) ridership has decreased by 10% between 2013 and 2017 despite increases to the area population. Nearly 80% of Charlotte residents drive to work, with the vast majority driving alone. The average commute time is also increasing, from 23 minutes in 2010 to nearly 25 minutes in 2017. Public transportation is minimal in the region, consisting only of buses, and the coordination among the three area transit agencies—University Transit Service, CAT and JAUNT, Inc.—has been described as limited. The frequency and timing of the existing bus routes do not serve late shift workers well.

Development restrictions in the region—such as Albemarle County’s designated Development Areas, which since 1938 have restricted most development to 5% of the County’s land—help contain sprawl but have constrained the supply of properties available for businesses to locate or expand. Limiting commercial and industrial land has led to high property prices, making it difficult for businesses, particularly start-ups, to scale in the region. Information on available commercial spaces is hard to track down and often requires inside knowledge.

In terms of virtual infrastructure, Charlotte-Albemarle and developed areas in Albemarle are well-served by broadband, but there are rural parts of the region that have limited or no access. There are projects underway, though, to expand broadband access across these areas. Albemarle County’s major transportation assets—its highway access and airport—are of varying quality and utility. Mass transportation options are limited in coverage and frequency, contributing to increasing car usage and longer commute times. Constraints on development have contributed to high land prices, limiting options for new and growing businesses.

The relative location of businesses, suppliers, workers and consumers within a region—and the physical and virtual infrastructure connecting them—of vital importance. It determines transaction, and specifically transportation, costs for the movement of people, goods and ideas across space and it influences many of the economic benefits of agglomeration, such as shared labor pools and knowledge spillovers. Mixed-use communities with excellent transportation connections are best positioned to flourish in the next economy.

While Charlottesville offers many transportation assets—including access to several interstate highways, an airport, rail and transit—the assets vary in quality. Charlottesville sits trio of I44, providing ample highway capacity for east-west freight traffic. The city’s main north-south corridor, U.S. Route 29, is not a limited access highway and instead is the artery through Charlottesville’s primary box and strip mall retail corridor. Historically, the steady flow of traffic in and through Charlottesville, particularly to and from the Washington, D.C. metro, has resulted in heavy congestion along Route 29. Several improvements have been undertaken or are in planning stages that will reduce drive times.

Businesses alike. In this economy, competitive regions are not necessarily those that impose the lowest tax rates, but those that provide the best value in relation to their tax-related costs. At the state level, Virginia sees to offer a good tax-value proposition for businesses, with a competitive corporate income tax rate (10%), among the lowest in the nation and the same rate since 1972, coupled with access to an educated workforce, good infrastructure, etc. The state is also in solid fiscal health, as a history of cautious “pop-up-a-penny” budget management has helped the state maintain a Triple A bond rating since 1931. The additional tax burden from local charges is normal, though property taxes have been rising. Charlottesville’s property assessments grew 9% in 2018 while Albemarle County saw a 6% increase in property values.

Collaboration and Coordination

At a regional level, there has been limited strategic planning and no official regional economic development plan. The GO Virginia Region 9 Report likely comes closest to being the area’s overarching development goal. Created in 2017 as part of a state-wide economic development planning effort, the Region 9 report covers a geography that includes both the Charlottesville MSA and five additional counties to the northeast. The Central Virginia Partnership for Economic Development (CVEPD) is managing the implementation of the plan’s major strategies, including supporting and funding several of the workforce and entrepreneurship initiatives mentioned above (e.g., ingenuity manufacturing training, the Catalyst accelerator). There are burgeoning efforts to develop more collaborative working relationships among businesses and the region’s development actors, with
the Charlottesville Regional Chamber of Commerce making this a new point of emphasis. One challenge is managing the several instances of overlapping ordinances and policies that are addressing similar geographic and issues but are not aware of each other or coordinating their efforts. For example, the North Charlottesville Business Council and Chamber Defense Affairs Committee (both committees within the Chamber) each have their own sets of activities and strategies around Route 29 in northern Albemarle County. There are also a host of economic development-related organizations, in addition to CVPEP and the Chamber, with no one owner or lead on the region’s development efforts. These additional organizations include:

- City of Charlottesville Office of Economic Development
- Charlottesville Economic Development Authority (CEDA)
- Albemarle County Economic Development
- Thomas Jefferson Planning District Commission
- Charlottesville-Albemarle Metropolitan Planning Organization
- Virginia Economic Development Partnership

One legacy of Vinegar Hill’s demolition that persists to this day is the diffusion of Black-owned businesses. Minority business owners are still working to reestablish a center of gravity and robust network among themselves to support their collective growth. The City of Charlottesville and the surrounding region are widely considered very desirable areas to live in Virginia. The city’s housing market is extremely tight, with demand significantly outpacing supply. This is reflected in part by the fact that the Charlottesville HUD Metro FMR Area (HMFA) is the second most expensive area of Virginia for housing, second only to the Washington-Arlington-Alexandria HMFA, one of the most expensive markets in the country.

Many households in Charlottesville—those in Starr Hill and neighboring areas—have not experienced wage growth in recent years, and many are living on fixed incomes. The housing market has continued to tighten, and rents have increased sharply, forcing households to spend too much income on housing. Several elements have contributed to the state of this market, including growing development pressures on scarce available land and limits on density. Some of Charlottesville’s population growth has been comprised of new residents moving from places with higher wages, giving them more buying power upon arrival. These factors substantially decrease the market buying power of low- and middle-income residents already in Charlottesville, often forcing families to live in substandard or overcrowded conditions or move away from the city. Due to growth limitations in Albemarle County the overall cost burdens are very similar there, although the housing stock tends to be larger.

### Key Takeaways:

- Property values are quite high and the housing market is tight, due to limited land and properties, as well as population growth, with a notable proportion of in-movers from higher income areas.
- Finding affordable rental and ownership properties—based on the comparison between AMI levels and actual rents in the region—is extremely difficult.
- Affordability burdens track with income and race, which is to say that minority residents tend to experience unaffordable housing situations much more frequently than whites.

### Rental Market Conditions

As briefly described at the opening of the regional market analysis, housing is not one of the five levers of regional economic growth. Of course, it is related to those levers—employment and business prospects improve, it makes individuals’ buying power for housing stock, which can in turn improve ownership and wealth creation opportunities—but it is not a driving force of growth in and of itself. For this purpose of the analysis, the regional housing market analysis is included in this portion of the document to provide the context for any potential housing development activities in Starr Hill.

The City of Charlottesville and the surrounding region are widely considered very desirable areas to live in Virginia. The city’s housing market is extremely tight, with demand significantly outpacing supply. This is reflected in part by the fact that the Charlottesville HUD Metro FMR Area (HMFA) is the second most expensive area of Virginia for housing, second only to the Washington-Arlington-Alexandria HMFA, one of the most expensive markets in the country.

Many households in Charlottesville—including those in Starr Hill and neighboring areas—have not experienced wage growth in recent years, and many are living on fixed incomes. The housing market has continued to tighten, and rents have increased sharply, forcing households to spend too much income on housing. Several elements have contributed to the state of this market, including growing development pressures on scarce available land and limits on density. Some of Charlottesville’s population growth has been comprised of new residents moving from places with higher wages, giving them more buying power upon arrival. These factors substantially decrease the market buying power of low- and middle-income residents already in Charlottesville, often forcing families to live in substandard or overcrowded conditions or move away from the city. Due to growth limitations in Albemarle County the overall cost burdens are very similar there, although the housing stock tends to be larger.

### Assessment of Housing Affordability

Assessing housing affordability includes determining what various households can reasonably afford to pay in rent, assuming that they can dedicate up to 30% of gross income toward housing costs (rental or ownership) without a significant housing burden.

* Using this standard, in 2018 in Charlottesville, the hourly wage necessary to affordably rent a two-bedroom at Fair Market Rent (FMR) was $22.67, assuming a two-bedroom FMR of $1,179. An annual household income of $47,160 is needed to afford this apartment, the equivalent of 3.3 full time jobs at minimum wage.

On average, a family seeking to rent a three-bedroom apartment would expect to pay $1,610 in the Charlottesville market (see Figure 9), which represents a significant increase since 2015 and is considered a very burdensome price point for low- and middle-income renters. Based on qualitative data and other resources such as Trulia, many asking rents are even higher. The average asking rent has been steadily increasing over recent years (see Figure 9), partially because of higher asking rents at newly constructed units. Much of the recent development is student-targeted, including several larger complexes (200+ units) completed since 2013 (see Figure 11).
For a family of three earning 30% of AMI, an affordable rent drops further to about $360 per month, including utilities. Among the larger apartment buildings in the city, monthly rents often exceed $800. Adding to this cost pressure, the average rent in competitive apartment buildings has increased significantly since 2012 (rising 9.4% in 2017 alone). Across the larger multi-family rental complexes, there is a range of average market rate rents. The five-year average asking rents for 1 and 2 bedroom units are $618 and $763, respectively.

Households at 60% of AMI and below would also struggle to locate and afford an average priced housing unit, regardless of unit size, without overcrowding or incurring a significant housing cost burden. This means that any household earning less than 60% of AMI is likely to struggle to secure market-rate rental housing in Charlottesville. Resident and stakeholder interviews and qualitative data further support this finding.

The rising cost of renting and buying a home has placed tremendous burdens on communities of color in Charlottesville, a burden not shared equally across demographics. Black and Hispanic households are disproportionately renters, not owners and thus have little control in how housing costs are passed down to them. The housing cost burden in Census tracts with majority non-white residents is significantly higher than in majority-white tracts. The city’s most underserved segment is the lowest income households and many of the lowest income households are households of color.

Students at UVA represent a significant portion of the rental market in Charlottesville and account for nearly one-fourth of all rental households. 101 To rent a typically priced three bedroom apartment in Charlottesville, households at 30% of AMI would need to pay 75% of their monthly income toward rent, leaving approximately $560 for all other expenses such as food, transportation costs, insurance and healthcare. As the chart below suggests, there are very few options available to low- and middle-income households. Even households that qualify for a VHA or conventional mortgage are met with severely restricted supply; the only homes for sale in the city are almost double the price that an 80% AMI household could afford. There is a limited amount of new Section 8 tenant and rental assistance being provided due to lack of funding at the federal level and a backlog of physical repair needs. The LIDTC program continues to produce a few projects a year in Virginia (2014 and 2020 delivery will far outpace previous years), but these properties can only serve a limited number of households.

Students at UVA represent a significant portion of the rental market in Charlottesville and account for nearly one-fourth of all rental households. 101 To rent a typically priced three bedroom apartment in Charlottesville, households at 30% of AMI would need to pay 75% of their monthly income toward rent, leaving approximately $560 for all other expenses such as food, transportation costs, insurance and healthcare. As the chart below suggests, there are very few options available to low- and middle-income households. Even households that qualify for a VHA or conventional mortgage are met with severely restricted supply; the only homes for sale in the city are almost double the price that an 80% AMI household could afford. There is a limited amount of new Section 8 tenant and rental assistance being provided due to lack of funding at the federal level and a backlog of physical repair needs. The LIDTC program continues to produce a few projects a year in Virginia (2014 and 2020 delivery will far outpace previous years), but these properties can only serve a limited number of households.

**Sales Market Conditions**

Charlottesville’s for-sale market is also considered very tight, with limited opportunities to entry due to a variety of factors: high land costs that are passed on to buyers, growth restrictions, limited sales of housing and population growth from new residents moving from outside of Charlottesville. Housing sales in the Charlottesville area declined or remained flat during the first quarter of 2019. Like many other markets in Virginia, the regional inventory of active listings has remained flat for several quarters. The tight supply of homes available for sale in the Charlottesville area continues to put upward pressure on prices, leaving current and aspiring buyers with few options to purchase.

The limited supply of land available for new development within the city is driven by Charlottesville’s small land area, county-level restrictions on growth and the area’s built-out character. New home construction in the Charlottesville MSA has remained consistent for over five years, at about 800 new homes annually. The pace of new construction for single-family detached houses has increased modestly in recent years. The chart below represents the range of affordable rent and mortgage values, juxtaposed with the housing types affordable at various AMI levels. In the City of Charlottesville, there is little if any for-sale housing at prices less than $150,000, and the average for-sale price is upwards of $450,000. A household earning 80% of AMI could afford a $100,000 house if they spend the full 30% of their monthly gross income on housing costs. This does not account for the barrier to entry of an estimated $50,000 or more in required down payment, depending on the lending product. As of summer 2019, the only for-sale units being built at this price point are Habitat for Humanity homes, which are limited in number. The average priced new home would require an income above 140% of AMI, which applies to only 11% of the city’s population. In addition to monthly mortgage payments, the average homeowner pays approximately $300 a month in additional housing costs, including utilities, property taxes, and insurance. 106
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NEIGHBORHOOD ECONOMIC STUDY & HOUSING STUDY

NEIGHBORHOOD ANALYSIS – INTRODUCTION

Having identified the broader economic opportunities that surround Starr Hill in the regional economy, the analysis turns to the neighborhood level. As described above, neighborhoods, not having their own distinct economies, play two roles in the context of their regions:

1. “Communities of Opportunity” that create wealth by developing their human, business and real estate assets and connecting them to larger, regional markets. Each of these assets creates value when it is plugged into the economy.

   The size of the value generated will depend on how well the asset is developed and deployed—for instance, how well a worker is trained and how efficiently that worker finds and obtains a quality job and advances up a career ladder.

2. “Communities of Choice” that offer varying packages of amenities that attract and retain particular populations. Each area’s unique mixture of housing types, retail and restaurants, green spaces, schools, social services and more can create an overall environment that is more attractive to certain residents than others.

Applying this framework in the context of the Starr Hill SAP process has required a degree of adaptation. Starr Hill is Charlottesville’s smallest officially defined neighborhood, both in area and population. This creates an especially challenging geography to evaluate as a distinct community given the limited amount of data points on residents, businesses and land use. Starr Hill’s small population resides on a handful of blocks, and significant portions of its parcels are taken up by government uses and chain retail. This gives the area little space—physically and perhaps psychologically—to establish an identity beyond its modest residential core.

It is also challenging to disentangle Starr Hill from the areas around it, to which it is intimately connected socially and economically as well as historically. The neighborhood still bears the legacy of Vinegar Hill and the dispersion of what was once the center of Black Charlottesville. While that legacy is complex, it does represent a time when the city’s Black community had higher proportions of home and business ownership and more middle-class families. Recreating Vinegar Hill is neither feasible nor desirable, but it is the right moment to evaluate where and how certain aspects of Vinegar Hill could be revived for today’s Charlottesville: its social cohesion, Black-owned business success and its role as a hub for the city’s Black residents.

These elements of Starr Hill’s aspirations have prompted two different expansions of the analytical scope: 1) physically, to include adjoining neighborhoods and 2) demographically, to evaluate the economic opportunities and challenges of Charlottesville’s entire Black population. Determining the ideal strategies to make the SAP vision a reality requires understanding both of those populations in more detail. This leads to what can loosely be described as a “concentric circles” approach, slowly expanding out from Starr Hill to broader areas and communities.

The results of these rings of analysis relate to each other, but will also tend to guide different aspects of the final plan. Starr Hill-specific findings will be most informative for the place-based programs, products and services that will work with the city’s Black residents to improve their opportunities and challenges, and how they relate to the broader economic context, is essential. This information will lead to the targeted programs, products and services that will maximize the potential of Starr Hill, its neighbors and the Black community overall, and that will create the amenities that will keep and attract the people who will build the new Starr Hill.

STARR HILL CONTEXT AND HISTORY

Key Takeaways:

1. Starr Hill has long been home to economic and cultural assets central to Charlottesville’s growth and identity.

   • The proximity to Vinegar Hill, and that neighborhood’s eventual razing, has had a lasting impact on the character of Starr Hill and how it connects to the areas around it.

   Geography

   Starr Hill is a small neighborhood—only 48 acres in all—situated in the center of Charlottesville, nestled between UVA’s main campus and the Downtown Mall and connected to each by West Main Street. The neighborhood is bounded by two major thoroughfares—Proctor Avenue to the north and Ridgedale McIntire Road to the east—and two railroads—CSX to the south and Norfolk Southern to the west (see reference map in Figures 1.1). Starr Hill encompasses a mix of land uses: commercial on West Main Street and Ridgedale McIntire, residential at its center and industrial in the City Yard.

   Figure 1.1 Map of Starr Hill and Adjacent Neighborhoods

   • Starr Hill has long been home to economic and cultural assets central to Charlottesville’s growth and identity.

   • The proximity to Vinegar Hill, and that neighborhood’s eventual razing, has had a lasting impact on the character of Starr Hill and how it connects to the areas around it.
The neighborhood includes several major landmarks such as:

- Jefferson School, originally the high school for the city’s African American students, and now home to the Jefferson School African American Heritage Center; the Carver Recreation Center, Pearl Island Catering and Café, a YMCA (children’s facility) and other nonprofit.
- Ebenezer Baptist Church, established in 1893 and occupying a church built in 1867 and First Baptist Church, whose congregation’s origins date back to 1844, and whose current church, finished in 1883, and on the National Register of Historic Places.113
- J. F. Bell Funeral Home, one of the oldest businesses in the area, operating continuously since the 1920s, and the oldest Black-owned business in Charlottesville.
- Union Station, the city’s main railroad depot for Amtrak service, dating back to 1884; by 1891, a former slave and major landowner in Charlottesville, Burkle Bullock, had opened the first restaurant to operate adjacent to the station.114

**History of Starr Hill and Vinegar Hill**

The expansion of Charlottesville’s railroad system in the mid-1800s brought development to Starr Hill. Because of its prime location at the junction of two railroads in the center of town, the neighborhood served as a dynamic transportation hub through the first half of the 20th century.115 Starr Hill acted as a service center for passengers and railroad workers who relied on nearby hotels and restaurants.116 Many railroad workers also lived in Starr Hill.117

Starr Hill is considered a historically integrated neighborhood, with many African American professionals and families making Starr Hill their home in the early 20th century.118 Starr Hill is adjacent to what was Vinegar Hill, a neighborhood that was the center of Black social life in Charlottesville from the 1920s to the 1950s.119 Vinegar Hill served as a symbol of Black advancement and self-reliance. Many businesses and institutions in Vinegar Hill were born out of a need for Black people to renter services to one another, because of rampant segregation and discrimination. Businesses included boarding houses, grocery stores, clothing stores and beauty parlors, among others.

The Black residents of Vinegar Hill had diverse socioeconomic backgrounds, a mix of wealthy, middle class and poor. The neighborhood was also known for its social cohesion, due in part to the Jefferson School, which served Black children of all economic backgrounds, unifying church communities such as the Zion Union Baptist Church; and active social organizations, such as the Secret Twelve Club.

The epicenter of Vinegar Hill was razed in the 1960s as part of the city’s urban renewal efforts. 12 businesses were disrupted representing a combined gross income of $1.05M in 1959 (nearly $14M in 2019 dollars). Six hundred individuals were operated, including 129 Black renters who were directed to a public housing complex. Residents and proprietors were not compensated for their losses, and the main commercial district for the Black community was effectively destroyed, along with the wealth that business owners had accumulated. In its place, the city constructed a roadway to facilitate connections between Ridge Street and Route 250, absorbed the area east of Ridge McIntire Road into downtown (the current site of the Omni Hotel and the Federal Building and U.S. Courthouse) and left a barren lot adjacent to Starr Hill that lay empty for a decade before being developed.

Starr Hill itself was slated for urban renewal in the 1970s, but the city opted for a more targeted approach. Instead of total demolition, the city only rezoned select dilapidated buildings and added sidewalks, lighting, and trees to West Main Street. Property was not confiscaited or razed, and the renovations were mostly cosmetic.120

**CURRENT NEIGHBORHOOD CONDITIONS**

**Key Takeaways:**
- Starr Hill has had a relatively stable mix of land uses for some time, but substantial development on West Main may significantly change the neighborhood character.
- Starr Hill residents tend to be seniors or younger adults, with few children, mostly with incomes levels matching those for the city as a whole.
- The neighborhoods around and including Starr Hill (“Starr Hill”) are predominantly Black, with more families with children, lower incomes, and higher poverty rates.
- Slim and income growth gaps by race exist across the region and within the city.

**Starr Hill Today**

Approximately 275 residents today call Starr Hill home. Within the already small footprint of the overall neighborhood, residential uses are concentrated in a compact area, as the composition of the neighborhood skewed heavily to commercial uses. Almost 2/3 of the land area is zoned for mixed use, which in practice is nearly all commercial activity—ground floor, local retail and restaurants along West Main; chain retail and fast food franchises on Ridge McIntire; and an assortment of businesses at Preston and Harris, including Reids, the neighborhood’s sole supermarket. The land toward the interior of Starr Hill is split between the City Yard, the main location for Charlottesville Public Works; the Jefferson School City Center, and a handful of residential blocks largely comprised of single-family housing.

While most of the neighborhood is relatively stable, with long-established land uses, current development on West Main is a scale and style that is likely to impact the neighborhood character and population. Six Hundred West Main, a 150-unit, 6,000 square foot apartment complex, is due to open in fall of 2020—by the project’s developer has purchased adjacent land and proposed an additional 60-unit building.121 Across Main Street, the 80-room Quirk Hotel is under construction and set to open in early 2020.

**Looking Beyond Starr Hill**

As discussed above, several aspects of the SAP process and the emerging vision for Starr Hill have required a broader geographic and demographic assessment. The community engagement phase determined from the outset that the neighborhoods of 127 and Page and Vinegar would be explicitly included in the SAP’s primary outreach work to the communities (though not at the exclusion of other areas and stakeholders). If the analysis structure can be thought of roughly as a set of concentric circles, then Starr Hill is at the center of that circle, and the next circle expanding outward frisk 127 and Page and Vinegar into an area simply labeled “Starr Hill” for the sake of this analysis. Finally, the broadest circle of analysis is all Black residents and businesses across the greater Charlottesville region, regardless of their location. This is not technically a geography, but rather a subset of people and companies that live across the area, with concentrations appearing in certain places (see reference map in Figure 14).
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Estimates suggest that Starr Hill’s population, though small, has risen notably in recent years, growing from 171 to 235 from 2010 to 2019, a 37% increase. The Starr Hill area, with a current population of 5,455, has grown at a slower rate than Starr Hill alone, and at a pace more like the city and MSA (See Figure 14).

Demographics

Starr Hill’s population has a noticeably different age distribution from other parts of the area, starting with a large contingent of seniors, with 20% of residents over 65. The neighborhood also contains a substantial proportion of young and middle-aged adults (those aged 25 to 44), who comprise nearly half of residents. Very few of those households appear to have children, with only 6% of the population under 15. Starr Hill, in contrast, does have a strong presence of families, with almost a quarter of the population aged 0 to 14, corresponding to proportionally more residents of parenting age (25 to 44) than the city and region. (See Figure 16).

Economic Status and Trends

Starr Hill’s median income is just barely below that of the city overall, both hovering around $50,000. In contrast, median household income in Starr Hill is just below $38,000, 25% less than the city and Starr Hill figures. All three of those geographies are substantially behind the overall MSA median income of nearly $64,000. A greater share of households in Starr Hill and Starr Hill+—roughly a quarter of households in each geography—were below the poverty line between 2013 and 2017, compared to 20% for the city and 12% for the MSA overall.

Economic Activities

Key Takeaways:

- There is limited business activity within Starr Hill that aligns with the regional priority industries/clusters.
- Minority firm ownership tends to be in more locally serving industries, potentially due in part to lower barriers of entry.
- Starr Hill’s location within Charlottesville makes its land extremely valuable, which makes it challenging to afford the property purchases that will allow for new, inclusive development.
Starr Hill, Starr Hill+ and Black Charlottesville at-large represent a unique collection of assets—companies and workers in particular—as well as the real estate in these communities. Unlocking their full value, which in turn will generate wealth and raise incomes, requires identifying the most productive linkages between these assets and their strength to the region’s leading economic opportunities.

**Regional Cluster Connections and General Business Activity**

As detailed in the regional analysis, prior studies have identified the region’s primary “industry sectors”: 1) Biomedical and Biotechnology; 2) Financial and Business Services; 3) Food and Beverage Manufacturing; 4) Information Technology/Communications; and 5) Light Manufacturing. In addition to these sectors of focus, the region’s economy is dominated by education, government and health services, in particular due to the dominant position of the University of Virginia.

Starr Hill’s local business activity consists largely of government agencies and food services, each comprising a third of employment in the neighborhoods. The government presence is concentrated in the facility at the City Yard, home to facilities for the Department of Public Works, the City Warehouse and the Department of Utilities.

West Main Street’s storefronts are largely filled with locally owned dining establishments, while Ridge McIntire is home to chain restaurants in Wendy’s and McDonald’s. Marsilland retail and business services make up much of the rest of local jobs.

There is no substantial presence of companies in the neighborhood that align with the region’s priority growth sectors/baskets, with only a handful of companies in the tech or biotech fields:

- Elder Research, a data science consultancy
- Hemo Sonic, producer of hemostasis analyzers (machines to assess patients’ blood coagulation levels in operating situations)

The primary land asset for Starr Hill is the City Yard, in an area of city-owned land in the middle of the neighborhood. A mixture of offices, warehouses and equipment and vehicle parking, the parcel is considerably under-utilized relative to other development options. The city previously explored the movement of operations to lease prime real estate, but that effort stalled in the mid-2010s. The SAP concept process presents another moment to consider alternative, higher value uses for the property that drive community benefits and are aligned with Starr Hill’s vision. The second area of Starr Hill most attractive to redevelopment is the commercial strip between 24th Street and Ridge McIntire. The chain retail on this block is out of character with the more niche, experience-oriented local businesses that characterize the rest of the Downtown area. It is in three kinds of establishments that have made the area’s retail performance resilient over the last several years, even with the rise of online shopping and the expansion of retail offerings in Albemarle County.

A major obstacle to obtaining and redeveloping properties in this area is the generally high cost of property in Charlottesville. The city’s commercial and retail vacancy rates are considerably low, especially near downtown, and though substantial new office supply is slated to come on-line in the coming years, trends indicate it will be quickly absorbed by demand. Charlottesville is experiencing the same growth in demand for walkable, mixed-use downtown areas as other cities, as companies are increasingly opening or relocating operations near urban cores. Though City Yard is city-owned, redevelopment scenarios must still take into account the considerable cost of relocating current facilities and services. Though not actively for sale, indications are that the Staples building on Ridge McIntire and its parking lot might cost upwards of $6 million.

These factors affect redevelopment scenarios for Starr Hill’s neighbors as well. While there is underutilized commercial space on Cherry Avenue, just south of Main Street, that could present intriguing development options, local real estate experts anticipate that these properties will also not sell easily or cheaply. Owners are generally content to hold properties near downtown, waiting for development to continue its spread from the downtown core, in time presenting more lucrative deals.

The degree of financial resources required to purchase and develop these properties presents risks that neighborhood development in the area will not occur inclusively and without displacement. The region’s wealth is overwhelmingly concentrated with white residents, making it difficult for neighborhoods to marshal the resources needed to purchase and develop properties. As Fifeville goes through its own SAP process, it is grappling with the potential for development pressures to move in their direction, with potential to price-out current community members. There is a major need to find means and models for neighborhood residents, especially minorities, to own and drive the development in their communities.

**WORKFORCE**

**Key Takeaways:**

- Starr Hill is considerably well-educated, while Starr Hill+’s residents have lower levels of educational attainment.
- Disparities in occupational categories that have been observed at a regional level are even more stark in Starr Hill+, with white residents in generally more high-skill positions and Black residents skewed much more toward low- and middle-skill jobs.
- Starr Hill and Starr Hill+ are optimally located for those who work downtown or on UVA Grounds, though many residents work along Route 29, with limited transit access.

The residents of Starr Hill, Starr Hill+ and the broader Black community, hold a wealth of skills and knowledge to be deployed in the marketplace. Several questions uncover the degree to which this human capital is being used to its fullest. What are the strongest skills and expertise of this workforce now? What are the leading opportunities to provide supplemental education and training to capture high growth job opportunities? How well is the existing workforce system connecting these people to the best jobs, training offerings, educational programs, etc.

---

125 HR&A Advisors.

---

Education
Levels of educational attainment are substantially higher in Starr Hill than in Starr Hill+, the city or MSA. Approximately 95% of Starr Hill residents have an associate degree or higher, compared to 37% of Starr Hill+ residents and a little over 10% of city and MSA residents. The situation is reversed for Starr Hill+, as nearly half the Starr Hill+ population has a high school diploma/GED or less, well above city and regional averages (see Figure 18).

Figure 19: Occupational Category by Race, Starr Hill+ (2017)

Complementing the ways in which Starr Hill and surrounding neighborhoods connect their assets to the broader economy—how they serve as “Communities of Opportunity”—are the roles they play as a place for residents to live, and the packages of amenities that they offer—serving as “Communities of Choice.” Both of these roles are essential, and they reinforce each other. When a neighborhood is connecting residents and businesses to the economy well, it is bringing in greater wealth and supporting stronger ownership, which in turn will lead toward higher quality assets that make the neighborhood more compelling as a place to live.

Neighborhood Types and Trends
Even within Charlottesville fairly small footprint, there are distinct patterns and variations in the types of neighborhoods present, the ways they’ve changed over time, and the patterns that those changes have taken. To supplement the rich on-the-ground expertise of the project team, two data analytics have been employed here to further detail changes in Starr Hill and surrounding neighborhoods.

The DNT Neighborhood Typology (“DNT”) provides a cohesive framework that allows for a structured shorthand for understanding diverse geographies. DNT analyzes 45 variables that describe an area’s people, businesses and land through a hierarchical clustering methodology. These variables have been broken up into one of 9 neighborhood types (see Figure 20) and 33 sub-types. Each neighborhood type has a distinct identity—distinguishable for example—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type 1: Urban Tapestry</td>
<td>Mostly residential, limited business presence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2: Transient Underdeveloped</td>
<td>Mostly transient, middle-class business presence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 3: Stable Low Income</td>
<td>Mostly residential, limited business presence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 4: Port of Entry</td>
<td>Mostly transient, middle-class business presence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 5: Urban Entry</td>
<td>Mostly residential, limited business presence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 6: Cool and Commercial</td>
<td>Mostly residential, limited business presence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 7: No Place Like Home</td>
<td>Mostly residential, limited business presence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 8: Close, Cool and Commercial</td>
<td>Mostly residential, limited business presence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 9: Fortune 100</td>
<td>Mostly residential, limited business presence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As discussed above in the regional analysis, Black residents in Charlottesville are underrepresented in higher-skill occupations, with greater proportions of the populations in middle- and lower-skill postions. This is true for the Starr Hill+ area, and the disparities are even more stark (see Figure 19). White residents in this area are nearly six times as likely to be in management, business, and science occupations (generally higher-skilled), while Black residents are approximately two-and-a-half times as likely to hold middle- and lower-skill jobs (with the exception of construction and maintenance jobs, a small portion of residents’ jobs overall).

Figure 20: Summary of Main DNT Types

As a quarter of Starr Hill+ residents work in the Census tracts that include downtown, West Main Street or the UVA and UVA Health grounds. At the same time, another fifth of Starr Hill+ residents work in tracts that consist almost entirely of the retail and services on Route 29. Transit access to this area is much more limited, presenting commuting challenges for the 25% of Starr Hill+ residents who do not own a car (double the rate for the city overall).
The pattern of DNT types in Charlottesville begins to reveal a more nuanced picture of its communities and how they have changed in recent years (see Figures 21 and 22 on the following pages). Over the seven-year period analyzed (2010 to 2017), the dominant type for the city’s neighborhoods was “No Place Like Home.” This reflects Charlottesville’s predominant character as a middle-income community with wide swathes of residential areas with little to no embedded retail or industrial uses. There are subtle shades of variation within the overall type, as sub-types reveal areas that appear to be on the higher end of the income spectrum close to the north end of downtown, with slightly more working-class areas to the southwest and northeast sides of the city. There are also areas that reflect the student population that lives off-campus around UVA. This is the likely cause of several of the “Urban Tapestry” block groups that appear on the west end of town, especially those to the south of Grounds on Jefferson Avenue.

Starr Hill’s type is impossible to parse out from the larger block group it sits within, which is identified as the “Downtown” DNT type—not a surprising result given this geography encompasses the Downtown Mall. More information is available for Starr Hill+ and the areas around it (see Figure 23). Types within Starr Hill+ have largely fluctuated among various bedroom community types, likely indicating changes in residents’ income while maintaining a largely residential character. This appears to be the case with 10th and Page, moving from Stable Low Income to No Place Like Home suggests an increase in incomes while maintaining its residential composition. In Fifeville, the main transition appears along its eastern edge between 7th St. and 9th St. The move to Transient Underdeveloped indicates a drop in incomes and a more mobile, newer population. There is evidence of increased distress on the periphery of Starr Hill+, with several other Transient Underdeveloped types emerging in 2017 in Rose Hill, Ridge Street and the eastern portion of Venable. The changes there from Stable Low Income and Urban Tapestry neighborhoods also suggests lower incomes and less secure residents.
"Neighborhood Choice Areas"

Using DNT requires being bound by specific geographic lines for the sake of data collection and analysis, but neighborhoods in reality do not operate with such hard, clear borders. Their boundaries are fluid, with their character and composition flowing from block to block and melding into one another. Examining how neighborhoods may be structured in more organic patterns requires a more granular level of analysis, ideally getting down to a house-by-house assessment.

Achieving this depth of analysis is possible through a sophisticated repeat sales index (RSI). This methodology utilizes housing sales data to calculate trends in overall demand for a neighborhood, while controlling for the value of the housing stock itself. For instance, if the same house—with no major modifications or upgrades made—sold for $200,000 in 2000 and $400,000 in 2019 (controlling for inflation), then one can surmise that the value placed on the house's neighborhood has doubled. Advanced RSI methodologies show how these patterns of change in neighborhood value are reflected in each individual house, and then they group areas of common trends. These groupings identify areas with similar trends in how residents have valued them, suggesting neighborhood definitions that span traditional boundaries. Observing these patterns can facilitate more informed targeting of relevant interventions, showing where adjacent areas might be natural allies and can combine efforts to tap common opportunities.

Applying this RSI methodology is a mixture of art and science. In addition to looking at areas with similar trends in how their ascribed value has changed, a neighborhood’s starting point must also be taken into consideration. A neighborhood that tripled in value but started with a median home price of $100k is quite different from one with a 25% increase in the value buyers placed on it that began with a median home price of $500k.

All that said, the application of the RSI to Charlottesville does seem at first glance to suggest areas of similarity that cross neighborhood lines. For the purposes of this analysis, the RSI patterns suggest that Starr Hill and the surrounding neighborhoods do share a relatively common pattern of demand from homebuyers. This is captured in the Neighborhood Choice Area labeled “Central,” which along with Starr Hill includes Ridge Street and the western edge of Belmont. With the exception of Rose Hill, this area captures the Census block groups with the highest proportions of Black residents.

The Central area’s change in RSI over the last 20 years shows that its increase in value is the highest of the five preliminary Neighborhood Choice Areas designed here. RSI change suggests that since 2000, the value of this area itself has nearly tripled in the eyes of consumers (see Figure 25). In addition to the two decade RSI patterns and change, recent RSI changes and home values themselves provide further color to the area’s characteristics. The Central area has the lowest median home prices of the five Neighborhood Choice Areas, though it does not trail the other areas on Charlottesville’s south side by much. Its average change over the last three years is also the highest in the city (see Figure 26).

So, while home values in this part of Charlottesville still lag the rest of the city slightly, they are catching up fast, suggesting an accelerated perception of opportunity in the neighborhood by prospective buyers.

PRELIMINARY STRATEGIES AND INITIATIVES

Ultimately, the point of this analysis must be to point to the strategies, programs, products and services that will better develop and connect the assets of Starr Hill and Black Charlottesville to the leading opportunities in the region’s economy. There is a great deal of interest and potential in the entrepreneurship and business ownership of Black Charlottesville’s residents. Thus, three of the five primary strategies look to bolster the resources available to those businesses through facilities, financing and sector-based training on emerging industries. A fourth strategy focuses on providing the industry-specific skills training needed to fill roles in strong, growing portions of the regional economy. The fifth strategy revolves around deeper anchor institution engagement, crosses both the businesses and workforce development areas. As a cohesive whole, these strategies and the potential initiatives underneath them can build upon the many activities already underway and broaden the array of supports that can help Black Charlottesville find and seize more pathways back to the middle class.
Regardless of how Charlottesville does grow, it is clear that minorities, suffer from limited minority business ownership and employment. With a highly educated workforce in general, the gaps in other sectors are working to remedy this situation but do so with limited resources and a lack of deep participation by the private sector.

is no reason why these issues cannot be satisfactorily resolved. One avenue for affecting this change is through Starr Hill and the activities associated with the SAP. The SAP process has clarified the neighborhood’s

The strategies emerging from this work are:

• Deeper connection to, and programming with, tech sector incubation activities, aligning with the major investments in the area’s tech industry (e.g., CODE, UVA School of Data Science) to grow minority participation, particularly in entrepreneurship and firm starts.

• Deeper engagement and coordination with anchor institutions: Leverage the buying power and employment opportunities of the area’s largest anchors—particularly UVA + UVA Health—to expand opportunities for small business growth and career advancement. Potential initiatives include:

• Exploration of career pathway opportunities between large contractors and anchor: strengthening and opening up new connections between national contractors (e.g., Anamark) and the anchors they serve, building additional career pathways for individuals working (but not necessarily for) the region’s largest organizations.

• Alignment of anchor procurement purchasing processes: providing a single conduit through which local businesses can identify procurement opportunities that may be spread across multiple organizational divisions, streamlining the process of identifying and bidding on potential contracts.

• Capacity building programming for small businesses targeted to anchor procurement opportunities: identifying the areas in which small businesses are most likely to find traction with anchors and growing their ability to compete for contracts, in turn expanding their potential to sell products and services to additional local and national customers.

• Scale and expand industry-focused workforce development collaboration and programs: Create opportunities for sector- and industry-targeted workforce development collaborations, offering a variety of skill-development and educational programs to the community. Potential initiatives include:

• Entrepreneurship training and support for minorities in the trades, building a pipeline of minority-owned construction and skilled trades companies that grow from the existing diverse workforce in this area.

• More programming targeted to disconnected populations (e.g., training for currently incarcerated individuals).

• Engagement of tech sector in industry-led workforce development efforts which can create a stronger pipeline of talent into tech jobs, including traditional disconnected minority populations.

While these strategies aspire to truly move the needle on incomes, wealth and ownership, they are but necessary first steps. Ideally, they would be re-evaluated over time to assess how well they still reflect economic conditions, and to confirm whether implementing initiatives are generating the intended impacts. These strategies are also designed to be wholly complementary to the strategies and initiatives flowing into the SAP from other lines of inquiry.

CONCLUSIONS

In many ways and on many measures, Charlottesville is a thriving place. Its population and its economy are growing by leaps and bounds. Its quality of life is well known, and well regarded, attracting many new residents who are interested in the environment and way of life that it provides. With a wide array of cultural and recreational options, Charlottesville has a lot to offer to those who can afford to move there and take advantage of its amenities.

Of course, the complete picture is more complicated. There are wide disparities by race and ethnicity in who is benefiting from this growth and who is able to truly enjoy all that Charlottesville has to offer. In some ways, Charlottesville is struggling to accommodate the attention it is receiving and the growth that is taking place. Limited space for new development and the ever-increasing demand for land close to downtown is pushing property prices through the roof, with spillover effects onto neighborhoods that have traditionally been cut out of the benefits of city’s growth. The long-term prospects for the region’s overall growth are somewhat murky—with an economy dominated by a university anchor and largely supplemented with other non-traded activities, the regional economy is still weak in the traded sectors that have the greatest potential to generate economic opportunities for businesses and workers. Companies, just like residents, struggle with the high costs of space and the limitations on growth.

Regardless of how Charlottesville does grow, it is clear that minorities, especially Charlottesville’s Black community, are still working to be included in owning and driving that growth. The high-growth sectors that show some promise as nascent traded clusters—particularly biotech and IT—suffer from limited minority business ownership and employment. With a highly educated workforce in general, the gaps in educational credentials that Black residents are coping with have an even greater impact on job prospects. Several different organizations and government agencies are working to remedy this situation but do so with limited resources and a lack of deep participation by the private sector.

With all the assets and resources at Charlottesville’s disposal, there is no reason why these issues cannot be satisfactorily resolved. New avenues for addressing this change in through Starr Hill and the activities associated with the SAP. The SAP process has helped to clarify the neighborhood’s vision, particularly in relation to the major asset of City Yard. New development on such a strategically located parcel has the potential to be transformative on a variety of levels—for Starr Hill, for its neighbors, for Black Charlottesville, and for the region overall. All of Charlottesville has a stake in the success of this plan, this neighborhood and the Black community. As they unlock their full potential, the resulting growth—economically and culturally—will make Charlottesville a more dynamic city.
The Resource Assessment Report outlines the institutional, individual and financial resources that can be leveraged to support the implementation of the strategies identified as part of the community engagement and research activities of the Starr Hill Small Area Plan process. This report is divided into several sections:

- The Strategies & Partners sections provide an overview of partners and champions that can support in driving initiatives forward;
- The Financing and Resourcing Toolbox outlines the types of funding and financing opportunities that can be leveraged to implement the initiatives outlined in the Small Area Plan;
- The City Yard Deep Dive discusses in further detail the resourcing and programming considerations for the proposed development on this property;
- The Community Benefits & Return on Investment section provides recommendations and preliminary impact projections that can be derived from the implementation of the proposed initiatives.
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OPPORTUNITY 1 – STRATEGIES & PARTNERS

**Economic and Entrepreneurial**

**ORGANIZATIONS ENGAGED:** City & County Economic Development / Workforce Development et al., Chamber Diversity Council, Black Professional Network and trade business owners, Jefferson School Foundation, Community Investment Collaboration, University of Virginia, Piedmont Virginia Community College, Network/Work, Charlottesville-Albemarle Technical Education Center, iLab/Catalyst, WeCodeToo, Local Initiatives Support Corporation, Operation HOPE, LCCUS Impact Investing/VCC, Fountain Fund, Charlottesville Business Innovation Council, Charlottesville-Albemarle Technical Education Center, Charlottesville Economic Development Authority Central Virginia Partnership for Economic Development, Virginia Economic Development Partnership, Charlottesville Community Area Foundation, Center of Development Entrepreneurs, i3i Black Men, i3i Black Women, area construction entities and trade organizations such as Habitat for Humanity, Piedmont Housing Alliance, Blue Ridge Home Builders Association, Lynx Ventures and area developers.

**Strategy I – CREATE A VISIBLE HUB FOR BLACK BUSINESSES:** Enable the growth and development of Black small businesses by creating a physical hub for those companies and supporting greater activity by existing Black business networks. Potential initiatives include:

- **A Small Business Incubation Center and/or Accelerator Program** with some combination of flexible co-working space, along with business support services and programming that is targeted to local entrepreneurs.
- **A Strengthened Business Equity Fund:** expanded in size and scope through new funding sources, such as foundation grants or P3s. CRA financing from area banks; tax increments generated by Starr Hill development, etc.
- **Champion:** Community Investment Collaborative and New Hill Development Corporation

**Strategy II – FILL SMALL BUSINESS FINANCING GAPS:** Expand the menu of financial products—both debt and equity—to bridge the divide between existing microlenders and traditional bank products, facilitating greater small business growth. Potential initiatives include:

- **A visible Community Development Financial Institution (CDFI)** to provide entrepreneurs and others with access to financial capital.
- **Champion:** LISC in partnership with New Hill Development Corporation

**Strategy III – SUPPORT FIRM START-UPS AND GROWTH FOR BLACK ENTREPRENEURS IN TARGETED INDUSTRIES:** Grow black entrepreneurship in the industries that are strongest within the region, expanding opportunities for wealth creation beyond locally serving goods and services. Potential initiative include:

- **Entrepreneurship training and support for minority in the trades,** building a pipeline of Black-owned construction and skilled trades companies that grow from the existing, diverse workforce in this area.
- **Champion:** Heritage United Builders and Network/Work

**Strategy IV – DEEPEN ENGAGEMENT AND COORDINATION WITH ANCHOR INSTITUTIONS:** Leverage the buying power and employment opportunities of the area’s largest anchors—in particular UVA, UVA Health, Sentara, and City/County governments—to expand opportunities for small business growth and career advancement. Potential initiatives include:

- **Exploration of career pathway opportunities between large contractors and anchors,** strengthening and opening up new connections between national contractors (e.g., Aramark) and the anchors they serve, building additional career pathways for individuals working with (but not necessarily for) the region’s largest organizations.
- **Champion:** UVA Office of Economic Development

- **Potential Collaborators:** UVA Health System, Aramark, UVA Facilities Management, Sentara Martha Jefferson

- **Alignment of anchor procurement purchasing processes**, providing a single credit through which local businesses can identify procurement opportunities that may be spread across multiple organizational divisions, streamlining the process of identifying and bidding on potential contracts.
- **Champion:** New Hill Development Corporation

- **Potential Collaborators:** UVA / Procurement, Economic Development, Finance and Health; City & County Economic Development / Workforce Development.

- **Capacity building programming for small business targeted to anchor procurement opportunities,** identifying the areas in which small businesses are most likely to find traction with anchors and growing their ability to compete for contracts, in turn expanding their potential to sell products and services to additional local and national customers.
- **Champion:** New Hill Development Corporation

- **Potential Collaborators:** UVA / Procurement, Economic Development, Finance and Health; City & County Economic Development / Workforce Development.

**Strategy V – SCALE AND EXPAND INDUSTRY-FOCUSED WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT COLLABORATION AND PROGRAMS:** Create opportunities for sector- and industry-targeted workforce development collaborations, offering a variety of skill-development and educational programs to the community. Potential initiatives include:
• Expanded training programming for construction and skilled trade positions, responding to industry demand and an aging workforce through larger program and class sizes, and more programming targeted to disconnected populations (e.g., training for currently incarcerated individuals).

  – Champion: New Hill Development Corporation
  – Potential Collaborators: CATEC, Blue Ridge Home Builders Association, Piedmont Virginia Community College

• Engagement of growth sectors in industry-led workforce consortium, applying an established best practice for private sector engagement in sector-specific workforce development efforts, creating a stronger pipeline of talent into tech and bio-tech jobs, including from traditionally disconnected Black populations.

  – Champion: New Hill Development Corporation

OPPORTUNITY 2 – STRATEGIES & PARTNERS

Housing and Connectivity

ORGANIZATIONS ENGAGED: Residents of Starr Hill, 10th & Page and other neighboring residential communities; Starr Hill area churches and small merchants; Jefferson School Foundation and Jefferson School African-American Heritage Center; Commerce Street facing businesses and residents; Piedmont Environmental Council; City & County Economic Development, Neighborhood Development Services, Public Works, Police and Fire, Parks & Rec and Safe Routes to Schools; Chamber Diversity Council; Black Professional Network and trade business owners; Community Investment Collaborative, University of Virginia, Charlottesville Business Innovation Council, Charlottesville Economic Development Authority, Central Virginia Partnership for Economic Development, Virginia Economic Development Partnership, Piedmont Virginia Community College, Jefferson School Foundation, area construction entities and trade organizations such as Habitat for Humanity, Piedmont Housing Alliance, Blue Ridge Home Builders Association, and other private sector developer-builders.

Strategy I – SUSTAIN AND GROW STARR HILLS RESIDENTIAL CORE: Establish affordable and market rate housing options, and home ownership protections, within the existing residential neighborhood and on newly developed tracts in Starr Hill. Potential initiatives include:

  • The construction of new, affordable, single family homes, strengthening the border of the existing Starr Hill residential community along Brown Street.

    – Champion: New Hill Development Corporation and Partner Developer
    – Potential Collaborators: CELSE, Building Goodness Foundation, Habitat for Humanity, Piedmont Housing Alliance.
  
  • Support the Anti-Displacement Tax Fund Program, and other strategies to support and encourage home ownership, maintaining the affordability of property ownership in Starr Hill and the broader community.

    – Champion: New Hill Development Corporation
    – Potential Collaborators: VHRDA, Habitat for Humanity, Charlottesville Economic Development Department, Neighborhood Services, City Planning Commission.

  • New mixed commercial and residential development, that will create a higher density mixed-use and typology live/ work development with affordable and flexible housing and business space, including opportunities for community commercial, service and entertainment businesses.

    – Champion: New Hill Development Corporation
    – Potential Collaborators: Habitat for Humanity, Piedmont Housing Alliance, Community Investment Collaborative, Main Street Merchant Association, Chamber of Commerce, Chamber Diversity Council.

Strategy II – INCREASE PHYSICAL CONNECTIVITY BETWEEN STARR HILL AND ADJACENT NEIGHBORHOODS: Develop clear, visible and safe pathways that strengthen connectivity between Starr Hill and adjacent residential and commercial areas, and that serve the needs of area residents. Potential initiatives include:

  • Support the existing Rail-to-Trail conversion, specifically plans to create a green pedestrian thoroughway along the rail spur adjacent to City Yard and spanning across Preston Avenue.

    – Champion: New Hill Development Corporation in partnership with area residents
    – Potential Collaborators: Residents of Starr Hill, 10th & Page and other neighboring residential communities; Piedmont Environmental Council; and City Departments of Economic Development, Neighborhood Development Services, Public Works, Police and Fire, Parks & Rec and Safe Routes to Schools.
  
  • Reopen the tunnel connection between Starr Hill and 10th & Page neighborhoods; strengthening the connectivity between the two neighborhoods with safe, pedestrian friendly access beneath the active rail line.

    – Champion: New Hill Development Corporation in partnership with area residents
    – Potential Collaborators: Residents of Starr Hill, 10th & Page and other neighboring residential communities; Jefferson School Foundation and Jefferson School African-American Heritage Center; Commerce Street facing businesses and residents; Piedmont Environmental Council.

  • Calh 4th Street between West Main Street and Preston Avenue; promoting increased pedestrian access and safety across and along the corridor.

    – Champion: Jefferson School Foundation in partnership with area residents
    – Potential Collaborators: General Public; Residents of Starr Hill, 10th & Page and other neighboring residential communities; Starr Hill area churches and small merchants; Jefferson School Foundation and Jefferson School African-American Heritage Center, 4th Street facing businesses and residents.

  • Transform Commerce Street between 4th and 6th Streets: Re-establishing the vital connection between Starr Hill residential neighborhood; the Jefferson School and West Main Street.

    – Champion: Jefferson School Foundation
    – Potential Collaborators: Jefferson School African-American Heritage Center and Residents of Starr Hill

  • Identify a location—such as the Amtrak lot on West Main Street—for a City-owned parking deck, relieving pressure on Starr Hill’s residential community, and ensuring that adequate parking is mandated for new developments within Starr Hill.

    – Champion: New Hill Development Corporation in partnership with Starr Hill residents and churches

OPPORTUNITY 3 – STRATEGIES & PARTNERS

Placemaking, Culture and Legacy

ORGANIZATIONS ENGAGED: City Departments of Economic Development, Neighborhood Development Services, Police and Fire, Parks & Rec; Caravese Recreation Center; Jefferson School African-American Heritage Center; Piedmont Environmental Council; Food and Garden Networks and Organizations; Community Gardens; Jefferson School Foundation; Residents of Starr Hill, 10th & Page and other neighboring residential communities; Starr Hill area churches, merchants and businesses; Chamber Diversity Council; Black Professional Network and trade business owners and artists; Center of Development Entrepreneurs; community service and non-profit organizations; area culture entities and artists of arts and humanities; youth-focused care and service organizations; nature, health and wellness organizations;
Strategy I – Amplify Jefferson School as a Center for African-American Public Life: Work with the leadership of Jefferson School to grow its tenant community and continue to transform the historic building and surrounding property as an actively programmed, public space for the community of all ages. Potential initiatives include:

- Strategically populate the indoor and outdoor spaces of the Jefferson School with businesses, services and products that serve the African-American community on a wider spectrum including education, economic, and social venues.
  - Potential Collaborators: Black Professional Network trade businesses and artists; Residents of Starr Hill, 10th & Page and neighboring residential communities.

- Launch a monthly Food Truck Court, a gathering on the Jefferson School parking circle of food trucks and restaurant vendors with entertainment.
  - Potential Collaborators: Food Truck and Restaurant Vendors; Black Professional Network trade businesses and artists; Residents of Starr Hill, 10th & Page and neighboring residential communities.

- Host a monthly makers/vendor market along 4th Street between Commerce Street and the Jefferson School driveway featuring products and services from Black artists, artisans and community organizations.
  - Potential Collaborators: Vendor Market businesses; Black Professional Network trade businesses and artists; Residents of Starr Hill, 10th & Page and neighboring residential communities.

- Transform surfaces on buildings and parking docks with green wall and rooftop garden opportunities.
  - Champions: Jefferson School Foundation
  - Potential Collaborators: Community Gardens; Residents of Starr Hill, 10th & Page and other neighboring residential communities; Piedmont Valley Environmental.

- Establish outdoor performance spaces or an amphitheater for a mix of community based and impromptu events and engagements.
  - Potential Collaborators: Black Professional Network trade businesses and artists; Residents of Starr Hill, 10th & Page and neighboring residential communities.

- Identify new opportunities to connect green spaces throughout the neighborhood (e.g., the park, the Rail-to-Trail pathway), and enhance spaces between buildings with art, plantings and benches.
  - Champions: New Hill Development Corporation in partnership with Starr Hill residents

- Reimagine Starr Hill Park with new landscaping to include plantings, benches, a playground and community garden plots.
  - Champions: New Hill Development Corporation in partnership with Starr Hill residents
  - Potential Collaborators: Residents of 10th & Page and other neighboring residential communities; Starr Hill area churches.

- Create pocket parks and other free, public spaces throughout Starr Hill to pause and invite community engagement, reflection and interaction.
  - Champions: New Hill Development Corporation
  - Potential Collaborators: Residents of Starr Hill, 10th & Page and other neighboring residential communities; Starr Hill area churches, merchants and businesses.

- Enhance streetscapes and building facades with murals and other art installations, both permanent and temporary or seasonal.

- Utilize the inside of Jefferson School as a canvas to tell the story of the building and the broader Black Experience, and to promote and introduce the community to more Black artists.

Strategy II – Strengthen Starr Hill Park and Other Public Spaces:

- Enhance Starr Hill Park with new landscaping and buffers, and community programming. Create new, flexible public spaces throughout the broader Starr Hill neighborhood to emphasize community culture and a sense of belonging for all ages. Potential initiatives include:
  - Champions: New Hill Development Corporation
  - Potential Collaborators: Starr Hill Churches; Residents of 10th & Page and other neighboring residential communities; Starr Hill area churches, merchants and businesses; Jefferson School Foundation and JS African-American Heritage Center.

- Create and install a neighborhood map in the park, and potentially other areas of the neighborhood, showing relationships and connections to other neighborhood green features and amenities.
  - Champions: New Hill Development Corporation in partnership with Starr Hill residents

- Host a monthly makers/artist vendors market along 4th Street between Commerce Street and the Jefferson School driveway featuring products and services from Black artists, artisans and community organizations.
  - Potential Collaborators: Vendor Market businesses; Black Professional Network trade businesses and artists; Residents of Starr Hill, 10th & Page and other neighboring residential communities.

- Enhancing streetscapes and building facades with murals and other art installations, both permanent and temporary or seasonal.

Strategy III – Placemaking and Legacy Art: Identify multiple spaces throughout the Starr Hill community (indoor and outdoor) for the installation of more art and placemaking artifacts that tell the stories of Charlottesville’s Black community in a variety of forms for all ages. Potential initiatives include:

- Identifying areas throughout the community that are of cultural significance and establish art programs and installations in those spaces.
  - Potential Collaborators: New Hill Development Corporation; Black Professional Network of business and artists; Residents of Starr Hill, 10th & Page and other neighboring residential communities; Starr Hill area churches, merchants and businesses.

- Identify areas throughout Starr Hill that are of cultural significance.

- Strategically populate the indoor and outdoor spaces of the Jefferson School with businesses, services and products that serve the African-American community on a wider spectrum including education, economic, and social venues.
  - Potential Collaborators: Black Professional Network trade businesses and artists; Residents of Starr Hill, 10th & Page and neighboring residential communities.

- Establish outdoor performance spaces or an amphitheater for a mix of community based and impromptu events and engagements.
  - Potential Collaborators: Black Professional Network trade businesses and artists; Residents of Starr Hill, 10th & Page and neighboring residential communities.

- Identify new opportunities to connect green spaces throughout the neighborhood (e.g., the park, the Rail-to-Trail pathway), and enhance spaces between buildings with art, plantings and benches.
  - Champions: New Hill Development Corporation in partnership with Starr Hill residents

- Create and install a neighborhood map in the park, and potentially other areas of the neighborhood, showing relationships and connections to other neighborhood green features and amenities.
  - Champions: New Hill Development Corporation in partnership with Starr Hill residents
  - Potential Collaborators: Starr Hill Churches and Merchants; Residents of 10th & Page and other neighboring residential communities; Starr Hill area churches, merchants and businesses.

- Utilize the inside of Jefferson School as a canvas to tell the story of the building and the broader Black Experience, and to promote and introduce the community to more Black artists.

- Enhance streetscapes and building facades with murals and other art installations, both permanent and temporary or seasonal.
  - Potential Collaborators: New Hill Development Corporation; Black Professional Network of business and artists; Residents of Starr Hill, 10th & Page and other neighboring residential communities; Starr Hill area churches, merchants and businesses.
The toolbox below provides an overview of the funding sources, financing tools and incentive techniques that can be leveraged to implement the strategies outlined in the Small Area Plan. A combination of public and private sources will need to be considered to support the implementation of strategies.

I. PUBLIC FINANCING - FEDERAL

Community development & housing

- Low Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTC): An indirect Federal subsidy used to finance the development of affordable rental housing for low-income households. Investors receive a dollar-for-dollar credit against their Federal tax liability each year over a period of 10 years. The LIHTC program is administered by Virginia through the Virginia Housing Development Authority (VHDA). Of the 2019-2020 LIHTC Qualified Allocation Plan in Virginia, 15% was allocated to the Non-profit Pool, 15% to the New Construction Pool, and 15% was allocated to the Northern / North Central Virginia Area Pool. https://www.vhda.com/BusinessPartners/MFDevelopers/LIHTCProgram/

- Economic development

  - Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) program: CDBG provides communities with resources to address a wide range of community development needs, including affordable housing, workforce training, and job creation through the expansion and retention of businesses. FY 2019-2020 CDBG funding for the City of Charlottesville has been set aside for Economic Development Autumn. The CDBG program total has an estimated $94,024.42 for the 2019-2020 program year. https://https://www.hud.gov/program offices/comm_planning/communitydevelopment/programs

  - HOME Investment Partnership Program: Formula grants to States and localities that communities use in partnership with local nonprofit groups to fund a wide range of activities that build, buy, and/or rehabilitate affordable housing for rent or homeownership or provide direct rental assistance. The City’s HOME total for 2019-2020 consists of an estimated $75,963. In addition to $14,456.75 for the City’s 25% required match, and $48,379 in program income. The CDBG HOME Task Force recommended funding to programs that support homeowner rehabilitation for FY 2019-2020. https://https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/homefunding/programs/

  - EPA Brownfields Grants: The EPA provides a variety of grants to help address different steps in the brownfield development process: assessing and inventoring sites, loan capitalization to fund cleanup, environmental-related job training for residents affected by brownfield sites, regional research and planning for broad revitalization strategies and technical assistance. https://https://www.epa.gov/townships/types-brownfields-grant-funding

- Public Works

  - Provides funding for a broad range of infrastructure or facilities that will help implement the growth strategies of the region. Past supported projects include workforce training facilities, science and research parks, incubators and accelerators, and more. https://https://www.eda.gov/pdf/about/Public-Works-Program-1-Pager.pdf


- Small Business Administration (SBA) programs:

  - SBIR/STTR: Two related programs focused on stimulating greater innovation and commercialization of research into new products and processes. Funding can support initial R&D work, provided its commercial potential is sufficiently demonstrated, and further phases of funding can go toward commercialization actions. Awards go explicitly to for-profit firms, though STTR has a requirement that the awardee partner with a non-profit research institution. https://https://www.sbir.gov/about

  - SBA guaranteed loans: The SBA does not lend directly, but rather works with other lenders and facilitates their access to capital, leading to good rates for borrowers. These loans are meant for companies that have exhausted all other loan options, and that have basic business resources in place (business plan, financial projections, collateral, etc.). https://https://www.sba.gov/funding-programs/loans

- Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA): WIOA is designed to help job seekers access employment, education, training, and support services to succeed in the labor market and to match employers with workers. WIOA funds are administered by the Department of Labor through formula grants to states. These grants support career and training services, as well as education and literacy programs for adult job seekers. WIOA seeks to create alignment of workforce strategies and programs within each state, breaking down silos and coordinating services in compliance with United of Combined State Plans. This coordination can be cumbersome, requiring long-term state-level planning efforts and diligent work by local agencies to ensure program alignment with state systems, along with collaboration with other agencies, organizations, and employees across the workforce system. Locally, the WIOA allocations that applied to Charlottesville are managed by Virginia Career Works—Piedmont Region, and training services are provided by Goodwill Industries of the Valley. Current funding allocations are relatively small, leaving limited opportunities for expansion of services with these dollars. https://https://www.doleta.gov/Performance/Results/AnnualReports/44/Get/45/VI/9C/30/35/without/0.0.pdf

- Transportation Alternatives grants: The Transportation Alternatives program is intended to make biking and walking safer and more convenient by funding a wide range of projects that support transportation enhancements, including pedestrian and bicycle facilities, recreational trails, community improvement activities including vegetation management, and rail to trails. States administer funds through a grant program, in Virginia this funding is managed by VDOH through their Local Assistance Division. http://https://www.virginiadot.org/business/transportationgrants.aspx
II. PUBLIC FINANCING – STATE & REGIONAL

Housing

- Virginia Housing Development Authority (VHDA): VHDA provides loans for construction, acquisition/renovation, and refinancing of rental properties and mixed-use properties, including tax-exempt bond financing and taxable bond financing. VHDA financing can support mixed-income and mixed-use with mixed-income properties. VHDA’s Workforce Housing program, which provides financing for mixed-income and mixed-use developments, requires that a percentage of units must be reserved for residents whose annual income does not exceed certain limits. https://www.vhda.com/Programs/Pages/Programs.aspx

- Virginia Housing Trust Fund (HTF): The HTF was established in 2011 with an initial budget of $7 million. At least 60 percent of the money from the Fund is allocated to providing flexible financing for low-interest loans through eligible organizations. Loans are provided for: a) affordable rental housing, including new construction, rehabilitation, repair, or acquisition of housing to assist low or moderate income citizens, as well as land and land improvements; b) down payment and closing cost assistance for homeowners; and, c) short, medium and long term loans to reduce the cost of homeownership and rental housing. Funds for the production and preservation of affordable rental and homeowner housing are administered through the Affordable and Special Needs Housing (ASH) Program. https://www.vhda.virginia.gov/virginia-housing-trust-fund

Economic development

- Virginia Small Business Financing Authority (VSBFA): An entity backed by the State of Virginia, VSBFA offers financing to businesses, localities and Economic Development Authorities to support fixed asset purchases (e.g., land, buildings, and equipment). Many of VSBFA’s loans are intended to be used in conjunction with bank and other financing. VSBFA offers include loans designed to: a) fill gaps between bank loans and private equity; b) provide credit enhancement to banks that are lending to businesses and non-profits; and c) provide bond financing to benefit non-profit businesses. VSBFA’s LoanWOOD (Small, Women- and Minority-owned businesses) loan fund provides small dollar direct loans that do not require bank participation. https://www.sbsd.virginia.gov/virginia-small-business-financing-authority/

- Go Virginia Region & Grants: The Central Virginia Partnership for Economic Development now manages the funding and implementation of initiatives aligned with the Region’s Growth and Diversification Plan. Grant funding is available on a rolling basis for projects that focus on identified target industries (e.g., Financial and Business Services, Food and Beverage Manufacturing) and that support talent development; growing existing businesses; facilitating startups, innovation and commercialization of research; or targeted site development. https://www.centralvirginia.org/about-the-partnership/go-virginia

- Virginia Brownfields Restoration and Economic Redevelopment Assistance Fund (VRAF): VRAF provides grants or loans to local governments to promote restoration and redevelopment of brownfield sites and to address environmental problems or obstacles to reuse so that these sites can be effectively marketed to new economic development prospects. https://www.darsp.virginia.gov/brownfields

Arts & culture

- The Virginia Commission for the Arts: The Virginia Commission for the Arts builds and strengthens the state’s cultural infrastructure by supporting Virginia’s individual artists, arts enterprises, businesses and institutions. Project grants are provided to facilitate new and innovative projects or services that engage the community and that have the potential to advance cultural presence, awareness and connections. General operating support grants are provided to assist organizations of artistic merit in fulfilling their missions by providing funds to maintain their stability and encourage their advancement. http://www.vacva.org/grants.html

- Virginia Humanities: As the state humanities council, Virginia Humanities provides financial assistance to support individuals and communities in their efforts to understand the past, confront important issues in the present, and shape a promising future. The organization provides grants, typically up to $10,000, to nonprofit organizations seeking to develop public humanities programs for local audiences. https://www.virginiahumanities.org/grants

III. PUBLIC FINANCING – CHARLOTTESVILLE

- Charlottesville Affordable Housing Fund (CAHF): The primary purpose of the CAHF is to provide financial resources to address the affordable housing needs of individuals and families who live or work in the City by promoting, preserving and producing quality, long-term affordable housing options; providing housing related services to low-income and moderate-income households; and providing support for non-profit and for-profit organizations that actively address the affordable housing needs of low- and moderate-income households. CAHF funds can be used for development, predevelopment, construction (including affordable and mixed-income). The 2020 Capital Improvement Program appropriation to the fund is $800K, though the application process for 2020 funding awards has already closed. https://www.charlottesville.org/departments-and-services/finance-and-administration/capital-improvement-program

- Charlottesville Economic Development Authority (CEDA): The Charlottesville Economic Development Authority promotes economic development in the city, in pursuit of a broader tax base and more jobs. CEDA provides tax-exempt financing for manufacturers and charitable organizations by leveraging its lending authority. It also has the ability to develop private sector partnerships to acquire, own, lease and dispose of property; providing there is an economic development objective. Programs supported by the CEDA include Cville Match, a new program that provides matching grants up to $16,000 to advance commercialization efforts of local companies; and CEDA’s Economic Development/Economic Development Authority https://www.charlottesville.org/departments-and-services/economic-development/economic-development-authority

IV. FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS – BANKS & CDFIs

- Banks and CRA-motivated lending: Due to their availability, market-rate loans are widely used in affordable housing development projects and home purchase programs. This capital is utilized in conjunction with conventional financing, such as below-market-rate loans, deferred payment loans and grants. Community Reinvestment Act (CRA) requirements incentivize banks to provide capital with favorable terms to qualified affordable housing projects. CRA-motivated bank investments may include LIHTC investments, preferred equity and mezzanine financing, and direct lending, including construction and permanent loans. Rate on lending products are usually prime or LIBOR based and often carry a below-market-rate of interest.

- Community Development Financial Institutions (CDFIs): Not all banks want to, or have the ability to, provide direct commercial real estate project exposure as part of the CRA mandate. A bank may lend to CDFIs and other intermediaries, which are specialized financial institutions with a primary mission to promote community and economic development by providing financial products to communities underserved by traditional financial institutions. A CDFI, in turn, makes loans and investments in the bank’s identified geographic footprint. CDFIs may utilize a range of financing vehicles across asset classes, including direct loans, equity investments, loan guarantees, and receivables. Certified CDFIs that serve geographies in the Virginia market include Virginia Community Capital, Piedmont Housing Alliance, Capital Impact Partners and LISC.

V. PHILANTHROPY – FOUNDATION, PRIVATE SECTOR & INDIVIDUALS

National foundations & charities

Foundations distribute grants and make investments (PRIs, loan guarantees, recoverable grants, etc.) out of foundation endowments based on issue area priorities. A few select grant opportunities relevant to initiatives presented in the Small Area Plan are outlined below.

- Orange Foundation: an alumni-based philanthropic organization that supports the arts, education and community development projects in the Charlottesville-Albemarle area. https://orangefoundation.org

- Knight Foundation: a Community Development Financial Institutions (CDFIs) N. Not all banks want to, or have the ability to, provide direct commercial real estate project exposure as part of the CRA mandate. A bank may lend to CDFIs and other intermediaries, which are specialized financial institutions with a primary mission to promote community and economic development by providing financial products to communities underserved by traditional financial institutions. A CDFI, in turn, makes loans and investments in the bank’s identified geographic footprint. CDFIs may utilize a range of financing vehicles across asset classes, including direct loans, equity investments, loan guarantees, and receivables. Certified CDFIs that serve geographies in the Virginia market include Virginia Community Capital, Piedmont Housing Alliance, Capital Impact Partners and LISC.

V. PHILANTHROPY – FOUNDATION, PRIVATE SECTOR & INDIVIDUALS

National foundations & charities

Foundations distribute grants and make investments (PRIs, loan guarantees, recoverable grants, etc.) out of foundation endowments based on issue area priorities. A few select grant opportunities relevant to initiatives presented in the Small Area Plan are outlined below.

- Orange Foundation: a place-based initiative that supports Creative Placemaking practitioners that work in distressed communities and seek to improve the livability of people and their communities through creative placemaking projects. https://orangefoundation.org/programs/arts-culture/creative-placemaking

- Knight Foundation: a public space for: a) support the creation of public spaces that facilitate community connection and civic engagement. https://knightfoundation.org/challenges/walkable-cities-fellowship

- Virginia Commission for the Arts: The Virginia Commission for the Arts builds and strengthens the state’s cultural infrastructure by supporting Virginia’s individual artists, arts enterprises, businesses and institutions. Project grants are provided to facilitate new and innovative projects or services that engage the community and that have the potential to advance cultural presence, awareness and connections. General operating support grants are provided to assist organizations of artistic merit in fulfilling their missions by providing funds to maintain their stability and encourage their advancement. http://www.vacva.org/grants.html

- Virginia Humanities: As the state humanities council, Virginia Humanities provides financial assistance to support individuals and communities in their efforts to understand the past, confront important issues in the present, and shape a promising future. The organization provides grants, typically up to $10,000, to nonprofit organizations seeking to develop public humanities programs for local audiences. https://www.virginiahumanities.org/grants

- Virginia Small Business Financing Authority (VSBFA): An entity backed by the State of Virginia, VSBFA offers financing to businesses, localities and Economic Development Authorities to support fixed asset purchases (e.g., land, buildings, and equipment). Many of VSBFA’s loans are intended to be used in conjunction with bank and other financing. VSBFA offers include loans designed to: a) fill gaps between bank loans and private equity; b) provide credit enhancement to banks that are lending to businesses and non-profits; and c) provide bond financing to benefit non-profit businesses. VSBFA’s LoanWOOD (Small, Women- and Minority-owned businesses) loan fund provides small dollar direct loans that do not require bank participation. https://www.sbsd.virginia.gov/virginia-small-business-financing-authority/
Local & community foundations

• Charlottesville Area Community Foundation: The local community foundation offers a range of funding opportunities through its discretionary grant programs and donor-directed funds. The foundation is focused on maintaining a vibrant and strong Charlottesville community, and specific priority areas include equity advancing and promoting small businesses, helping returning citizens, and solving aspects of the city’s collective history. https://www.caponline.org/grants/opportunities

• UVA Foundation: Initially created to help UVA execute a program of real estate acquisition, development and use, the UVA Foundation owns and manages nearly 1,200 acres of land on behalf of the University of Virginia. A recent advisory panel developed recommendations to reinforce UVA Foundation’s strategic goals by aligning them with UVA’s new 2020 Strategic Plan. Among its recommendations the panel suggested that UVA Foundation consider opportunities to leverage its spending power to create wealth for traditionally disadvantaged communities through a supplier diversity program. https://museums.allcampus.com/community-service-panels/uvl-charlottesville-va-advocacy-service-panel

Private sector & corporate foundations

• Dominion Energy Charitable Foundation: Among the Foundation’s grantmaking focus areas are housing, shelter and community vitality. The Foundation distributes grants to foster an appreciation of diversity, revitalized neighborhoods and ensure vibrant community life through support of cultural endeavors. In 2018 Dominion Energy also awarded $50,000 in grants to community-based arts organizations through its Arts Awards Program. https://www.dominionenergy.com/CorporateCommunityResponsibility/communities/dominion-energy-charitable-foundation

• Ford Foundation’s Just Cities and Regions program focuses on preserving and increasing the supply of affordable housing, and its Creativity and Free Expression program supports artist-driven projects and organizations that include deep and meaningful engagement with communities. https://www.fordfoundation.org/arts/challenging-inequality

• Southwest Airlines and Project for Public Spaces: The Community program, launched through a partnership between Southwest Airlines and the Project for Public Spaces, was developed to support and activate public spaces in the hearts of cities. The program provides grants to communities across the US to help them bring new life to their public spaces, and encourage activation, participation and volunteerism in public spaces to benefit local communities. http://www.pps.org/heart-of-the-community/

• Wells Fargo: As part of its strategy of investing in community impact, the Wells Fargo Foundation focuses its philanthropic giving on housing affordability, small business growth and financial health. Wells Fargo offers a number of state-based grants for community revitalization, with funding varying by state. Wells Fargo has supported multiple projects and organizations in Charlottesville, including the Thomas Jefferson Community Land Trust (affordable housing) and Literacy Volunteers of Charlottesville-Albemarle (job training). https://www.wellsfargo.com/about/corporate-responsibility/community-grants

• National Association of REALTORS (NAR): NAR is America’s largest trade association, representing 1.3 million members involved in all aspects of the residential and commercial real estate industries. NAR’s Placemaking Micro-Grant is available to REALTORS associations to help them plan, organize, implement and maintain placemaking activities in their communities, helping communities build better public spaces. There are two levels of Funding: Level i awards up to $5,000 for projects that review and revitalize existing public spaces; Level ii awards up to $10,000 for projects that create new public spaces. http://www.realtor.com/association/smartgrowth/placemaking/placemaking-micro-grant.html

• JP Morgan Chase Foundation: The JP Morgan Chase Foundation focuses on supporting access to opportunity and supporting individuals move up the economic ladder. The Foundation provides through CDFI’s and other intermediaries the Small Business Expansion Program targets underserved entrepreneurs and supports job training programs, and the Ford Neighborhoods initiative supports locally driven community revitalization initiatives. https://www.jpmorgan.com/community/corporateCorporateResponsibility/communities-neighborhoods

• State Farm Foundation: State Farm’s charitable giving includes community development grants distributed to nonprofits that work in areas such as affordable housing, neighborhood revitalization, job training and small business development. Grant amounts begin at $5,000. https://www.statefarm.com/about-us/community-investments/community-grants

Impact investors & crowdfunding platforms

• Donor Advised Funds: Individuals, including high net worth individuals, can be collectively brought in as investors in social impact-focused projects through investment pools administered by intermediaries, foundations and/or Donor Advised Fund (DAF) sponsors. Individuals may express interest in either place-based strategies or issue-specific strategies like small business support, affordable housing projects or creative placemaking initiatives. Geographic- or issue-specific impact funds can pool grant and investment capital from a set of individual donor-investors by letting interested individuals to contribute through their DAFs or through the purchase of promissory notes. Place-based impact investing initiatives that have sourced capital from individual investors in this way, such as Benefit Chelas, the Dover Foundation’s Impact Investing Fund, and the GoFund, Fund in Atlanta, are often managed by community foundations and invest fund capital in intermediaries to enable them to deploy capital effectively.

• Kiva: Kiva is a 501(c)(3) non-profit organization that allows people to lend money via the internet to low-income entrepreneurs and students in over 80 countries. Kiva’s mission is “to expand financial access to help underserved communities thrive.” Kiva has partnered with Kiva to set up a one-to-one match fund that helps business owners in LISC geographies reach their crowdfunding goal in less time than the process normally takes. https://www.kiva.org

• Support: An online crowd-consulting platform, only helps neighbors grow and implement great ideas through their “crowd-consulting” (crowdfunding + resource organizing) platform. only’s platform gives any individual the ability to organize all kinds of capital—cash, social networks, in-kind donations, volunteer time, advocacy—from within the neighborhood by providing coaching to individuals on crowdfunding strategies alongside a platform that allows individuals to advertise their ideas. https://www.triby.com/about/howwehelp

• Patronymic: A civic crowdfunding platform that brings together local citizens and sponsors to support initiatives in their communities. Patronymic works with organizations, with grant dollars, to support “crowdfunding”. Patronymic works with project creators over the phone, by email or in-person to help them develop their campaigns and provides support throughout the entire crowdfunding process. https://www.patronymic.com

Issue-specific foundations – arts & culture

• National Endowment for the Arts (NEA): A public agency dedicated to supporting excellence in the arts, NEA provides grants for organizations supporting arts projects, including arts education, dance, design and visuals arts. Relevant grant opportunities are outlined below. https://www.arts.gov/grants

• ArtWorks supports the creation of art that meets the highest standards of excellence, public engagement with diverse and excellent art, lifelong learning in the arts, and the strengthening of communities through the arts. Matching grants generally range from $10,000 to $100,000. A minimum cost share/match equal to the grant amount is required.

• Creativity Connects provides pilot grants to support partnerships between arts organizations and organizations from non-arts sectors that include, but are not limited to, business, education, environment, faith, finance, food, health, law, science, and technology.

• Challenge America supports projects that extend the reach of the arts to underserved populations. Matching grants are for $10,000. A minimum cost share/match equal to the grant amount is required.
Our Town supports: 1) Arts engagement, cultural planning, and design projects that represent the distinct character and quality of their communities and are developed through a partnership between a nonprofit organization and a local government entity, with one of the partners being a nonprofit organization. Matching grants range from $5,000 to $10,000; and 2) projects that build knowledge about creative placemaking: available to arts and design service organizations that provide technical assistance to those doing place-based work. Matching grants range from $5,000 to $10,000.

- Andy Warhol Foundation for the Visual Arts: The Foundation’s objective is to foster innovative artistic expression and the creative process by encouraging and supporting cultural organizations that directly or indirectly support artists and their work. Grants are made for scholarly exhibitions at museums, curatorial research, visual arts programming at artist-centered organizations, artist residencies and commissions, arts writing, and efforts to promote the health, welfare and first amendment rights of artists. https://warholfoundation.org/grant/overview.html

- Gottlieb Foundation: A non-profit corporation established to award financial aid to painters, sculptors, and printmakers. The Foundation does not support institutions or organizations, research, students, or projects. https://www.gottliebfoundation.org/apply-for-a-grant

- Comprehensive Affordable Housing Strategy: The City of Charlottesville, in partnership with the Housing Advisory Committee (HAC) and resident advocates, is enlisting the services of an outside consultant to develop a comprehensive affordable housing strategy for the City. The overall goals of the affordable housing strategy are to: i) identify specific programs and services that promote and establish targets for affordable housing unit production and preservation based on household income, density bonuses (beyond density recommendations herein) and planning tools and developer incentives to support and encourage the provision of new affordable housing units within the City (that may include but not be limited to making density bonuses conditional upon the provision of affordable dwelling units on-site, and ii) identify specific financial and regulatory mechanisms that may include but not be limited to establishing tax increment finance (TIF) districts or synthetic TIF districts) that would ensure equitable development throughout the City’s neighborhoods. EXCLUSION: Height bonuses (beyond height recommendations herein) are not supported as they would be in opposition to the vision and guiding principles of the Starr Hill community and the small area plan.

- Mid-Atlantic Arts Foundation (MAAF): MAAF celebrates, promotes and supports the richness and diversity of the region’s arts resources and works to increase access to the arts and cultures of the region and the world. The Foundation provides grants to individuals and arts organizations in the communities around them. Prudential, for example, has committed $1 billion to Newark, where it has been headquartered since its inception. Prudential’s commitment to spread economic and social opportunities across the city has included investments in infrastructure, arts, small business supports and education.

- Andy Warhol Foundation for the Visual Arts: The Foundation’s objective is to foster innovative artistic expression and the creative process by encouraging and supporting cultural organizations that directly or indirectly support artists and their work. Grants are made for scholarly exhibitions at museums, curatorial research, visual arts programming at artist-centered organizations, artist residencies and commissions, arts writing, and efforts to promote the health, welfare and first amendment rights of artists. https://warholfoundation.org/grant/overview.html

- Levitt Foundation: Passionate about reinvigorating America’s public spaces through creative placemaking and creating opportunities for everyone to experience the performing arts, the Levitt Foundation provides $50,000 annual matching grants to 10 nonprofits operating in small to mid-sized towns and cities to activate neglected public spaces through the Levitt AMP Music Series. Reflecting the Foundation’s ethos that all Levitt projects are community-driven, each year finalists are selected through an online public voting process. http://www.levitt.org/how-we-do-it

- Creative Capital: A national organization supporting visual artists who are pursuing innovative approaches to form and or content in the visual, performing, and media arts. The Creative Capital Award supports artists with up to $50,000 in project funding, advisory services, career advancement resources, and national networking opportunities valued at $55,000, for a total commitment of up to $100,000 per project. Creative Capital works closely with the funded artists to provide audience development, marketing and other forms of assistance tailored to individual projects. In return, artists share a portion of the proceeds with Creative Capital, thus enabling the fund to support more artists in the future. https://overview.capitalone.org

- University and hospital anchor: Hospitals, universities and other large public institutions can provide impact capital from their endowments or investment funds through PRIs or other impact investment vehicles. Anchors are typically interested in seed or supporting capital pools that further their unique needs alongside a shared value strategy developed with surrounding communities. Small businesses investment is a popular area of interest for anchors, who can provide grants or loans to small businesses to create living wage jobs or ownership opportunities in targeted geographies. For example, Northeastern University’s Impact Lending program, developed in conjunction with LISC, established a small business lending platform for women- and minority-owned businesses in low- to moderate-income neighborhoods surrounding the university. As capacity builders, anchors can partner with local nonprofit institutions and community-based organizations to support technical assistance provisions to local small businesses. Bon Secour, for example, has provided $850,000 in grant funding to LISC to deploy in grants of up to $10,000 to individuals in the Church Hill neighborhood of Richmond who are looking to expand or start a business.

- Private sector employer anchor: A growing set of corporations are beginning to realize that it is actually good for business to be engaged in community development, beyond Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and charity work and are putting dollars into deep investment in the communities around them. Prudential, for example, has committed $1 billion to Newark, where it has been headquartered since its inception. Prudential’s commitment to spread economic and social opportunities across the city has included investments in infrastructure, arts, small business supports and education.
The Small Area Plan envisions a transformed City Yard with a significant mixed-use, commercial and residential development (Focus Area 1). This development will include affordable and flexible housing and business space, including opportunities for community commercial, service and entertainment businesses. Such a development will require predevelopment, construction and permanent financing, which can be procured from some combination of financial institutions, a CDFI or other private sources. VHDA, VHTF, CRA-motivated capital (e.g., LIHTC) equity investments, below-market-rate loans, preferred equity and mezzanine financing, and other low-cost capital from local or regional banks should be sought. This capital, procured in tandem with market-rate financing, will support affordability goals. To fully achieve affordability goals, however, gap financing will also be required, as conventional debt and equity financing is not likely to meet demand for units affordable to families below 100% of AMI. The size of the subsidy required to fill this gap will depend greatly on land acquisition costs and any local subsidy available. Financing gaps can be filled through public or philanthropic sources (PRIs), as outlined in the above Trolley section.

The proposed mixed-use development will be anchored by a mission-aligned tenant (or tenants) focused on business or workforce development within identified growth and opportunity sectors. These initiatives have been identified as key opportunities to drive increases in income and wealth for Black community based on the alignment of several factors. Relative to the size of the region, Charlottesville has among the highest levels of “high-growth” companies and venture capital in the country, but minority businesses remain largely disconnected from its innovation ecosystem. Specific challenges for minority entrepreneurs include limited affordable co-working space, gaps in business development services, and the absence of a “hub” for minority entrepreneurs to network and share resources. There is room within Charlottesville’s existing incubation accelerator ecosystem to develop new space, networking, financing, and mentoring assets that are geared to Black business owners. In terms of workforce development, expanding demand for skilled workers is evident in several sectors, including construction and trades, tech, and biotech. Adequately preparing the workforce for these emerging opportunities requires targeted, industry-specific job training that is deeply informed by private sector companies’ reported needs in relevant skills and credentials. Creating and expanding the necessary training resources will position Black workers to successfully compete for current and future job openings in high-growth industries.

These operations will likely require a degree of subsidy to support setup costs, including acquisition of necessary equipment, from basic IT to specialized tech or machinery to facilitate industry-specific services (e.g., tools and materials for trades-related training). In addition, there will likely be a need for subsidies, at least initially, to fund ongoing staffing and operational costs (through some amount of revenue could come from participating businesses and workers at amounts that are not prohibitive). Financing gaps can be bridged through grants from a variety of national and local foundations whose priorities include business development and workforce training, especially when focused on growing participation of minority businesses and workers. In addition, the private sector of relevant industries can be organized and engaged to fund relevant programs. Sector-based consortia are increasingly forming in regions across the country, creating industry-led forums to collaboratively address talent needs. Forming and leveraging these bodies can provide a vehicle for both informing the design of workforce and entrepreneurship programs in line with private sector demand, as well as collecting programmatic funding from companies interested in investing in a stronger pool of talent and suppliers. The Small Area Plan further proposes the addition of new, affordable, single family homes along Brown Street (Focus Area 2), designed to strengthen the integrity of the existing residential neighborhood. Production of single family housing will require predevelopment, construction and permanent financing, which can be procured from some combination of financial institutions, a CDFI or other private sources. VHDA and CRA-motivated capital should be sought, in tandem with market-rate financing, to support affordability goals. In order to attract predevelopment and construction lenders to finance the project, permanent financing (home mortgages) could be provided through Agency debt (Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac or FHA) as a trusted source of take-out financing for the easier development phases. As the average home cost in this high-cost market is upwards of $430,000.00, gap financing will also be required to build homeownership opportunities affordable to households at 50-80% of AMI.

Moving renter households toward homeownership requires significant investment in income and wealth building strategies. First time homebuyers need three things: good credit (including a low enough debt-to-income ratio), a steady and reliable stream of income to sustain a mortgage payment and manage home maintenance, and savings or other funds necessary to cover a down payment and closing costs. In addition to New Hill’s existing financial coaching program, local and regional banks may provide homeownership counseling and down payment assistance programs to support this single family housing program. Providing the option for accessory dwelling units will open up the possibility for homeowners to build wealth by creating a stream of rental revenue, while also maintaining neighborhood scale.

In many markets, Lease to Own strategies have proven successful in helping individuals who are not able to meet mortgage requirements obtain homeownership. Such a model, in which a lease is combined with an option to purchase a property within a specified period of time, should be considered for this single family development.

The Small Area Plan further proposes the addition of new, affordable, single family homes along Brown Street (Focus Area 2), designed to strengthen the integrity of the existing residential neighborhood. Production of single family housing will require predevelopment, construction and permanent financing, which can be procured from some combination of financial institutions, a CDFI or other private sources. VHDA and CRA-motivated capital should be sought, in tandem with market-rate financing, to support affordability goals. In order to attract predevelopment and construction lenders to finance the project, permanent financing (home mortgages) could be provided through Agency debt (Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac or FHA) as a trusted source of take-out financing for the easier development phases. As the average home cost in this high-cost market is upwards of $430,000.00, gap financing will also be required to build homeownership opportunities affordable to households at 50-80% of AMI.

Moving renter households toward homeownership requires significant investment in income and wealth building strategies. First time homebuyers need three things: good credit (including a low enough debt-to-income ratio), a steady and reliable stream of income to sustain a mortgage payment and manage home maintenance, and savings or other funds necessary to cover a down payment and closing costs. In addition to New Hill’s existing financial coaching program, local and regional banks may provide homeownership counseling and down payment assistance programs to support this single family housing program. Providing the option for accessory dwelling units will open up the possibility for homeowners to build wealth by creating a stream of rental revenue, while also maintaining neighborhood scale.

In many markets, Lease to Own strategies have proven successful in helping individuals who are not able to meet mortgage requirements obtain homeownership. Such a model, in which a lease is combined with an option to purchase a property within a specified period of time, should be considered for this single family development.
A Community Benefit Agreement (CBA) is a project-specific agreement between a developer and a broad community coalition that details the project’s contributions to the community and ensures community support for the project. Developing a CBA for the project opportunities outlined for City Yard is highly supported. Any development contemplated for City Yard and the broader Starr Hill neighborhood should support access to economic, social, and cultural well-being for local residents. To support equitable development, proposals should be evaluated according to their capacity to create accessible jobs, opportunities for entrepreneurship, viable housing options, strong social networks, and safe and walkable streets.

**Community benefits recommendations**

**Contracting & procurement**

Utilizing the construction process for the proposed projects to intentionally provide opportunities for small and minority contractors and local residents is highly supported. Missions-driven developers and general contractors use a combination of procurement and contracting methods to build pipelines of Black-owned contractor businesses and support local small business ecosystems. Such strategies include contracting guidelines, proactive recruitment campaigns, hiring goals and coordination with job training opportunities. Providing bidding opportunities for smaller contractors and encouraging joint venture partnerships between larger and smaller firms can support startups and yield economic and employment opportunities for smaller businesses that may traditionally be overlooked for large construction projects.

For private development in Starr Hill, a minimum of 6% minority workforce participation is recommended, and can be fulfilled through a variety of ways. For any public development, a study to review procurement award procedure and benefits is needed and highly supported. Such a study should stipulate a minimum percentage of contract assignments to be awarded to local Black-owned (City-licensed) businesses and release locally bid mandates.

**Developer incentives**

Elsewhere in Charlottesville, development rights (i.e., increased height and/or density) are granted in exchange for a specified amount and type of community benefit (i.e., additional affordable housing units). Examples from other cities further illustrate how developers can be incentivized to develop community benefiting projects. Redwood City, California allows developers to accrue “points” for including various public benefits — fully accessible ‘ecosystems; LEED Platinum development; solar or renewable building energy; building frontage devoted to ground floor space; and permanent housing for neighborhood retail and café; total gross leasable area devoted to small business, etc. Points can be traded for additional height or other development easements. Santa Monica focuses their incentives on 6 areas — traffic management, affordable housing, community improvements, social/cultural facilities, and historic preservation.

The Starr Hill SAP concepts support these types of community benefits, with the exclusion of increased height beyond considerations noted to protect the integrity of this varied character and mixed use ¼ acre community.

**Housing vouchers**

The City Yard development will accept Housing Vouchers. Furthermore, in support of workforce development, it has been suggested that the Housing Authority review current programs and practices to provide vouchers in support of individuals seeking continuing education to obtain higher-wage quality jobs. Under such a scenario, an enrolled individual would receive a housing voucher to cover rent payments for the term of the education period, allowing the student to focus on gaining skills to advance career opportunities that would enable them to lift themselves out of the distressed income bracket. Terms and timelines require further development, but the idea is more in line with the intent of vouchers rather than current voucher outcomes.

**Construction impacts**

The construction process creates economic opportunity for local and area residents by generating on-site and supply-chain jobs. Further economic activity, induced from these new jobs, has local and regional effects.

The above job and income estimate were generated using MFLAN economic impact analysis software. The model assumes construction costs of approximately $85–100M. Private financing would cover construction costs, though some level of gap subsidy would be required to fully meet affordability goals. This subsidy could be attained through public or private philanthropic investment. Relocation of City services, as reported by staff, is estimated at $600–1,100M.

Preliminary analysis suggests that a potential return on this investment could include:

- 300 additional units of majority affordable housing
- $70M+ in labor income associated with jobs created and supported
- LEED Platinum development
- Solar or renewable building energy
- Accessible eco/green roof
- Building frontage devoted to ground floor space
- Built within the range of $85-100M. Private financing would cover construction costs, though some level of gap subsidy would be required to fully meet affordability goals. This subsidy could be attained through public or private philanthropic investment. Relocation of City services, as reported by staff, is estimated at $600–1,100M.

**Focus Area 1 – Mixed use**

- 685,000 SF
- 740 units
- $35,900,000

**Focus Area 2 – Residential**

- 49,000 SF
- 50 units
- $2,500,000

**Total**

- 734,000 SF
- 790 units
- $38,400,000

The above job and income estimate were generated using MFLAN economic impact analysis software. The model assumes construction costs of approximately $85–100M, with labor incomes approximating 40% of total hard and soft costs. The FYIs calculated above include both direct (on-site) and indirect (supply-chain) jobs, but do not take into account induced jobs created from the overall increase in economic activity generated from the construction process.

**Commercial space impacts**

New commercial and retail space can be a significant source of economic activity. Commercial and mixed-use development creates and houses jobs and generates income and value that support the economic prosperity of residents while also contributing to the tax base. Communities benefit from on-going economic opportunity generated through building maintenance, repair, management, security needs, and more.

The current City Yard scenario provides GSF estimates broken out across office, retail, and restaurant uses. Building from these figures, preliminary estimates of the number of jobs the current scenario might support, and the associated wages, are provided below. It is important to note that this is not an estimate of new net jobs and wages. Some of these figures represent existing operations in Charlottesville that would move into the new commercial space. The net effect on permanent jobs will depend on the degree to which this development—excluding the associated business and workforce development services housed within it—will spur the creation and growth of new companies or the attraction of companies from outside the Charlottesville region.

**Preliminary analysis**

- 137,000 GSF of new retail storefront and restaurant
- 1200+ jobs created and supported by construction activity, the economic activity generated from commercial and retail space, and programming to support workforce and/or entrepreneurs
- $70M+ in labor income associated with jobs created and supported

**A more detailed discussion of impact projections is provided below.**
Commercial space impacts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Space Type</th>
<th>Jobs (FTE)</th>
<th>Labor income ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>68,500</td>
<td>$1,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office</td>
<td>274,000</td>
<td>$28,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food</td>
<td>68,500</td>
<td>$3,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>411,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$32,500,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above estimates incorporate several high-level assumptions and represent a first-pass attempt at projecting space use and resulting employment impacts. The assumptions include:

- Space allocations per worker identified through surveys conducted by the U.S. Energy Information Administration
- Approximations of staffing breakdowns for each use type (e.g., management/supervision, operations, administrative support for office space; supervisors, cooks, waiters, hosts for food, etc.) based on Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) data for 2018 occupations in Charlottesville
- Application of 2018 median wages by occupation as reported by the BLS

Business or workforce development programming impacts

Generating the maximum net increase in jobs and incomes will require more than providing new space. Central to growing employment will be the business and workforce development programs housed in the City Yard facility, with an emphasis on increasing participation and growth for Black businesses and employees in high-growth sectors. These initiatives will generate crucial inclusive growth effects that not only raise incomes and build wealth but also in ways that connect Black Charlottesville to the most promising sectors of the region’s economy.

The size of the impact that these programs will have on the local and regional economy will depend heavily on several factors, including:

- The growth sectors and clusters that the programs initially focus on
- The blend of services and products (e.g., types of financing, general v. industry-targeted business support services) that are provided to small businesses in addition to shared workspace
- The positions that workforce development programs focus on (e.g., providing skills to prospective workers v. upskilling incumbent workers) and the accompanying wages associated with new employment opportunities
- The allocation of space to relevant uses (e.g., individual workstations, private offices, meeting rooms, labs or production spaces, etc.)

Walkability impacts

Walkable neighborhoods are not only important in promoting health, activity and community cohesion, new research suggests that they also have long-term impacts on the economic well-being of families. Children living in walkable neighborhoods have a higher level of economic mobility, controlling for a range of economic factors and neighborhood characteristics. Data suggests that increased walkability makes it more likely that children born into the lowest income quintile will reach the highest income quintile by their 30s.

Tax base impacts

- Create a 15-20% Synthetic TIF tagged for Affordable Housing throughout the City
- Support of the Equity Fund
- Support of the Anti-Tax Displacement Fund
CONFIDENTIAL & PROPRIETARY 10.30.19

PLANNING GUIDELINES & INFLUENCES

- City of Charlottesville | Starr Hill Neighborhood Plan “In Your Backyard”
- City of Charlottesville | Strategic Plan
  - Identify KEY GOALS
    - Goal 1: Enhance the self-sufficiency of our residents
    - Goal 2: Be a safe, equitable, thriving and beautiful community
    - Goal 3: Have a strong, diversified economy
    - Goal 4: Be a well-managed and successful organization
    - Goal 5: Foster strong connections
  - The Plan: [https://www.charlottesville.org/Home/ShowDocument?id=10100](https://www.charlottesville.org/Home/ShowDocument?id=10100)

- City of Charlottesville | Comprehensive Plan Update 2018
  - A work-in-progress, this Plan is Charlottesville’s VISION that guides decision making processes for such matters as Land use (development and growth), Housing (affordability and access) and Transportation (all modes of travel and parking).
  - The Plan: [https://www.charlottesville.org/home/showdocument?id=63367](https://www.charlottesville.org/home/showdocument?id=63367)

- City of Charlottesville | Zoning Ordinance 2018
  - Rezoning Process: [https://www.charlottesville.org/home/showdocument?id=16462](https://www.charlottesville.org/home/showdocument?id=16462)

- City of Charlottesville | Urban Design
  - The West Main Street study area extends from McIntire/Ridge Road on the eastern to Elliewood Avenue on the west.
  - Preston Avenue is the extension of Barracks Road and Rugby Road heading into Downtown. The area of concentration lies between McIntire Road in the southwest and Madison Avenue in the northeast.
  - The Plan: [https://www.charlottesville.org/Home/ShowDocument?id=10108](https://www.charlottesville.org/Home/ShowDocument?id=10108)

- City of Charlottesville | Form Based Code
  - The City is engaging the Form Based Code Institute to develop a code for the Strategic Investment Area Plan (SIA). This draft code’s purpose is to provide a predictable framework for redevelopment and context-based zoning regulations to guide the placement, form and use of private and public property and buildings in the SIA.
  - The Code: [https://www.charlottesville.org/home/showdocument?id=10100](https://www.charlottesville.org/home/showdocument?id=10100)

- City of Charlottesville | SAI
  - The Plan designed to guide redevelopment of the SIA area, referred to as the SIA Plan, is intended to provide guidance for targeted investment and improvement in the target area and for improved urban design to create a better quality of life
  - The Plan: [https://www.charlottesville.org/home/showdocument?id=10100](https://www.charlottesville.org/home/showdocument?id=10100)

- Create Charlottesville | Cultural Plan for Charlottesville & Albemarle

- City of Charlottesville | Ridge Street ADC District
  - The Plan: [https://www.charlottesville.org/Home/ShowDocument?id=10100](https://www.charlottesville.org/Home/ShowDocument?id=10100)

- City of Charlottesville | West Main Street ADC District
  - The Plan: [https://www.charlottesville.org/Home/ShowDocument?id=10100](https://www.charlottesville.org/Home/ShowDocument?id=10100)

- West Main Street | Open Space Concept & Streetscape Schematics
  - The Study Plan: [https://www.charlottesville.org/home/showdocument?id=10100](https://www.charlottesville.org/home/showdocument?id=10100)

- City of Charlottesville | City Green
  - The Plan: [www.charlottesville.org/CityGreenMap](http://www.charlottesville.org/CityGreenMap)

- City of Charlottesville | Public Transportation

- City of Charlottesville | Bike Paths

- City of Charlottesville | Streets That Work 2016

- City of Charlottesville | Housing Report

- City of Charlottesville | Bike Paths

- City of Charlottesville | Orange Dot Report

- GO Virginia Region 9 Report
ENVIRONMENTAL REPORT SUMMARY REGARDING THE CITY YARD

The City of Charlottesville Department of Public Works and Department of Utilities currently occupies a site located at 305 4th Street NW in the City of Charlottesville where a Manufactured Gas Plant (MGP), constructed in the early 1900s, operated for approximately one year. MGP produced gas for lighting and heating. This centralized and economical source of fuel supported both public lighting and domestic use. Ownership of the MGP was transferred to the City of Charlottesville in 1916 and operated until 1952 when the City of Charlottesville began to use natural gas as an energy source. Following a fire in 1932, the plant was dismantled with some of the rubble likely buried on site. In 1975, the current City Yard was completely renovated and the new Public Works Operation Building was constructed.

It was fairly common that MGP byproducts and residues remained at or near these facilities as a result of generally-accepted disposal practices of the times. Some of these residues represent a potential human health hazard from direct exposure. Hydrocarbon residues were one of the major byproducts of the MGP process requiring either disposal or further processing and sale. These materials were known to have been disposed of onsite as residual byproducts determined. Ash disposal was also a major problem and was often disposed of onsite.

The mere presence of these materials at former MGP sites is not, however, a necessary indication that a significant human or environmental threat is present. The existence of such a potential impact depends on the type, quantity and nature of the material present. Also, such residues were often buried or otherwise separated from direct exposure pathways. These residues, therefore, usually do not present a direct contact hazard unless disturbed or exposed in some way or unless they have entered local groundwater or surface water.

Following the discovery of possible contamination of the City Yard in April 1991, several environmental sampling efforts have been conducted, both to define the scope and scale of the contamination, and to consider appropriate levels of remediation that would make the site suitable for transfer of ownership and future development. These include the following:

• 1992 – Initial Site Characterization, concentrated in the eastern half of the City Yard coinciding with the location of the former coal gasification plant. Soil and groundwater contamination was confirmed and several distinct areas of concern were identified. A risk evaluation based on the current conditions of the industrial area in the absence of remediation was conducted due to the absence of groundwater wells and the paved status of the site (both of which limit water-based exposure pathways). The human health risks were determined to be low but potential concerns for aquatic life were noted.

• 2000 – Follow-up Environmental Study focused on a similar area and including remediation options and cost estimates for remediation to support a series of future land use scenarios. Contamination confirmed.

• 2003 – Additional limited sampling in the western half of the City Yard as well as an update to the cost estimates, a re-evaluation of site contamination requiring cleanup and the cleanup criteria. The future land use scenario that was focused on is the one that would enable future development to include a combination of residential and industrial/commercial land use of specific portions of the property. No additional contamination detected.

• 2018 – Review of cost estimates and range of possibilities for remediation, including alternate strategies for limiting potential liability associated with reuse. Range depends on strategies and could range up to $3.4M or more.

NOTE: These reports were generated to inform internal discussions and are not intended for external use. Given the regulatory and legal nature of some of the report elements, we are not in a position to share the full reports.

While Virginia does not have a mandated Superfund Program to address waste site cleanup, it finalized Voluntary Remediation Regulation in 1997 to address cleanup of sites where remediation has not been mandated by a federal or state agency or regulation. There are several MGP sites in Virginia that have been or are in the Department of Environmental Quality’s (DEQ) Voluntary Remediation Program so as the pathway to addressing site conditions, risks, and cleanup or control strategies that enable redevelopment goals. Upon entering the VRP, it is anticipated to take about two years to complete the investigation and design phase, and an additional six months to complete site remediation. Upon completion of the program steps, DEQ issues a certificate of satisfactory completion of remediation to reduce or eliminate environmental liabilities and facilitate property transfer.

The challenging question regarding next steps is the timeframe and associated costs of such relative to the plans for transitioning the Public Works/Utilities facilities and operations from the current 4th Street property to another location. An additional challenge is the “lifespan” of data previously collected relative to requirements and expectations of the DEQ VRP. There is concern about data being deemed obsolete by DEQ.

In general, remediation cost estimates are subject to change depending on updated site characterization results (soil and groundwater sampling), development of final remediation goals based on the actual intended use of the impacted area and negotiations with the VRP. Costs enrolled in the program is considered a base assumption in several scenarios. The primary determining factor of whether active remediation would be needed is typically related to whether offsite contamination is migrating and impacting neighboring properties with unacceptable risk. The consultant engaged for this review considered that achieving the goal of excluding and completing the VRP while maintaining an unrestricted land use scenario would involve deed restrictions likely including the prohibition of groundwater use and excavation restrictions, among others, but that a residential use would not likely be prevented.

Summary provided by the City of Charlottesville.
OUR SINCEREST APPRECIATION TO EVERYONE IN THE FOLLOWING ORGANIZATIONS WHO CONTRIBUTED TO THIS COMMUNITY VISION AND ENDEAVOR.

STARR HILL AREA NEIGHBORHOOD:
- Starr Hill Residents, Merchants and Businesses

NEIGHBORING COMMUNITIES:
- Residents of 10th & Page, Rose Hill, Fifeville and Westhaven

CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE:
- Charlottesville City Council
- City of Charlottesville Department of Economic Development
- City of Charlottesville Department of Human Rights
- City of Charlottesville Department of Neighborhood Development Services
- City of Charlottesville Department of Parks & Recreation and Carver Recreation Center
- City of Charlottesville Department of Public Utilities
- City of Charlottesville Department of Public Works
- City of Charlottesville Fire Department
- City of Charlottesville Housing Authority
- City of Charlottesville Office of the City Attorney
- City of Charlottesville Office of the City Manager
- City of Charlottesville Police Department
- City of Charlottesville Planning Commission

REGIONAL PARTICIPANTS:
- 100 Black Men of Central Virginia
- African American Teaching Fellows
- Albemarle County Department of Economic Development and Office of Equity + Inclusion
- Black Professional Network (BPN)
- Boys and Girls Club of Central Virginia
- Building Goodness Foundation
- Charlottesville-Albemarle Metropolitan Planning Organization
- Charlottesville-Albemarle Technical Education Center (CATEC)
- Charlottesville-Albemarle Convention & Visitors Bureau (CACVB)
- Charlottesville Business Innovation Council (CBIC)
- Charlottesville Economic Development Authority (CEDA)
- Charlottesville Food Justice Network
- Charlottesville Low Income Housing Coalition (CLICH)
- Jefferson Area Board for Aging (JABA)
- Jefferson School African-American Heritage Center
- Jefferson School Foundation (JSF)
- Monticello Area Community Action Agency (MACAA)
- Mount Zion
- National Coalition of 100 Black Women Charlottesville
- Non-Profit Leadership of Color
- Piedmont Environmental Council (PECVA)
- Piedmont Housing Alliance (PHA)
- Piedmont Public Education Foundation (PPEFA)
- Piedmont Virginia Community College (PVCC)
- Piedmont YWCA
- Public Education Foundation of Charlottesville-Albemarle (PEFA)
- Public School, Office of Community Engagement
- ReadyKids
- Region 10
- Stony Point Design Build
- Stoney Point Design Build